## ENVIRONMENTAL GEOLOGY OF THE AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY

2

J.M. Macpherson

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand February 1978.

### ABSTRACT

THESIS

 $\cap$ 

348,2 .03

NV172

1 George

The Avon-Heathcote is a small, microtidal, predominantly intertidal, weather-dominated estuary. It has experienced large alterations to its physical environment as a result of the establishment and growth of the adjacent Christchurch City, on what was previously a swampy, dune-bordered coastal plain. During the period 1850-1920 the tidal compartment decreased by 30%, then returned rapidly to its original volume. It has since increased to about 30% more than its pre-European volume. The inlet area progressively changed its configuration to accommodate these alterations in volume.

An analysis of the energetics of waves and tidal currents shows that wave-induced shear stresses predominate in this estuary, particularly above the MTL, and are only exceeded by tidal current stresses in and adjacent to subtidal channels, where tidal velocities exceed 60 cm/s. Because of depth limitations the largest waves in this estuary normally have periods of 1.4 s, amplitudes of 30 cm and lengths of 3.5 m. Wave energy gradients are due to downfetch variations in wave frequency, not variations in wave size.

The muddiest sediment occurs close to the entrances of the Avon and Heathcote rivers, and patterns of mud deposition are regulated both by rates of sediment supply and by wave energy. The sand fraction of active surface sediment can be divided into two groups - one consisting of a single population deposited solely from saltation, the other consisting of a mixture of this saltation population, and material deposited from suspension. Sand is only deposited from suspension near the two river entrances, or on intertidal flats below the MTL, where wave shear stresses are less than 2 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Shallow cores reveal that a record of the history of this estuary is preserved in its subsurface sediment. An abiologic, pre-estuarine/ estuarine pre-European/estuarine post-European sequence is recognised beneath a 15-20 cm deep bioturbation interface. Above this interface benthic animals have produced a homogeneous mixed layer, depleted of suspendible fines, which is overlain by a thin and commonly quite different active layer. The active layer exists independently of trends in net erosion or deposition of sediment.

i

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer wishes to thank the following persons and organisations: Dr D.W. Lewis, who suggested the topic, supervised the research, and reviewed a first draft of the text. Dr J.A. Robb, and the Christchurch Drainage Board, who supplied the writer with historical maps, charts and literature, and whose survey data have been fundamentally influential. Dr A.J. Pearce critically reviewed a near-final draft of the text. Lee Leonard assisted with the drawing of some of the figures, Frank McGregor supplied an excellent and very useful series of low level air photographs, and Albert Downing photographed and printed the figures and maps. The writer was supported during 1975 by a University of Canterbury Teaching Fellowship, which is gratefully acknowledged.

Special thanks to Susan Macpherson for support and continuous encouragement.

Personal communications acknowledged in the text were from the following people: G. Coates, Department of Geology, University of Canterbury; D. McConochie, M.Sc. student, Department of Geology, University of Canterbury; P.J. McWilliam, Chief Engineer, CDB; D. Retter, M.A. student, Department of Geography, University of Canterbury; J.A. Robb, Biologist, CDB; and D.D. Wilson, Christchurch Office, NZ Geological Survey.

## CONTENTS

. . ...

ABSTRACT			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			
GLOSSARY	vii		
LIST OF FIGURES	viii		
LIST OF MAPS	xii		

## INTRODUCTION

Purpose		1
Previous	work	1
1.	Definition of an estuary	1
2.	Classification of estuaries	3
3.	Discussion	3
4.	The influence of tidal range	4
5.	Previous work in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	4

## PART ONE

## HISTORY OF THE AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY

Quaterny history of the Christchurch area	5
Post-European history of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	7
1. Introduction	7
2. Urbanisation and drainage modifications in the Christchurch area	8
3. Changes in the tidal compartment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	8
4. Discussion and conclusions	9
5. Changes at the inlet to the estuary, 1850-1975	12

## PART TWO

## ENERGETICS - WAVES AND THE TIDE

Introduction			
Waves and wave hindcasting	16		
1. Wind characteristic	s · 16		
2. Delineating a fetch	24		
3. SMB wave prediction	s 24		

Page

			Page
	4.	Limitations on wave dimensions	24
	5.	Wind event frequencies	27
. •	6.	Discussion	27
Near	bot	tom velocities and shear stresses	29
Three	sho1	d of sediment motion under waves	31
Wave	ref	raction	31
	1.	Introduction	31
	2.	Discussion	32
	3.	Results	32
Wave	-gen	erated bedforms	34
	1.	Introduction	34
	2.	Ripple orientations and dimensions	38
Tide	S.		39
	1.	Introduction	39
	2.	Previous work	39
	3.	Shear stress	42
	4.	Sediment entrainment	43
	5.	Entrainment into suspension	44
	6.	Current-generated bedforms	44
Wind	-dri	ven currents	46

iv

. . .

PART THREE

## BATHYMETRY

Introduction 50		
Descriptions and interpretations	50	
1. Eastern high tide slopes	50	
2. Eastern mid tide shelf and low tide flats	56	
3. Western slopes and flats	57	
4. The Heathcote Basin	63	
5. Central mounds	63	
6. Throughgoing subtidal channels	63	
Discussion	65	
Patterns of erosion and deposition	66	
An energy-bathymetry model for the Avon-Heathcote Estuary 68		

# Page

# PART FOUR

. . ..

# SURFACE SEDIMENT

Intro	Introduction 70		
Mud			70
	1.	Observations and interpretations	70
	2.	Discussion	75
Sand			76
	1.	Introductory discussion	76
	2.	Numerical procedures	79
	3.	Cluster analysis results and interpretations	80
	4.	Height above datum preferences of groups A to E	88
	5.	Regional distribution patterns	90
	6.	Interpretations	92
	7.	Graphic statistics	94

### PART FIVE

## SUBSURFACE SEDIMENT

Tntrr	oduction 103		
Metho	thods 103		
Re su]	Results and interpretations 104		
Sedin	nent	from beneath the bioturbation interface	104
	1.	Unit (a)	104
	2.	Unit (b)	111
	3.	Unit (c)	119
Biolo from	ogy a abor	and physical characteristics of sediment ve the bioturbation interface	123
	1.	Biology	124
	2.	Sediment analyses	129
	3.	Conclusions and discussion	136
Clay	mine	eralogy	140
	1.	Methods	140
	2.	Results	141
	3.	Conclusions	141
Sand	fra	ction mineralogy	143
Micro	ofau	na	144
	1.	Results	144
	2.	Conclusions	146

Page

# PART SIX

Summary history of t	the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	147
Energetics		149
1. Wind and wa	aves	149
2. Tidal curre	ents	150
3. Wind-driver	n currents	151
Bathymetry		151
Surface sediment		152
1. Mud		152
2. Sand		153
Subsurface sediment		155
1. Stratigraph	ıy	156
2. Near-surfac	ce bioturbate sediment	156
3. Predictions	5	158
REFERENCES		159
APPENDICES		. ·
Appendix 1. Hi	istorical estimates of the tidal	173
1	Predicted volumes	173
2	Direct estimates	173
2	Methods used to calculate	175
5	volumetric changes	177
4	. Results	177
Appendix 2. Ba	athymorphic profiles surveyed in 1920, 962 and 1975/77	179
Appendix 3. Su Me	urface and subsurface sediment analyses. ethods and procedures	186
1	. Sampling design	186
2	. Sampling methods	186
3	. Sand vs mud	188
4	. Sand fraction analyses by sedimentation	188
5	. Reproducibility and reliability	192
Appendix 4. De su	etailed descriptive and graphic logs, ubsurface sediment cores from the von-Heathcote Estuary	199

# Environmental Geology of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary Corrections and Amendments

Abs	par. l line 2 <u>R</u> ivers.
p. 1	par. 1 6 km <sup>2</sup> .
p. 3	par. 1 basin.
p. 4	par. 2 mesotidal.
p. 7	par. 2 Martins 1966, Heinemann.
p.9	par. $1 \ 10^{6} \text{m}^{3}$ .
p. 13	Fig. 5 "B" missing, LH side, centre panel.
p. 18	Fig. 6 RH column consists of values $> 20$ knots.
p. 23	Fig. 10 directional arrows misidentified - solid arrows indicate the mean SW wind; sharp open arrows, the NW; and squat open arrows, the E wind.
p. 30	par. 3 p = fluid density.
p. 31	par. 2 orbital velocities should be in cm/s. par. 3 omit "geometrical optics and ".
p. 42	par. 4 final sentence should continue "expediency, in spite of the further limitation that in the present case, velocities have not been measured 100 cm above the bed."
p. 43	tables should have $\bar{u}$ , not $\bar{u}_{100}$ , in LH columns.
p. 48	par. 1 sentence 2. location 3 Figure 17, not Figure 20.
p. 52	Fig. 22 Sandy Point.
p. 56	par. 4 sentence 1. Omit words in parentheses.
p. 65	sentence 1, above LWOST; sentence 4, Heathcote.
p. 66	par. 4 and onwards, Maps $3$ and $4$ , not 2 and 3.
p. 74	Fig. 35 A is upper plot, B is lower. Sorted in caption.
p. 75	line 4 magnitude
p. 77	2nd to last line, log.
p. 78	par. 2 modal.
p. 80	$psi = -log_2 x.$
p.104	(and onwards), s = standard deviation, N = number of samples.
p.117	Fig. 60. Caption should continue "subsurface sediment. Arrows show mean HAD values of each group."
p.118	Fig. 61. Caption should continue "sediment. Numbered asterisks are group means, with b' mean unnumbered; dashed lines are linear regressions fitted to all group bl-b4 samples (short dashes) and to group means only (long dashes).
p.128	line 5 presumably.
p.129	par. 3 on not of.
p.154	par. 2 sentence 6 should read "suspended sand (and mud)"
p.175	par. 3 1.65 not $1.51 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3$ .
p.194	par. 3 Fig. 84 should be Fig. 85. In Fig. 83 data are from Fig. 82, not 33; and in Figure 85 data are from Fig. 84, not 35.

There are no Figures 75 and 76.

vii

# GLOSSARY OF FREQUENTLY USED ABBREVIATIONS

AHE	¥	Avon-Heathcote Estuary
CDB	=	Christchurch Drainage Board
HAD		Height above (Christchurch Drainage Board) datum
HWOST	Ŧ	High water, ordinary spring tide
HWONT	=	High water, ordinary neap tide
MHW	=	Mean high water
MTL	=	Mean tide level (equivalent to mean sea level)
MLW		Mean low water
LWONT	=	Low water, ordinary neap tide
LWOST	=	Low water, ordinary spring tide
yBP	=	Years before present
CERC	=	Coastal Engineering Research Centre
		(of the US Army Corps of Engineers)

# LIST OF FIGURES

. . .

P

FIGURE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page
1	Location maps	2
2	Geology of the Christchurch area	6
3	Christchurch and suburbs. Population, miles of stormwater and sewage pipes, volumes of effluent released into the estuary from CDB treatment works, and changes in the volume of the tidal compartment of the AHE for the period from 1850 to 1975.	
4	Diagrammatic representation of exponential changes in the volume of the tidal compartment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, for the period 1850-1980	. 11
5	Synoptic maps of changes in the inlet area of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary for the period 1850 to 1975	13
6	Wind event frequency table	18
7	Derivation of wind stress (E <sub>w</sub> ) and effective wind stress (E <sub>wf</sub> )	19
8	Frequency distributions of wind stress, effective wind stress, per cent total wind events, and per cent total wind events greater than or equal to 19 knots (5 m/s)	21
ŷ	Directional distribution of effective wind stress $(\tilde{E}_{ref})$	2.2
10	Effective fetch map of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	23
11	Wave prediction curves	25
12	Diagrammatic illustration of the relationships between wave generation and water depth in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	28
13	Southwest wind (217 <sup>0</sup> ) and east wind (075 <sup>0</sup> ) wave refraction diagram	33
14	Wave formed ripples of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	35
15	Distribution and orientations of wave-formed ripples on September 4 1976 (west side) and September 8 1976 (east side)	36
16	Ripple crest spacing vs water depth (as height above datum), western slopes, September 4 1976	37
17	Intertidal and subtidal current velocities in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	41
18	Current-formed ripples in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	45
19	The intertidal configuration of the ebb-tide delta of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	47
20	Possible wind-driven advective circulation of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	49

-4

GURE		Page
21	Intertidal bathyforms of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	51
22	Shorelines of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	52
23	Bathymorphic profiles. Eastern high tide slopes, lines 2-10.	53
24	Bathymorphic profiles. Eastern high tide slopes, lines 11-15.	54
25	Bathymorphic response to wave energy	55
26	Summary bathymetry and wave energy distribution of the eastern side of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	58
27	Bathymorphic profiles. Eastern midtide shelf, lines 7 and 8. Eastern low tide flats, lines 5–8.	59
28	Bathymorphic profiles. Western slopes and flats, lines 2-8.	60
29	Bathymorphic profiles. Western slopes, lines 9-15.	61
30	Bathymorphic response to wave energy	62
31	Bathymorphic profiles. Central sand mounds, lines 8, 11-13.	64
32	Bathymetry/Energy model of the intertidal slopes and flats of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	69
33	Muddiness of surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	72
34	Sediment muddiness vs water depth	73
35	Sediment muddiness vs water depth	74
36	Dendogram resulting from cluster analysis of 102 representative samples of surface sediment from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	81
37	Representative cumulative probability curves of samples from cluster groups A, B and C	82
38	Representative cumulative probability curves of samples from cluster groups D, E and F	83
39	Cumulative curve envelopes (from Figures 41 and 42) of cluster groups A-C and D-F, plotted on common axes	84
40	Scatter plot of mean size vs graphic standard deviation (sorting) of 480 samples from cluster groups A—F	86
41	Scatter plot of 5th percentiles (psi 5) vs 95th percentiles (psi 95) of 480 samples from the cluster groups A-F	87
42	Histograms of sample frequency vs sample HAD for cluster groups A-E	89
43	Diagrammatic distribution patterns of sediment cluster groups	91
44	Surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Sand fraction graphic standard deviation (sorting), contoured at 0.2 psi, 0.3 psi and 0.4 psi.	95

 $\overline{a}$ 

IGURE		Page
45	Surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Sand fraction graphic mean diameter, contoured at 2.0 psi, 2.5 psi and 3.0 psi	96
46	A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles, lines 2-11 from the western slopes and flats. Horizontal reference marks are at 9.0 m HAD. B - trends in sand fraction graphic mean diameter plotted at the same constant scale	97
47	A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles, lines 2, 5, 6-8 from the eastern low tide flats. B - Trends in sand fraction graphic mean size	99
48	A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles across 9.0 m HAD flats and shelves, plotted on common axes	101
49	Graphic mean size/bathymetry model of the surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	102
50	Stratigraphic sections and summary graphic logs	105
51	Summary stratigraphy	106
52	Summary stratigraphy	107
53	Summary stratigraphy	108
54	Representative cumulative probability curves, graphic statistics and percentile input data, unit (a) subsurface sediment	109
55	Scatter plots of graphic mean diameter vs graphic standard deviation, and graphic mean diameter vs HAD, unit (a) subsurface sediment	110
56	Contoured upper contact of subsurface sediment unit (a), in metres HAD	112
57	Sand fraction graphic statistics, percentile input data, and dendrogram resulting from cluster analysis of input data, unit (b) subsurface sediment	114
58	Representative cumulative probability curves from groups 101-64 and 6', unit (b) subsurface sediment. Follows page no.	114
59	Scatter plot of graphic mean diameter vs graphic standard deviation for samples from unit (b), subsurface sediment	116
60	Sample frequency vs HAD for the groups bl-b4 and b', unit (b) subsurface sediment	117
61	Scatter plots. A - Mean diameter vs HAD. B - Per cent mud vs HAD. Sample from cluster group (b), subsurface sediment	118
61	Surface occurrences of post-European anthropogenic mud – subsurface stratigraphic unit (c) – in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	120
63	Representative cumulative probability curves, graphic statistics and percentile input data, unit (c) subsurface sediment	121

, -<del>-</del> ...

<i

GURE		Page
64	Scatter plots. A - Mean diameter vs graphic standard deviation. B - Mean diameter vs HAD, unit (c) subsurface sediment	122
65	Surface evidence of sediment-modifying biological activity in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	125
66	Surface evidence of sediment-modifying biological activity in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	126
67	Scatter plot showing 17 pairs of surface sediment samples and adjacent uppermost core samples from the Avon-Heathcote EStuary	130
68	The western end of line 12	132
69	Sand fraction cumulative probability curves of surface and 5 cm core samples. Follows page no.	132
70	Plots of sediment muddiness and graphic mean diameter of surface and 5 cm core sample pairs from line 12	133
71	Scatter plots, surface sample and 5 cm core sample pairs, from line 12	134
72	Shallow cores 2-9 from line 12 in the Heathcote Basin	1 35
73	Cumulative probability curves of samples from cores 2, 4 and 5-6	137
74	Cumulative probability curves of samples from cores 7, 8 and 9	138
77	A - $14$ Å peak heights vs 7Å peak heights (in millimetres) from X-ray analyses of subsurface sediment samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. B - $10$ Å peak heights vs 7Å peak heights from the same analyses	142
78	Relative abundances and stratigraphic distribution of Ostracoda in four core samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	145
79	Cross-sectional area of the tidal entrance at mid tide and the tidal compartment at ordinary spring tide, Avon-Heathcote Estuary, 1854-1975	174
80	Comparison of cumulative probability curves of four representative sand fractions of samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	190
81	Scatter plots of sieve vs RSA size analyses	191
82	Replication exercise. Results of analyses of 31 subsamples of 539	193
83	Replication exercise. Sequential variations in mean diameter, graphic standard deviation (sorting), skewness and kurtosis of 31 subsamples of sample 539, run in the sequence 1-31. Data from Figure 33.	195
84	Replication exercise. Results of analyses of 25 consecutive lm × 5cm surface sediment samples	-
	from the eastern end of line 9.	196

xi

85

Replicat	tion	exerc	ise.	Sequ	enti	al vai	riation	in	
granulor	netri	c pro	operties	s of	25	consec	utive	$1 \text{m} \times$	5cm
surface	sedi	ment	sample:	s. i	Data	from	Figure	35	

197

Page

# LIST OF MAPS

MAP		Follows Page
1	Intertidal bathymetry of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary	49
2	Distribution of samples belonging to cluster groups A to F	90
3	Sediment budget, 1920 to 1962	177
4	Sediment budget, 1962 to 1975/77	177

### INTRODUCTION

This study consists of a detailed examination of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary (AHE), a small (area = 6 km) shallow (mean depth at HWOST = 140 cm) largely intertidal (15% subtidal) estuary surrounded by the eastern suburbs of Christchurch City on the east coast of the South Island, New Zealand (Figure 1). The AHE is a particularly suitable research area because it is a convenient size, it is readily accessible, and because its biology is fairly well known.

### Purpose

The primary objective has been to investigate <u>physical</u> changes that have occurred in the AHE as a result of man's activities. This objective has been realised by making a relatively long term (130 year) retrospective assessment of the impact of Christchurch City on the estuary. Contemporary physical processes and the properties of active surface sediment were examined to identify the major sources of physical energy in the estuary, and to show how these affect the transport and deposition of sediment. Subsurface sediment was examined to test the possibility that it contains a record of past environmental conditions.

### Previous work

The literature on estuaries is vast, diverse, and difficult to summarise. Good general reviews include the landmark publication edited by Lauff (Estuaries, 1967), physical oceanography texts by Ippen (1966), Dyer (1973) and Officer (1976b), collections of papers edited by Nelson (1973) and Cronin (1975), and numerous papers in scores of international journals. Volume 19(5) of Oceanus is a good review of many aspects of estuarine geology, oceanography and ecology. The innovative manual of simple oceanographic techniques by Wright (1974) is also useful.

1. Definition of an estuary. The most commonly accepted definition is that of Pritchard (1967: "An estuary is a semi-enclosed coastal body of water which has a free connection with the sea and within which sea water is measureably diluted with fresh water derived from land drainage."







Figure 1. Location maps. A - New Zealand. B - Pegasus Bay (1), mouth of the Waimakariri River (2), the Avon-Heathcote Estuary (3), Banks Peninsula, with Lyttelton Harbour (4) and Akaroa Harbour (5), and Lake Ellesmere (6). C - Detail of southern Pegasus Bay (1) and the Christchurch area, showing the Avon-Heathcote Estuary (2), Brighton Spit (3) and the urban area (4).

2. Classification of estuaries. Various classification schemes for estuaries have been proposed in the past, and these can be grouped into two principal types:

- (i) Those based on the mode of formation of the basis. Thus
   Pritchard (1967) recognised four varieties: drowned river
   valleys (also known as coastal plain estuaries), fjords,
   bar-built estuaries, and those produced by tectonic processes
   (or all those not fitting the first three classes).
- (ii) Those based on different degrees of mixing of fresh and salt water: circulation patterns, the intensity of vertical density stratification, and the extent of lateral homogeneity are the major variables in these classifications (Schubel, 1972; Dyer, 1973; Officer, 1976b). End members are salt-wedge estuaries, where the interface between salt and fresh water is steep and abrupt, and well mixed estuaries, where the water mass may be horizontally as well as vertically homogeneous.

3. Discussion. Most of the material published on estuaries is concerned with large, mesotidal, predominantly subtidal coastal plain (river valley) estuaries, where the tidal range may be considerably less than half the mean depth, and where water flowing into the estuary is usually in residence for many tidal cycles. Such estuaries are commonly either partially mixed or highly stratified (Officer, 1976b). Examples are Chesapeake Bay and the New England estuaries of the U.S. Atlantic Coast, the Thames, Tay and Severn estuaries of the U.K., and the Gironde of France.

Research in estuaries of this sort has tended to concentrate on the nature of tidal averaged (mean) flow, the location and dynamics of shoaling areas, the properties and transportation of suspended particulate matter, both organic and inorganic, and the nature and significance of poorly understood phenomena such as occurrences of ephemeral fluid mud - heavy-metal rich, anaerobic material which may significantly alter the environmental quality of many large estuaries (for example see Officer, 1976a). The turbidity maxima which exist near the landward ends of many large estuaries, various shippingoriented engineering problems, and environmental problems involving waste disposal are also features which have received considerable attention (for example see Cronin, 1975). Much of this material is irrelevant to the present study, because the AHE is very small,

microtidal, has an almost complete tidal exchange, and is usually well mixed (for example see Knox and Kilner, 1973).

4. The influence of tidal range. Hayes (1975) has proposed a useful subclassification of coastal plain and bar-built estuaries which is based in tidal range, and three classes are recognised:

- (i) Microtidal where the tidal range is 0-2 m
- (ii) Mestodial where the tidal range is 2-4 m
- (iii) Macrotidal where the tidal range is > 4 m

Microtidal estuaries are characterised by storm-surge and wave-built features, and may have small flood-tide deltas. Dominant processes are wind and wave induced; currents generated by the astronomical tide are important transporters of sediment only at the mouth.

The channel and shoal morphologies of tidal deltas in mesotidal estuaries are remarkably similar from place to place, and conform to basic models, regardless of varying local hydrodynamic conditions (Coastal Research Group, 1969; Hayes, 1975; Reinson, 1977). A major feature is the horizontal separation of flood and ebb currents in the channels of the tidal deltas due to the time-velocity asymmetry of tidal currents (Postma, 1967; Hayes, 1975). Macrotidal estuaries are dominated by tidal currents and are usually broad-mouthed and funnel shaped. Sand bodies are centrally located, linear, and aligned parallel to the long axis of the estuary. Marginal muddy tidal flats are common.

5. Previous work in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. The unpublished compilation by Knox and Kilner (The ecology of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, 1973), prepared for the Christchurch Drainage Board (CDB), contains a comprehensive summary of scientific work done in and near the AHE prior to 1972. This work was mostly biological, much of it directed towards an evaluation of the role of the CDB in the pollution of the estuary. Several of the individual projects reported in this compilation briefly examined aspects of the physical environment. An attempt to summarise this early work would unnecessarily duplicate Knox and Kilner's excellent review - relevant aspects of it will be referred to where appropriate in the following report.

### PART ONE

### HISTORY OF THE AVON-HEATHCOTE ESTUARY

### Quaternary history of the Christchurch area

Metropolitan Christchurch and the AHE are situated on a coastal plain composed of Late Quaternary terrestrial gravels, marine and dune sands, and estuarine peats and clays (Suggate, 1958, 1968; Brown, 1975, in press; Wilson, in press). To the south-east, the metropolitan area spreads on to the lower and western flanks of Banks Peninsula, which is composed of andesitic and basaltic-andesitic flows and red-weathering agglomerates of the Lyttelton Volcanics (Figure 2). Yellow loess, predominantly of coarse silt, mantles the lower flanks of the volcanic cone.

During the last 5 000 years the Pegasus Bay coastline has migrated eastwards (D.D. Wilson, pers. comm.), leaving behind a sequence of surface sediments which progresses from inland peat and swamp remnants, alluvial silt and fluviatile gravels, through sand deposits and fixed and semi-fixed dunes, to the modern beach (Figure 2). Biggs (1947) recognised three separate dune belts within the coastal part of this sequence (shown undifferentiated in Figure 2); Blake (1964) dated the oldest (inland) belt at about 4 000 to 5 500 yBP, the central belt at 1 000 to 2 000 yBP, and the youngest belt, landward of the active dunes of the modern beach, at 400 to 1000 yBP. Dune remnants of the second belt occur west of the AHE, while sand of the younger belt occurs to the north-east indicating that the estuary probably first appeared between 1 000 and 2000 years ago. The western edge of the modern estuary is inferred to be the approximate position of the coast at the time of formation of the second dune belt, and Sandy Point (Map 1) is interpreted as an abandoned spit hook, analogous to the present one several thousand metres to the east.



Figure 2. Geology of the Christchurch area. After Brown (1975, in press). Fixed and semi-fixed dunes and inland sand deposits are stippled. Other features include active dunes and the modern beach (1), drained peat swamps (2), alluvial silt deposits (3), fluviatile gravel of the river flood plains (4), loess areas (5) and volcanic rock of the Tertiary Lyttelton Volcanoe (6). Numbered localities include the Avon-Heathcote Estuary (7), Colombo Street (8), Papanui Road (9), Moorhouse Avenue (10), Linwood Avenue (11), the Avon River (12) and the Heathcote River (13).

### Post-European history of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary

1. Introduction and discussion. The common fate of estuaries is to fill with sediment (Roberts and Pierce, 1974), and some have done so in historical times - for example the River Dee in England (Leggate, 1973). Estuaries are infilled with sediment because they are very efficient sediment sinks, accumulating almost all material entering them from both land and offshore sources (Meade, 1974). Many estuaries have filled rapidly with sediment since the beginning of the 20th Century because of landuse changes in their catchment areas (Roberts and Pierce, 1974). A major cause of accelerated infilling is the conversion of large proportions of estuarine catchments from rural to urban uses.

The effects of urbanisation on adjacent waterways are well known (Savani and Kamerer, 1961; Lindh, 1972; Guy and Jones, 1973; Heinmann and Piest, 1973). During the early urban stage - when vegetation cover is removed, some houses are built, wells are drilled and sanitary drains dug - there is an increase in stormwater flow, an increase in soil moisture, a rise in the water table, and some waterlogging of land. As a result sediment yields increase, and sediment accumulates rapidly in nearby streams. In later stages the combination of increasing impervious cover (roofs and roads) and more efficient drainage results in increasing volumes of storm runoff and a reduction in the runoff lag time - the time between the centre of mass of a rainstorm and the centre of mass of the resulting runoff (Martins, 1968; Leopold, 1968; Detwyler, 1971).

During post-urban basin stabilisation (Guy and Jones, 1972, p.2109) sediment deposited in streams and other water bodies during early urbanisation is re-eroded, as the rate of sediment supply decreases, but runoff volumes and flood flows continue to increase. As further stabilisation occurs watercourses are stripped of sediment deposited during early development, and often increase beyond their original dimensions to accommodate the increasing flow. Sediment moving through and beyond these enlarging channels will usually be considerably coarser than sediment yielded during construction. 2. Urbanisation and drainage modifications in the Christchurch area. The first European settlers arrived in the Christchurch area in 1850, and by 1875 the population had risen to more than 10 600 (Retter, pers. comm.). Early maps of the area (known as Black Maps and held by the Lands and Survey Department, Christchurch - see Scott (1963) for a redrafted version) indicate that in about 1850 the area which is now Christchurch City was predominantly wet marshy land, with shallow ponds and shaking bogs, vegetated with rushes, flax and ferns. To the east the older parts of the dune complex were covered with grasses and Manuka, while the youngest, easternmost dunes were unvegetated. Areas of open grassland were interspersed between the swamps and sandhills.

In the earliest days of settlement surface water in the area was clear and pure in appearance, and quite suitable for drinking (Scott, 1963, p.68). However, as the population grew, the quality of the surface water declined, and after rain the area was often a "... pestilential swamp" (Hercus, 1942). Although early drainage works were undertaken by the Canterbury Provincial Council, a lack of unanimity and purpose meant that it was 1878 before the newly formed Christchurch Drainage Board (CDB) could begin an underground sewage and stormwater network. By 1901, 54 km of pipes were laid, and  $3.2 \times 10^6$  gallons of effluent flowed daily to a sewage farm near the present day oxidation ponds (immediately to the west of the estuary). As a result the quality of surface water in the area improved, and in 1890 the Colonial analyst reported that water entering the estuary after passing through the new sewage farm was "... deprived of any harmfull constituents" (Hercus, 1942, p.57).

Although it was never intended to, the sewage system altered surface runoff characteristics. By 1930, a minimum of 600 gallons/ acre/day of groundwater entered the sewers from the City area (Scott, 1963), reducing surface runoff from unpaved areas as a result. The significance of this effect is illustrated by the observation that in 1930, 30% of the freshwater catchment of the AHE (which covers an area of 73 miles<sup>2</sup> and contains 75 miles of natural watercourses) was serviced by sewers, with a total length of 152 miles (Scott, 1963).

3. Changes in the tidal compartment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary: It is reasonable to expect that radical alterations to the hydraulics and hydrology of the freshwater catchment of the AHE, an

inevitable result of the growth of Christchurch City, would cause an accelerated rate of infilling, and a sympathetic decrease in the volume of the tidal compartment (defined as the volume of water in the estuary at high tide, minus the amount that remains at low tide). It has been possible to make a total of 10 estimates of historical volumes of the tidal compartment of this estuary, using the methods outlined in Appendix 1. Figure 3 shows the resulting trend, and also shows changes in population numbers, in the length of the sewage-stormwater system, and in the amount of effluent released into the estuary from CDB treatment works. The results summarised in Figure 3 are interpreted to mean that the tidal compartment decreased in volume from 1850 onwards, reaching a value of 4.8 to  $5.0 \times 10^6$  m sometime between 1875 and 1900. This was a decrease of about 30% from the pre-European value of  $7.7 \times 10^6$  m . The volume subsequently increased, quite rapidly from about 1900 to 1920, less rapidly thereafter, to reach a value of about  $10.9 \times 10^6$  m in 1975 (30% more than in 1850).

Figure 3 reveals that the decreasing tidal compartment trend was reversed at about the time that the CDB began to rationalise the City drainage system (in about 1880). The subsequent rapid increase in volume was paralleled by increases in the length of the City's drainage system, suggesting that there may be a fundamental link between changes in the tidal compartment and the rate of modification of the freshwater catchment of the estuary.

4. Discussion and conclusions. Human activities which alter geomorphic systems often do so by disrupting natural steady-state or quasi-equilibrium conditions (for example, see Graf, 1977). Because fluvial systems are particularly sensitive to changes in landuse, they are especially susceptible to disruption. After the initial disturbance, they begin to change quickly, and approach new equilibria at exponentially decreasing rates. In Figure 4, two diagrammatic exponential functions are fitted to data from Appendix 1, and these show that changes in the tidal compartment of the AHE may be qualitatively modelled as two exponential functions, suggesting that the estuary has responded to two separate geomorphic disruptions. The first was due to the rapid early settlement of the area, when drainage work lagged behind urban growth, and when the volume of sediment supplied to the Avon and Heathcote Rivers probably increased steeply. This sediment was presumably deposited in the estuary, causing the decrease in the tidal compartment shown in Figure 4.



Data from Hercus (1942), Knox and Kilner (1973), Retter (personal communication), Scott (1963) and from Wigram (1916).





The second disruption, which reversed (or overwhelmed) the first, was probably a combined effect of reduced sediment supply due to drainage basin stabilisation, and of changes in both the stormand low-flow runoff response of the area. The second curve now appears to be asymptotically approaching a new, post-urban equilibrium state.

5. Changes at the inlet to the estuary, 1850-1975. As well as internal volumetric changes, the estuary has experienced major changes in the configuration of its inlet area since 1850. The early maps of *HMS Pandora* (1854), Elliot (1874), McIntyre-Lewis (1904), of the Lyttelton Harbour Board survey (1920), of *HMNZS Lachlan* (1950) and of the 1970 Wallingford Report (all supplied to the writer by the CDB), provide valuable documentation of these changes. Figure 5 is a series of maps which summarise major changes that have occurred in the inlet area during the period 1854-1975. Many of these changes have been reported previously (by Pearce, 1951; Scott, 1955; Hutchinson, 1972; Knox and Kilner, 1973; and Millward, 1975), and have been interpreted as responses to inexplicable variations in onshore wave energy, longshore sediment supply, river flow, or all three.

The changes shown in Figure 5 occurred in three phases: the first, from 1850 to about 1928, saw the outlet change little, except that during the early years the arcuate southern channel carried most of the flow through Moncks Bay, and by 1928 the northern, diagonal channel carried most of the flow. The second phase, from about the mid 1920's to the mid 1950's, saw a major reorganisation occur, with most changes happening during winter storms (Pearce, 1950; Hutchinson, 1972). The principal subtidal channel migrated south into Moncks Bay, the spit grew south and west, sand was deposited on Clifton Beach (previously a rocky shore), and the ebb delta migrated north about As the ebb delta migrated north, the wave climate of Sumner 500 m. Beach changed, because the delta had previously sheltered Summer from large waves from the east and north-east. Severe erosion of the beach threatened seaside real estate, and expensive coast protection work was necessary (Scott, 1955; Macpherson, 1976). During the third phase, from 1955 to the present, the rate of change slowed, and the result is the configuration shown in Figure 5D.

Significant changes did not occur at the outlet until the late 1920's, when the tidal compartment of the estuary first exceeded the



Figure 5. Synoptic maps of changes in the inlet area of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary for the period 1850 to 1975.

pre-European volume of about  $8 \times 10^{6}$  m<sup>3</sup>. Most of the changes occurred relatively quickly between 1930 and 1960, when the tidal compartment increased rapidly from 8 to  $10 \times 10^{6}$  m<sup>3</sup>. The writer's interpretation is that the changes shown in Figure 5 were an orderly, progressive response to accommodate the increasing volumes of water flowing through the inlet. The tidal compartment curve in Figure 4 appears to be asymptotically approaching a value of about  $11 \times 10^{6}$  m<sup>3</sup>, and the inlet has changed little in recent years. The 1975 configuration shown in Figure 5D has a more efficient, symetrical appearance than configurations preceding it, and appears similar in shape to high energy, mesotidal inlets associated with large bar-built estuaries, such as those on parts of the U.S. Atlantic Coast (for example, see Lynch-Blosse and Kumar, 1976).

The cross-sectional area of a stable tidal inlet (with a supply of sediment) is coupled to the flow of water through the inlet by a critical shear stress relationship, in which the maximum current speed is 1.14 m/s (Heath, 1975; Bruun and Gerritson, 1960). This is the theoretical basis of the Furkert-Heath relationship, and it means that all other things being equal, changes in the tidal compartment will cause an inlet to erode or deposit sediment to maintain a maximum throughgoing current speed of 1.14 m/s. The broad nature of this relationship is clearly illustrated in Heath's data, where 16 quite different New Zealand tidal inlets conform to the Furkert-Heath relationship, in spite of wide variations in offshore bathymetry, onshore wave energy, and rate of sediment supply (Heath, 1975, pp.453-454). Walton (1977) has recorded that in some mobile U.S. tidal inlets, there is a linear relationship between the volume of sand stored in the ebb delta (the "outer bar" of Walton), and the cross-sectional area of the adjacent inlet. This relationship is functionally analogous to the Furkert-Heath relationship, and it is interesting to note that the 1975 ebb delta in Figure 5D is larger than the ebb delta in 5A (at least, the 2m depth contour is displaced further seaward in 1975), which probably means that it contains more sand and is acting as a depository of sand removed from the inlet as thetidal compartment increased. This interpretation lends qualitative support to the conclusion that the configuration, as well as the cross-sectional area of the inlet system has historically been regulated by the amount of water flowing through it.

It has been assumed in the past that man-induced changes in the AHE are a 20th Century event. For example, Knox and Kilner (1973) considered the first biological survey of the estuary (by Thompson, in 1929) to be a useful baseline, against which subsequent changes may be evaluated. The information in Figures 3 and 4 suggests that Thompson saw the estuary in a substantially altered state, and that the use of his observations to assay present-day features may be seriously misleading. It has also long been assumed that the estuary is filling with sediment (Knox and Kilner, 1973; J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). That the opposite is true is a surprising discovery, particularly in view of the reasonable expectation that this estuary would react to post-European changes by accumulating sediment. The discovery that both of these assumption are incorrect will fundamentally influence the following sections of this report.

### PART TWO

### ENERGETICS - WAVES AND THE TIDE

### Introduction

From Part One it is clear that the growth of Christchurch City has had a major impact on the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Since the AHE is predominantly intertidal, intertidal processes have probably figured largely in this impact. According to Hayes (1975), microtidal estuaries are dominated by wave generated processes, and an analysis of wave energy is an appropriate place to begin the description of physical intertidal processes.

### Waves and wave hindcasting

Gathering statistically meaningful field data on waves is an expensive and technically difficult process, especially in shallow water, where waves may be influenced by local bathymetry (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, 1962). A more efficient method is to predict wave dimensions from a knowledge of the wind energy available to build waves. This process is known as wave hindcasting (predicting waves from past meteorological records), and the techniques, limitations and shortcomings of the methods involved are quite well known. The principal reference is the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Centre, Shore Protection Manual (CERC, 1973; and subsequent updates)

1. Wind characteristics. The first step in wave hindcasting is to estimate surface wind speeds and directions. The CDB operates a recording anemometer at Bromley, about 5 km west of the estuary. Daily records are routinely analysed by the New Zealand Meteorological Service, and a 5 year run of such analyses was made available by the CDB. The 5 year record (from April 1st 1967 to March 31st 1972) consisted of wind speeds and directions at exact hours 0100 to 2400, NZ Standard Time, with speed in knots (where 1 knot = approximately 0.5 m/s) and directions in  $10^{\circ}$  arcs.

Since waves only occur when the tide is in, it is sufficient to consider wind which blows for some arbitrary period corresponding to high tide - in this study high tide ± 2 hours was chosen. High tide times for the period covered by the wind record were obtained from the NZ Nautical Almanack (1967-1972), with tide times for the estuary assumed to be the times predicted for Lyttelton, plus 1 hour (Consultants Report, 1973).

A list of high tide times (rounded to the nearest half hour) was prepared for the 5 year period (a total of 3 586 tides). Wind speeds and directions at each hour for 2 hours either side of high tide were also listed, giving either 4 or 5 wind vectors, depending on whether high tide occurred closest to a whole or half hour. Mean wind speeds and directions were calculated for each high tide, and variations in both speed and direction were also recorded. These pairs of mean values are termed wind events. If the wind was calm for three or more of the readings involved in each event, the high tide was recorded as a calm. High tides where no data was recorded (mainly because of instrument malfunctions) were also noted. The wind was calm, or not recorded, for a total of 1 364 tides during the 5 year period (38% of all events). About 10% of all events were 'no data' events.

A wind event frequency table was constructed (Figure 6), from which it is clear that wind events in the AHE are directionally anisotropic, clustering about  $090^{\circ}$  (easterlies) and  $260^{\circ}$  (southwesterlies). The purpose of a wind analysis is to determine the amount of energy available to make waves. Thus estimates of <u>wind stress</u> are also necessary. Wind stress ( $E_w$ ) is defined as force/unit area acting on the water surface, where  $E_w$  increases with increasing wind speed (U) more rapidly than U<sup>2</sup>, but less rapidly than U<sup>3</sup>, so that

 $E_{w} \alpha U^{2-3}$  (Monk, 1955).

If  $E_{W}$  is assumed to increase in proportion to  $U^{2}$  (for example, see Bokuniewicz and others, 1975), then the results will be conservative estimates of wind stress.

Multiplying  $U^2$  by frequency for the wind speed classes in Figure 6 yields dimensionless parameters of wind stress, which for convenience may be recalculated as percentages (Figure 7). Low speed wind events are more variable in both speed and direction than faster events, and are relatively insignificant producers of waves, so wind events with mean speeds of less than 10 knots (5 m/s) are disregarded. The resulting distribution of effective wind stress ( $E_{wf}$ ) forms the basis of subsequent analyses.

	MEAN DIREC	CTION	MI	EAN	SPI	EED	(Ю	TOK	5)																
			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	)			
		010 020 030 040 050	0 0 1 3	2 2 1 2 1	3 1 2 7 6	2 1 4 5 6	1 1 3 3 8	2 0 4 5 5	1 2 4 6	1 0 3 4 3	3 2 2 3 7	2 1 3 3 5	1 2 2 1 2	1 2 0 0 3	1 1 2 2	2 2 0 1	0 1	1 0	0	0	0	l			
		060 070 080 090 100	6 10 18 14 13	13 12 15 21 11	7 14 14 15 12	17 20 26 23 20	10 26 30 18 21	13 29 34 25 23	4 21 24 24 30	9 14 22 25 31	6 19 22 24 17	8 9 14 13 15	6 8 12 9	4 5 3 6 4 7	125451	2 1 2 4 7	1211	1 0 1	0	0	0	1			
		120 130 140 150	22210	4 0 1 0	3001	9 2 2 0 1	5101	7 1 3	1 1 0	9 1 2 1	2 1 0	430 0	1 0 0	0 0 1	00	000	10	0	0	0	0	1			
		170 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250	0 0 1 2 2 3 7 9 15	1 2 0 4 4 3 11 12	2 1 2 1 4 2 7 18	0014654313	020665467	3 30 7 12 6 12 9	1 2 1 4 7 3 9 4 6	012334496	1159469850	35245144	0 3 4 11 0 0	0336321	<b>1</b> 533200	202120	0 2 1 0 0	0 2 3 0 0	101	1 0 0 1	01	2 3			
		260 270 280 290	9 14 8 10	18 12 6 4	12 14 18 9	16 13 3 7	23 12 8 2	8 6 4 2	4 5 2 2	4 4 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 0 2 0	2 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 1	3	0	0	0 1	0	1	Ţ			
		300 310 320 330 340 350 360	5 1 1 1 0 2	5 3 4 4 0 0 2	0 1 2 0 2 3	3 0 1 0 1 0 2	0 1 0 3 1 1	1 1 2 1 1 1	1 2 2 4 1 2 2	1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 0	21 22 250	0 4 0 0 1 0 0	0 1 1 1 3 3	31 12 11 4	1 2 0 0 1 1 3	3 0 2 0 2 1	1 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 0	1 2 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 1 1			
TC EV	TAL VENTS		177	7 <sub>190</sub>	6 <sup>20</sup>	3 <sub>220</sub>	2 <sup>21</sup>	924	3 <sup>192</sup>	<sup>2</sup> 17	5180	5 110	<sub>5</sub> 9:	16	8 4	84	21	4 l	3	9	4	4 12	= 3	2232	
PE TO	CRCENT of TAL EVENTS		7-93	8.78	9.09	98,6	9.81	10.89	8.60	7.84	8.33	5.20	4.08	ζυ. ζ		00°T		о 60,00		0.40	0.18	0.52 0.18	15 (	99,10	В

-- ...

Figure 6. Wind event frequency table. Mean wind speed and direction for each of 2 232 high tides for the period 04 1967 to 03 1972.

DIRECTION	NUMBER OF EVENTS	PERCENT OF TOTAL EVENTS	E <sub>w</sub>	PERCENT OF TOTAL EW	NUMBER OF EVENTS INUMBER OF EVENTS	FERCENT OF TOTAL EVENTS	E <sub>wf</sub> ( > 10 KHOTS)	FERCENT OF E <sub>wf</sub> ( > loknors) <sup>wf</sup>
010 020 030 040 050 060 090 100 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 220 2450 2450 2450 2450 2450 2450 2450	23 14 28 41 55 1002 2166 2068 2150 2266 2058 11 8 4 0 8 4 0 8 4 255 77 787 105 2250 237 124	1.04 0.63 1.27 1.86 2.50 4.54 8.26 10.08 9.81 9.35 7.17 1.36 0.50 0.36 0.18 0.63 0.91 2.54 3.91 1.31 1.91 1.31 1.91 1.04 0.77 0.99	21852 1302 21577 30966 41566 64866 15009 15438 136556 1701 910 3526 1701 910 1701 910 35666 53782 55104 45441 4383 2748 32940 32066 22558 22558	1.44 0.42 2.05 2.75 4.28 9.92 10.21 9.96 1.12 0.667 0.27 0.605 1.065 3.799 1.81 0.603 5.79 1.81 0.52 2.881 1.62 1.6	18 91207657558377210014126325477117526334898311	$\begin{array}{c} 1.81\\ 1.31\\ 1.81\\ 1.81\\ 1.81\\ 3.29\\ 4.458\\ 9.39\\ 10.75\\ 9.39\\ 10.75\\ 5.45\\ 1.15\\ 0.16\\ 1.82\\ 9.77\\ 1.15\\ 0.16\\ 1.82\\ 3.777\\ 1.881\\ 1.82\\ 3.777\\ 1.881\\ 1.82\\ 3.994\\ 0.33994\\ 0.33994\\ 0.33994\\ 0.33994\\ 0.33994\\ 0.33994\\ 1.31\\ 1.8$	1790 1143 1896 2717 3754 69148 8944 82988 4758 963 541 169 -100 436 1301 4196 4361 1301 4196 4361 1301 4196 4361 1495 24233 1477 17935 2422 15950 2888 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 28850 19500 24433 2102 210	$\begin{array}{c} 1.870\\ 1.995\\ 3.947\\ 3.985\\ 3.647\\ 7.79\\ 8.985\\ 3.647\\ 7.79\\ 8.985\\ 3.647\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.368\\ 1.00\\ 1.$

-- .

Figure 7. Derivation of wind stress  $({\rm E}_{_W})$  and effective wind stress  $({\rm E}_{_{\rm W}f})$  .

Figure 8 shows the directional properties of the four distributions generated above, and from Figure 8 it is clear that three preferred directions are significant in the wind energy field of the AHE: 48% of all  $E_{\rm wf}$  occurs between 030<sup>°</sup> and 110<sup>°</sup>, 30% between 190<sup>°</sup> and 270<sup>°</sup>, and 20% between 290<sup>°</sup> and 010<sup>°</sup>.

To simplify analyses based on the wind stress values produced above, mean values for each of the preferred directions shown in Figure 8 were calculated, using a graphical technique analogous to that used for calculating the properties of grain size distributions. Percentages of  $E_{\rm wf}$  for each of the three wind directions were recalculated to 100 (Figure 9), and plotted on probability paper as cumulative curves. The appropriate percentiles were then read from the curves, and graphic mean values calculated.

Figure 6 shows that high speed winds are unequally distributed south-westerlies tend to be higher velocity events than north-westerlies, which tend to be higher velocity events than easterlies. If all wind events less than 15 knots (7.5 m/s) are omitted from the calculation, easterlies produce only 28 per cent of all  $E_{wf}$ , south-westerlies 36 per cent, and north-westerlies 34 per cent. Compare this to calculations based on <u>all</u> data in Figure 6, which indicate that westerlies produce about 70 per cent of total  $E_{wf}$ . This means that although east winds are more persistent, they may not contribute as much energy as either of the west winds.

The CERC wave prediction method assumes that deviations from mean wind directions do not exceed  $45^{\circ}$ , and that wind speeds do not vary more than 5 knots (2.5 m/s) from mean values (CERC Manual, pp.3-27). Confidence in predicted results deteriorates significantly when deviations exceed these limits. In many hindcasting predictions, wind duration is also significant, but in small enclosed bodies of water it is unlikely to impose limits on wave estimates (Sutherland, 1977).

Inspection of instrument traces from the Bromley anemometer indicates that these constraints on speed and direction variability are probably only exceeded in one of every 12 or 15 events, and the effect of limited duration (when the wind blows for less than half the 4 hour high tide period, for example) is only significant in perhaps one event in every 30. Since the simplified hindcasting method employed in this project will be accurate within 20% for only about two thirds of the time (CERC Manual, pp.3-33), it has been assumed that

Pigure 8. Prequency distributions of wind stress, effective wind stress, percent total wind events, and percent total wind events greater than or equal to 10 knots (5m/s).








Figure 10. Effective fetch map of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.

Contours of equal effective fetch (in thousands of feet). Calculated according to the method of the US Army Shore Protection Manual, pp 329-332. Solid line = south west wind at a mean direction of 217 degrees (squat open arrows), line with one dot = north west wind at 323 dgrees (sharp open arrows) and line with two dots = east wind at 075 degrees (solid arrows). Circles are data points.

incorporating the few events with high variability into the analysis will not materially alter the reliability of the results.

2. Delineating a fetch. The second step in the hindcasting procedure is to choose a fetch over which wind is reasonably constant in speed and direction, and to measure its length. The three mean directions from Figure 9 delineate appropriate fetch directions.

Fetch width may be significant in enclosed bodies of water, placing a restriction on fetch distances, in such a way that the less the width/length ratio, the shorter will be the effective fetch. The CERC procedure (pp.3-30 to 3-33) was used in this study, and effective fetch values for the relevant wind directions were calculated for about 110 stations in the AHE.

Figure 10 shows contours of effective fetch for the three mean wind directions; in the AHE, few areas have fetches exceeding 1800 metres (6000 feet), and long fetch winds are distributed quite uniformly around the east, south, and south-west shores. Areas in the north-west experience only short fetch east winds. The pattern of fetch contours is most complex in the south-west, where fetch width is more limiting than elsewhere in the estuary.

3. SMB wave predictions. Once mean wind speeds and directions have been established, and effective fetches calculated, wave parameters may be read directly from prediction graphs. The CERC graphs are based on procedures developed by Sverdrup and Munk (1947), with revisions by Bretschneider (1958) and subsequent modifications, and the prediction scheme is known as the Sverdrup-Munk-Bretschneider (SMB) technique. Replotted versions of SMB curves from the CERC Manual are presented as Figure 11. With these graphs, wave heights and wave periods may be estimated for winds of 10, 15 and 20 knots, for given fetches from 1000 to 8 000 feet (300 to 2 400 metres). The curves in Figures 11A and 11B are for wave generation in water 5 feet (1.5 metres) deep (Figure 3-21, CERC Manual, pp.3-47).

4. Limitations on wave dimensions. Water depth affects wave generation. In water where the relative depth d/L is greater than 1/2 (d = depth below still water level, L = wave length), wave characteristics are virtually independent of water depth, and waves are unaffected by the bottom.



Figure 11. Wave prediction curves for water 5 fact deep (1,5m) for winds of 10, 15 and 20 knots (5m/s, 7,5m/s, 10m/s). A - Wave height curves. B - Wave period curves. C - Height above datum of half deepwater wave length  $(h_c)$  v wave period, for the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.

As waves propagate into shallow water, or grow downfetch in water of constant depth, they begin to feel the bottom and bottom sediment starts to feel the waves, as oscillatory water motions associated with the waves exert a shear stress on the bottom.

Where d/L is less than 1/25, waves are in shallow water, and depths from 1/2 to 1/25 are defined as transitional (Madsen, 1976; CERC Manual, 1973). Significant alterations to wave properties begin when waves propagate into water shallower than d/L = 1/2 (a water depth referred to as  $h_c$ ). Since wavelength varies with decreasing depth in shallow water,  $h_c$  is calculated from wave period (T), which remains constant according to

 $h_c = 2.56 T^2$ .

For example, a 1.2 second wave has an  $h_{\rm c}$  value of about 1 metre, and interacts with the bottom in water shallower than 1 metre.

Inspection of Figure 11B shows that for winds from 10 to 20 knots, and for fetch distances from 1000 to 6000 feet, wave periods in the AHE will vary from about 1s to 1.9s. However, if h values are recalculated as height above datum values (by subtracting h<sub>c</sub> from a still water level of 10.22 m, which is HWOST) it is found that when wave periods reach about 1.35 s to 1.4 s, they have h<sub>c</sub> values of about 8.7 m HAD (Figure 11C). This means that in water deeper than 8.7 m HAD, 1.4 s waves will be interacting with the bottom. Map 1 shows that only small areas of the AHE are deeper than 8.7 m HAD; thus waves with periods greater than 1.4 s will be rare in this estuary. This conclusion is supported by two observations:

- (i) When ripples form on the higher intertidal flats they are of uniform size and spacing - regardless of the mean wind speed, direction or fetch distance during the preceding high tide and so were presumably made by waves of similar uniform sizes.
- (ii) On days when the mean wind speed exceeds about 10 knots, high tide wave trains contain a high proportion of spilling waves (Cokelet, 1977) - that is, many waves in the train have reached a limiting steepness (a maximum size) and have begun to break. Yachtsmen also record that waves larger than about 1 foot (30 cm) are rarely encountered in the AHE, regardless of wind strength or fetch distance (G. Coates, pers. comm.).

5. Wind event frequencies. The preceding analysis has shown that regardless of fetch distance or wind velocity, the waves which form at about high tide in the AHE rarely have periods which exceed 1.4 s. This means that the best method of assessing the relative wave-making importance of the three mean winds is to calculate wind event frequencies, not total effective wind stress. From Figure 7 the appropriate frequencies are:

Direction	Number	of events	Percentage	of	total	events
075		327		1	56	
217		166			28	
323		94		-	16	

These data indicate that the east wind is the dominant wave producer, as east winds are twice as frequent as south-west winds, and four times as frequent as north-west winds.

6. Discussion. The particular significance of sections 4 and 5, above, is demonstrated in Figure 12. Wave-generated shear stress exerted on the bottom of the estuary increases downfetch because with increasing fetch, a greater number of wind events are capable of building waves which feel the bottom. If downfetch energy gradients occur, they are a product of a greater number of wave events, each of which builds waves of a similar size, not a result of increasing wave size. A further conclusion is that if much of the wind blowing down a particular fetch occurs as high velocity events, most waves will bottom near the proximal end of the fetch, and wave energy will tend to be distributed uniformly down fetch. If much of the wind blows as low velocity events, at or near 10 knots, then the bottoming zone is spread down the fetch, and there is more likely to be a downfetch energy gradient. Obstructions such as shoals or sand mounds which are significantly shallower than water on their upfetch side will cause equilibrium waves to break, and if the breaking zone is shallow enough to cause the complete breakdown of approaching waves, it will act as the origin of a second fetch, further homogenising downfetch energy distribution.



#### Explanation

WEVO

generation

1. A 15 knot east wind begins to generate waves at m; as the wave train progresses downfetch, wavelength increases until n, where water depth is equivalent to half the wavelength, and waves effectively enter shallow water.

2. A 10 knot east wind begins to generate waves at o; which reach a water depth equivalent to half their wave length at p, and effectively enter shallow water.

3. As waves approach shallow contral sandy mounds, increasing steepness causes breaking by spilling, and the wave train may break down. New generation begins at x, and half wavelength depths follow paths x - y, x - z.

h\_= half wavelength depth, below still water line (where SWL = HVOST)

#### Near bottom velocities and shear stresses

As waves enter water shallower than d/L = 0.5 they begin to exert shear stresses on the bottom. To the first approximation the motion involved is purely oscillatory - there is no net transport (Madsen, 1976). From Airey wave theory (see the CERC Manual for an excellent discussion of wave theory) it is possible to calculate wave properties associated with this oscillatory motion, including horizontal near bottom velocities, amplitudes of near bottom wave orbits, and the maximum near bottom shear stresses. Madsen (1976) has presented a useful summary of these applications, and the following analyses are substantially derived from that summary.

Maximum horizontal near-bottom velocity  $(u_b)$ : For waves with  $T_o$ = 1.4 s,  $H_o$  = 14 cm, and  $L_o$  = 3.56 m (where T = wave period, H = wave height, L = wave length, and the subscript o indicates deep water conditions), the following values are calculated:

h		h/L <sub>o</sub>	u b	
0.5	m	0.14	22.12	cm/s
1.0	m	0.28	14.38	cm/s
1.5	m	0.42	9.95	cm/s

These values demonstrate the large increases in near bottom velocity that occur as waves propagate into shallow water, producing steep energy gradients along the bottom in the transition zone between deep and shallow water.

Orbital amplitudes: As waves shoal, the originally circular orbits become elliptical, and the amplitude of motion near the bottom  $(A_b)$  increases according to  $A_b = u_b/w$ ; where w = radian frequency, 2pi/T. The following values are calculated from the above figures:

b		A ł	)
22.12	cm/s	4.93	cm
14.38	cm/s	3.21	cm.
9.95	cm/s	2.92	cm

Wave Reynolds Number (RE), relative roughness (d<sub>s</sub>) and the friction factor (f<sub>w</sub>): The maximum near bottom shear stress is related to the square of velocity by a wave friction factor,  $f_w$ .

For turbulent flow the wave friction factor is a function of relative bottom roughness and the wave Reynolds number,  $u_{b}A_{b}/v$ ; where v is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid  $(10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{2}/\text{s})$ . Where bottom roughness is contributed by ripples, the equivalent sand roughness  $(d_{s})$  may be quite high (0.5 to 1.5 cm). Where the bottom is smooth,  $d_{s}$  may be as low as  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  cm (fine sand sized), or even as low as  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  cm (silt sized). Thus relative roughness values  $(A_{b}/d_{s})$  may vary from about 10 to about 3200 for h = 0.5 m, and from 2 to about 2000 for h = 1.5 m.

The wave friction factor is a function of both relative roughness and RE, and may be obtained from a friction factor diagram (Madsen, 1976, Figure 8; <u>after</u> Jonsson, 1965). The following values have been calculated:

Water depth	d s	A <sub>b</sub> /d <sub>s</sub>	fw
0.5 m	0.5 cm	10	$7 \times 10^{-2}$
0.5 m	0.015 cm	330	$0.15 \times 10^{-2}$
1.0 m	0.015 cm	214	0.02
1.5 m	0.5 cm	6	0.1
1.5 m	0.015 cm	195	0.015

Maximum near bottom shear stress  $(t_{b,max})$ : Knowledge of  $f_w$  permits evaluation of the maximum bottom shear stress, which is proportional to the product of the friction factor and the square of the bottom velocity.

$$t_{b,\max} = \frac{1}{2} f_{w} p u_{b}^{2}.$$

For water depth of 0.5 m, with roughness contributed by ripples 0.5 cm high,

$$t = 17.13 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$$

If roughness is fine sand sized,

$$t_{b,max} = 3.67 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$$
.

For water which is 1.0 m deep, with 0.015 cm bottom roughness,

 $t_{b,max} = 2.07 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$ .

For water which is 1.5 m deep, with 0.5 cm bottom roughness,

$$t_{b,max} = 4.95 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$$
,

and if bottom roughness is fine sand sized,

$$t_{\rm b.max} = 0.5 \, \rm dynes/cm^2$$
.

These figures emphasise that waves exert very much more stress on a rippled bottom in water 0.5 m deep, than on a smooth fine sandy bottom in 1.5 m deep water - the difference is about 1.5 orders of magnitude.

# Threshold of sediment motion under waves

Komar and Miller (1975) present a set of curves relating bottom orbital velocities to grain diameters for varying wave periods for the threshold of sediment motion, and from this figure the values below were obtained.

wave period = 1.4 seconds,

vater depth	orbital velocity	approximate maximum grain diameter
		potentially entrained by waves
0.5 m	22 m/sec	0.5 mm (1.0 phi)
1.0 m	14 m/sec	0.25 mm (2.0 phi)
1.5 m	10 m/sec	0.15 mm (about 3 phi).

Considering that there have been relatively few studies of sediment movement under waves, and that more data may alter the empirical relationships which form the basis of the equations governing Komar and Miller's (1975) threshold diagram, better approximations than these are not possible at present.

#### Wave refraction

1. Introduction. Many waves in the AHE feel the bottom along much of their traverse across the estuary, and it is reasonable to assume that their passage is significantly altered by the configuration of the bottom. One result of this feedback is that waves are refracted, tending to approach the shore normal to the bottom contours. Refraction may be analysed graphically, with the theoretical basis developed from geometrical optics and Snell's Law (CERC Manual, pp.2-65), by tracing the passage of wave rays (orthogonals to crests) across a sloping bottom as a sequence of straight line increments. This method is labour intensive, and numerical methods have been developed as a more efficient alternative (Wilson, 1966). A version of Wilson's computer program has been adapted for the University of Canterbury Burroughs B7600 computer by A.J. Sutherland (Department of Civil Engineering), and this program was used to obtain the data summarised in Figure 13, which shows the results of a number of computer runs for mean south-west and east winds.

The method involves drawing an arbitrary grid over the estuary, and reading off water depths at grid intersections (where depth is assumed to be depth below HWOST). The resulting lists, combined with wave period and deepwater crest orientations form the input data for the program. Results are plotted as wave rays, which may be replotted on to the original bathymetric base.

2. Discussion. Refraction analyses are usually undertaken for oceanographic or engineering purposes, or as a method of evaluating longshore differences in wave energy, where variations in beach alignment, or of offshore bathymetry, may variously modify deepwater waves as they approach the shore. In such studies it is usually assumed that waves entering the coastal zone have travelled from a generating area some distance away, and that the only modifications that occur as waves run in towards the coast are those produced by the shoaling transformation. Little work appears to have been done in shallow enclosed basins where deepwater conditions may only apply for short sections of the total fetch, and where there is a continuing interaction between the forcing wind and the restraining limitation of shallow water. It may well be that the assumptions built into the numerical method do not apply in such circumstances (CERC Manual, pp.2-65); in particular the assumptions that waves are long-crested, constant period, small amplitude, and monochromatic. For this reason the information in Figure 13 probably only has qualitative applications - attempts have not been made to calculate the effects of the shoaling transformation on wave properties.

3. *Results*. Figure 13 indicates that east wind waves are little modified by the bottom north of line 12 (Map 1), until running into water shallower than mid tide levels (9.0 m HAD - water shallower than 1.20 m).



Figure 13. Southwest wind (217°) and east wind (075°) wave refraction diagram. Wave period 1.4 seconds. Arrows show directions of wave propagation in deep water, assumed parallel to the wind directions. Lines are wave rays (orthogonals to crests). Central bathymetric highs and shallow marginal areas act as lenses, converging wave rays (as in the south Heathcote Basin) or causing rays to diverge (as in north Heathcote Basin). Where rays converge, waves will become steeper, and if the train is close to a limiting steepness, breaking will occur, probably as slightly more vigorous spilling. Where rays diverge, energy is spread along greater crest lengths, and wave heights should decrease. The overall effect is probably that refraction acts as a further homogeniser of wave properties in converging areas, producing uniform wave fields where many individual waves are close to a maximum steepness. In diverging areas, maximum wave sizes may be less than the mean equilibrium size.

The refraction pattern for the mean south-west wind indicates that Sandy Point tends to shade the upfetch western shore from waves, while the central highs tend to homogenise wave properties, and produce a uniform wave climate along the eastern shore the estuary. A few trial runs for the mean north-west wind showed an analogous pattern, indicating that north-west wave energy is spread uniformly along the south and south-east shores.

### Wave-generated bedforms

1. Introduction. When the wind during a preceding high tide exceeds about 10 knots, intertidal flats uncovered at low tide show widespread trains of strikingly parallel straight transverse asymmetrical ripples (Figure 14). Such ripples have been widely reported on tidal flats (i.e. Allen, 1968; Reineck and Singh, 1974; Tanner, 1967) and are thought to be produced by shoaling waves that generate an asymmetrical oscillatory motion at the bed. In the Avon-Heathcote Estuary these ripples rarely occur below the mean tide level (9.0 m HAD), and are usually only found in clean sand. They often form lanes several metres wide (Allen, 1968, p.92) and may occur in isolated patches surrounded by smooth sand. In very shallow water (less than about 20 cm) around the edges of the estuary, very asymmetrical lingoid or barchan-shaped ripples may occur, merging offshore into straight ripple trains, or occasionally occurring as isolated ripple patches. If succeeding high tides are calm, newly formed ripple trains are destroyed by rounding of the crests and by bioturbation of the soft sediment surface (especially by the abundant mudflat snail Amphibola - Figure 14F), so that after about 4 to 6 calm



Figure 14. Wave formed ripples of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A - Parallel almost straight asymmetrical ripples typical of eastern high tide (9.5m) slopes. Scale is locm, arrow shows direction of wave propagation, which was to the north east. View is to the north. B - Detail of ripples in A, scale is locm, arrow shows wave direction. C - Isolated patch of almost straight asymmetrical ripples near the HW mark at Sandy Point (bone tip in background). Arrow shows wave direction. D - Detail of part of an isolated ripple patch on the eastern high tide slope. Note abrupt transition from smooth to rippled sand. HW mark is to the left, view is south, scale is locm. E - Barchan-shaped very asymmetrical ripples at the HW mark (landward of D, above). Arrow shows wave direction, scale is locm. F - Detail of rippled surface after one calm high tide, showing the smoothing activities of <u>Amphibola cremata</u>, burrowing into the surface at (1) and (2), and packaging surface sediment into fecal strings (3). Note the characteristic birds-foot shaped feeding trace of the bivalve <u>M</u>. <u>liliana</u> (4). Scale is locm. Also see Figures 65 and 66.



Figure 15. Distribution and orientations of wave-formed ripples on September 4 1976 (west cids) and September 8 1976 (last side). Arrows show mean wind directions during the preceeding high tides, numbers are ripple create/m, thick lines show crest strike directions at each site.





. . .

high tides the flats are quite smooth.

2. Ripple orientations and dimensions. Ripples were measured at low tide on opposite sides of the estuary on September 4th and September 8th 1976. Winds of 12 knots (6 m/sec) from 070 degrees, and 14 knots (7 m/sec) from 252 degrees, respectively, blew during high tides which preceded the two visits, and the state of preservation of both ripple sets indicated that they formed during the preceding high tide.

In both areas (Figure 15) crest spacing was quite uniform on the higher flats (at about 20/m, measured normal to crests), regardless of fetch. Ripples formed in deeper water tended to be smaller, especially on the western side of the estuary, where up to 30 crests per metre were occasionally measured (Figure 16). Mean values were:

	Mean crest spacing	Mean crest strike	Angle between preceding mean wind and mean ripple crest strike	Standard deviation	Number of measurement
East side	4.53 cm	0280-	55 <sup>0</sup>	0.72 cm 7.50 <sup>0</sup>	<u>15</u> 15
West side	4.58 cm	 285 <sup>0</sup> -		0.20 cm 25 <sup>0</sup>	. 9

In small bodies of water ripple wavelength is usually about 4/5ths of the amplitude of the waves (Inman, 1957; Komar, 1974, quoted <u>in</u> Komar, 1976). If this relationship holds in the AHE, the waves which formed the ripples in Figure 15 had near-bottom amplitudes ranging from 4.16 cm to 6.82 cm (from 30/m to 18/m). In section 7 above, predicted amplitudes for water of 1.0 m deep and 1.5 m deep were 3.21 cm and 4.93 cm. The predicted values are about 30% too small, which seems to indicate that the relationship between wave size and ripple amplitude is not generally applicable. However, few of the data used to establish the relationship were from natural conditions, and those which were scattered widely about mean values (Inman, 1957).

#### Tides

1. Introduction. In an intertidal estuary, the semi-diurnal tide contains considerably more kinetic energy than the waves which develop on windy days (Bukuniewicz and others, 1975), yet in typical microtidal estuaries tidal currents are only important transporters of sediment near the inlet, where tidal velocities are highest (Hayes, 1975). Wind-induced processes (waves and wind tides) predominate throughout the remainder of typical microtidal estuaries.

Although wave motion may be the principal initiator of sediment movement (on the Continental Shelf), net sediment transport is usually accomplished by a superimposed current (Madsen, 1976). Carter and Heath (1975) have demonstrated the importance of superimposed currents on the New Zealand Shelf, as have Channon and Hamilton (1976) for part of the Shelf off Southwest Britain.

In developing an understanding of the intertidal sedimentation of the AHE, the present analysis began by considering wave energy; the observations above suggest that the next step is to assess tidal current energy.

2. Previous work. Linzey (1944) described the general characteristics of tidal movements in the AHE, and collected some current-velocity data. Bruce (1953) and Webb (1972) added to this early work (see the summary in Knox and Kilner, 1973). Mawson (1972) has calculated river and other non-tidal flows for various discharge conditions and tidal ranges, and has usefully summarised the hydrological properties of the estuary and its freshwater catchment.

The Wallingford Report (1970) contains data on tide levels and current velocities at the inlet to the estuary (at Shag Rock and at the Sumner Lifeboat Station), at Ferrymeade Bridge, and at Bridge Street Bridge. These data were supplied to the Wallingford investigators by consultants to the CDB, to be used for the scaling and evaluation of a large ( $30m \times 30m$ ) physical model of the estuary. According to the Wallingford report, maximum tidal velocities in the principal subtidal channel at Shag Rock reach 1.52 m/s during flood tides and 1.83 m/s during the ebb (in both cases measurements were made 1 metre below the surface). These velocities are almost twice those measured by Bruce (1953), who reported a maximum ebb velocity of 1.10 m/s at Shag Rock. Notes on the *HMS Pandora* chart (1854) indicate that maximum currents were about 2 knots (1.0 m/s) in the vicinity of Shag Rock. Heath (1975) has shown that maximum tidal velocities in mobile inlets in theory should be about 1.14 m/s.

Linzey (1944) measured a flood tide maximum of 0.46 m/s at Ferrymeade Bridge, and an ebb maximum of 0.3 m/s. The Wallingford Report indicates that the CDB consultants recorded 0.6 m/s in both cases. At Bridge Street Bridge Linzey recorded 0.3 m/s maxima for both the ebb and flood tides, while the consultants recorded 0.6 m/s and 0.8 m/s respectively. It appears that the consultants obtained values about twice those reported from other sources. This may have been a result of misreading the current meters used to obtain the data, or perhaps a drafting error if the consultants supplied the Wallingford investigators with graphed rather than tabulated results. Certainly at least one Figure in the Wallingford Report is plotted upside down (part of Figure 6, Part I), so the second option is quite plausible. Yet it is difficult to believe that the Wallingford investigators would accept a mistake of that order, and the build it into their model!

The only available information on current velocities from intertidal areas is that supplied by Knox and Kilner (1973) and summarised in Figure 17. Current velocities deduced from dye tracers (or from drogues, or any other free submerged or semi-submerged objects) as in Figure 17, are known as Lagrangian velocities (where, in effect. a parcel of water is tracked along a stream-line for a measured time and an average velocity is calculated). Lagrangian velocities are not necessarily comparable to Eulerian velocities (measured as the rate of flow past a fixed point) because the two techniques resolve turbulence in moving water in different ways - the meters used to obtain Eulerian velocities introduce significant flow disturbances, and they are insensitive to higher frequency fluctuations (Byrne and Boon, 1973). The Lagrangian velocities shown in Figure 17 are likely to be conservative, and perhaps less than equivalent Eulerian measurements, because the method of estimating distances assumes that dye slugs travelled linearly from point to point within the estuary - which may not be the case. Figure 17 indicates that Lagrangian velocities in the subtidal channel several hundred metres downstream from Bridge Street Bridge are about 15 cm/s shortly after high tide, and reach 62 cm/s in the principal subtidal channel 3.5 hours after high tide. Lagrangian velocities of 83 cm/s were recorded in Figure 17 in the subtidal channel off Beachville Road. These are similar to the values of Linzey (1944) and Bruce (1953), but considerably less than the Eulerian velocities reported by the consultants to the CDB.



Experiment 1. December 6, 1972. Flat calm conditions, Rhodamine dye. Dye released at position 1 above; locations at 30 minutes (2), 43 minutes, 61 minutes (3), 105, 124 and 163 minutes (4) and at 178 minutes (5), are shown by the series of large squat arrows above (  $\checkmark$  ).

Calculated velocities for the leading edge of the dye slug are; 0-30 minutes, 0.05m/sec; 0-43 minutes, 0.15m/sec; 0-61 minutes, 0.34m/sec; 61-105 minutes, 0.23m/sec; 105-163 minutes, 0.24m/sec; and 164-178 minutes, 0.75m/sec.

Experiment 2(A). February 1973. North east wind at 5-10 krots, light water chop, Rhodamine dye. Dye released at 6; locations at 40 minutes (7), 65 minutes (8), 105 minutes (9), 135 minutes (10), 230 minutes (11), 250 minutes (12) and 265 minutes (13), are shown by smaller black arrows above.

Calculated velocities for the leading edge of the dye slug are; 0-40 minutes, 0.05m/sec; 0-05 minutes, 0.12m/sec; 0-105 minutes, 0.15m/sec; 0-135 minutes, 0.15m/sec; 135-230 minutes, 0.18m/sec; 230-250 minutes, 0.62m/sec; 250-256 minutes, 0.83m/sec.

Experiment 2(B). As for 2(A), except dye (Fluoresceine) was released directly into the Avon sudtidal channel at 14. Locations at 20 minutes (15), 70 minutes (16), 130 minutes (17), 170 minutes, (190 minutes, and 210 minutes (18), are shown by open arrows above ( $\uparrow$ ).

Calculated velocities for the leading edge of this dye slug are; 0-20 minutes, 0.15m/sec; 0-70 minutes, 0.13m/sec; 0-1 0 minutes, 0.10m/sec; 0-170 minutes, 0.18m/sec; 0-190 minutes, 0.21m/sec; 190-210 minutes, 0.62m/sec.

Figure 17. Intertidal and subtidal current velocities in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. From dye tracking experiments reported by Knox and Kilner (1973). In view of the above discussion, the Wallingford Report data is discounted, and the values below have been adopted as probable maximum values, based on Figure 17, and both Linzey (1944) and Bruce (1953).

	Maximum velocity
Higher intertidal flats	5 cm/s
Intermediate intertidal flats	20 cm/s
Lower intertidal flats	35 cm/s
Intertidal channels	60 cm/s
Subtidal channels	90 cm/s
Principal subtidal channel	114 cm/s

3. Shear stress. The movement of sediment under unidirectional currents has received considerable attention - much of it to do with the transport of sediment in rivers and artificial channels, but an appreciable amount involving the transport of sandy sediment on the Continental Shelf and in nearshore waters (see Sternberg, 1968, 1972; Ludwick, 1975).

Komar (1976 a,b) has presented a particularly useful summary of the properties of boundary layers of natural unidirectional currents, and of the derivation of the quadratic stress law,

 $t = c_{100} p(\bar{u}_{100})^2$ ,

where t = boundary shear stress,  $c_{100}$  = drag coefficient from measurements made 100 cm above the bottom, p = fluid density,  $\bar{u}_{100}$  = mean velocity 100 cm above the bottom. The quadratic stress law establishes a proportionality between the boundary stress exerted by a fluid flow and the square of the mean velocity of the flow, so that by assuming or establishing  $c_{100}$ , only  $\bar{u}_{100}$  need be known to evaluate t.

Sternberg's (1968, 1972) measurements of  $c_{100}$  in Puget Sound, U.S.A., indicate that  $c_{100} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ . This result has been supported by Channon (again for Shelf conditions, <u>in</u> Channon and Hamilton, 1971) and McCave (in Komar, 1976b). Thus

$$t = 3 \times 10^{-3} p(\bar{u}_{100})^2$$
.

There are limitations on the use of this average value of c (Komar, 100 1976b, pp.100-102; Ludwick, 1975), but considering the levels of approximation involved in the estimates of tidal velocities, the assumption of Sternberg's value is probably a reasonable expediency. The following magnitudes for near bottom shear stresses are obtained for the tidal velocities tabulated above:

ū <sub>100</sub>	90	60	35	20	5 cm/s
shear <b>s</b> tress	25	11	3.8	1.24	0.08 dynes/cm <sup>2</sup> .

The two or three lower values are order-of magnitude estimates at best, especially since the flow boundary may not be hydrodynamically rough when  $\bar{u}_{100}$  is less than about 15 cm/s (Komar, 1976b).

These figures support the observation of Madsen (1976), that shear stresses generated by waves tend to be an order of magnitude greater than stresses generated by unidirectional flows of equivalent velocity - the shear stress under a 1.4 s wave in 50 cm of water is 17 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> for an orbital velocity of 22 cm/s; a tidal flow of 20 cm/s exerts a shear stress on the bottom of about 1.2 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>.

4. Sediment entrainment. As the velocity of fluid flow over a bed of sediment increases, a stage is attained when the fluid exerts sufficient stress on the particles making up the bed to cause them to move from the bed and be transported. This stage is the threshold of sediment movement, or the critical stage for erosion or entrainment (Komar, 1976b, p.108). Miller and others (1977) have recently reviewed the subject of sediment threshold, and re-evaluated a variety of methods for deriving threshold conditions. Their Figure 7 (p.519), relating critical shear stress to grain diameter of quartz-density material in water at 20°C, yields the following values:

	chorn studen	thr	eshold g	rain diameter
ū <sub>100</sub> (cm/s)	(dynes/cm <sup>2</sup> )	mm	phi	size class
90	25	3.5	-2.0	granule
60	11	2.0	-1.0	vc sand
35	3.8	0.8	0.25	c sand
20	1.24	0.09	3.4	vf sand
5	0.08	0.01	6.0	silt

5. Entrainment into suspension. Bagnold (1966) has shown that sediment is entrained directly into suspension if upward-directed turbulence in the boundary layer contains velocity fluctuations which exceed the settling velocity of sediment grains. Bagnold's (1966) suspension criterion indicates that sediment finer than 0.17 mm (2.5 phi) will be entrained directly into suspension immediately after threshold conditions are exceeded. McCave (<u>in Komar</u>, 1976b) considered that sediment finer than 0.23 mm (2.0 phi) would be entrained directly into suspension.

6. Current-generated bedforms. If threshold conditions are exceeded by a gradual increase in current velocity, the first evidence of sediment movement is the construction of bedforms, which progress through a hierarchical sequence as shear stress increases. The literature on current=formed bedforms is very large (see Simons and others, 1965; Guy and others, 1966; Allen, 1968, 1970; Boothroyd and Hubbard, 1974, 1975; and the useful summary and review of Reineck and Singh, 1975). The properties of bedforms are one of the two key responses used to infer sediment transport patterns in many marine studies (Swift and Ludwick, 1976), because bedforms often indicate a sense of transport as well as a transport mode (the other response is the sediment grain-size frequency distribution).

Current-formed ripples are absent from the intermediate and higher intertidal flats of the AHE - here tidal currents reach maximum velocities of 20 cm/s, and are only capable of entraining silt and very fine sand. On lower intertidal flats, where current velocities may reach 35 cm/s, isolated lingoid ripples and small patches of strongly undulatory straight ripples (sinuous ripples of Allen, 1968) do occur, but are restricted to channel edges (Figure 18A). Lingoid ripples occur on the floors of intertidal channels and on point bars adjacent to subtidal channels (Figure 18B) and are widespread on the flood delta and superimposed on larger bedforms in the inlet area (Figure 18C,D).

Weakly undulatory and almost straight-crested ripples occur sporadically on lower intertidal flats, and may occur on the eastern slopes of the eastern central sand mound, where they display a flood-oriented asymmetry. They may also occur on the higher intertidal slopes of the eastern flats, south of line 15, where they are ebboriented. Some occurrences of almost straight-crested ripples in the



Figure 18. Current-forged ripples in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A - Undulatory ripples. Arrow shows (ebb) flow direction, subtidal channel edge, northern margin of southern slopes (Figure 20). Scale is in cm.  $B - \text{Lingoid ripples from the tidal delta south of line 16. Arrow shows (ebb) flow direction. Scale is in cm. <math>C - \text{eakly undulatory and almost straight ebb-oriented ripples south of line 16, with an incipient lunate megaripple in the foreground. Spade is lm high, arrows show (ebb) flow direction. D - Weakly undulatory ripples opposite Beachville Road (background) as in C, above. Scale in cm. <math display="inline">E - \text{Almost straight-crested shore-normal current ripples with superimposed shore-parallel wave ripples, south eastern high tide slopes, south of line 15. Current from left to right, wind which formed the wave ripples blew towards the observer. Scale in cm. <math display="inline">F - \text{Irregular metre-scale possible incipient megaripples in channel off the Causeway, south of the Heathcote subtidal channel. View is north east, flood-tide flow direction is to the left, scale is in cm. Ripples consist of fluidal mud abundant cockles.$ 

last two locations have the appearance of wave ripples; they are distinguished from wave ripples by their size (they are usually about twice as large, with crest spacings of 8 to 10 cm), and by their orientation, which is shore-normal (at right anlges to the shoreparallel tidal currents) rather than shore-parallel. They often show superimposed wave ripples with a different orientation (Figure 18E).

Visible megaripples, with crest spacings of 60 cm to 6 m, and sand waves, with crest spacings greater than 6 m (scheme of Boothroyd and Hubbard, 1974) only occur on the sides of the inlet channel south of line 15 (Map 1); where they are particularly well developed on the ebb spit and flood ramp in Moncks Bay (Figure 19). Some larger intertidal channels contain ebb-oriented slightly asymmetrical mounds of coarse shelly debris (Figure 18F) which may be 15 to 20 cm high, and vary from a few centimetres apart to more than 10 metres apart.

The AHE is predominantly intertidal, and the bathymetry is dominated by intertidal flats which are shallower than the midtide level (which is 9.0 m HAD in Map 1; also see Part 3). The above discussion illustrates that tidal currents do not entrain sediment on flats shallower than the midtide level, and only sporadically entrain sediment on the lower intertidal flats, in areas adjacent to the intertidal or subtidal channels. Larger bedforms are restricted to the inlet area south of line 15, where current velocities probably exceed 60 cm/s.

### Wind-driven currents

On shallow continental shelves, prevailing winds may increase (or decrease) the velocity of tidal currents by a factor equivalent to 1.5% or 2% of the wind speed (Caston, 1976). Some data from the North Sea indicate that above a threshold wind velocity, variously estimated at from 10 knots (5 m/sec) to 25 knots (12.5 m/sec), near surface currents may respond to wind by as much as 4 per cent of the wind speed (Caston, 1976). Hellstrom (1940), Norrman (1964) and Kjerfve (1975), among others, have shown that in shallow, enclosed basins, wind stress on the surface tends to pile water against the downwind shore (wind setup) with the result that water levels fall at the upwind shore (wind setdown). The phenomenon is known as a wind tide, and it may generate quite strong currents as water flows away from the setup end.



Figure 19. The intertidal configuration of the ebb-tide delta of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary (in Moncks Bay) in mid 1975 (top) and mid 1977 (below). Motice the seperation of ebb (1) and flood (2) channels in 1975, floodoriented megaripples (3), and sandwaves (4), and ebb-oriented sand waves on the flood ramp (5) (see Hayes, 1975; Coastal Research Group, 1969; and Allen, 1968 for terminology). By mid 1977 the ebb spit had grown east towards the entrance at Shag Rock (6), and been breached by the ebb flow (7). Notice the ebb-oriented sand waves (8, 9), ebb-oriented megaripples (10), and floodoriented slightly undulatory sand waves (11). Both photographs by Frank McGregor Knox and Kilner (1973) have described the pattern of water movements on the eastern side of the estuary on both windy and calm days (their observations are summarised in Figure 17), and the writer made similar observations - on days when a moderate to strong easterly blows, turbid water entering the estuary from the CDB outfalls near the western end of line 6 (Map 1) flows south along the western shore of the estuary on the ebbing tide, and enters the Heathcote channel in the eastern Heathcote Basin. On calm days the turbid plume flows obliquely offshore, entering the Avon channel at location 3, Figure 20. This is interpreted as evidence that the east wind influences the circulation of water across the western flats, and generates a long-shore current which flows down the western shore of the estuary.

A predictable result of increasing depths of water over the intertidal zone of the AHE is that maximum wave sizes will increase, more stress will be exerted on the water mass by winds, and setups will be more likely to occur. There is some evidence that a coincidence of spring high tides and strong south-west winds may cause more than normal supratidal flooding in the north-east corner of the estuary and upstream in the Avon River (P.J. McWilliam, pers. comm.), probably as a result of wind setup.

It is concluded from the above observations that both east and south-west winds influence the circulation of water on an estuary-wide scale during the period around high tide, and the speculative model presented as Figure 20 is an attempt to predict the effects of these influences. The overall results are that Avon River water will tend to flow more across the western than the eastern intertidal flats in the north; Heathcote River water will tend to be ponded in the Heathcote Basin, and flow slowly seawards, and sediment suspended from the eastern and central flats will tend to be transported west across the estuary by advection (in suspension in a moving water mass).

Apart from the brief qualitative observations outlined above, these possible effects are unexplored in the AHE; yet they may be quite significant - a 20 knot wind which produced a current equivalent to 5% of wind speed would be generating a 50 cm/s current. Added to an ebbing tide, such a current could more than double normal tidal velocities, and so significantly alter the ability of tidal currents to entrain sediment.



Figure 20. Possible wind-driven advective circulation of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.

On days when the east wind exceeds about 10 knots (5m/s), effluent discharged from CDB outfalls at (1) shortly after high tide, flows as a turbid plume down the west side of the estuary (Figure 18)(2) to enter the Heathcote Channel at (3) after about 170 minutes. By 300 minutes the leading edgeof the plume may be at Beachville Road (4).

Water entering the estuary from the Avon River may be directed westwards (5) as water entrained by the east wind flowsdownwind across the central flats. Water on the eastern flats will tend to flow north and west for some time after high tide (6), producing a flood-oriented flow assymstry over the eastern flats.

Water entering from the Heathcote River may be ponded in the Heathcote Basin (7) and flow slowly eastwards against the east wind setup 8

When southwest winds blow (9) water may be forced northwards along the eastern shore to contribute to a setup in the northern end of the estuary (10). Water may flow westward away from the setup surge (11), diverting Avon River water west across the western slopes and flats (12), as on easterly days.

Heathcote River water may flow northwards away from the southwest sitdown at (13) and be ponded in the Heathcote Basin, as on easterly days.

Map 1

#### INTERTIDAL BATHYMETRY of the AVON-HEATHCOTE BSTURRY

Contours are height above Christchurch Drainage Board datum in metres (1), based on surveying done in late 1975, 1976 and early 1977. Contour interval is 10cm. Nap is not planimetrically correct - contouring is based on inter-polation between surveyed lines, guided by uncontrolled vertical air photographs. Subtidal channels are not contoured - see Appendix 2 for details.

Tidal levels	Sater depth		
with reference to CDB datum		with reference	to CDB datum
Highest high tide (HHT) High water ordinary spring tide	10.79m HAD 10.21	Depth below HWOST	HAD
Kean high tide (NHT) High water ordinary near tide	9.87 9.54	0 20	10.20
Kean tide level (NTL)	8.99	40	9.8
Low water ordinary neap tide	8.20	100	9.2
Low water ordinary spring tide	8.05m HAD	140 180 cm	б.8 8.6 m НАД

Land margins are classified as seawall (2), rip-rap shore protection (3), sandy beach at HWOST level (4), man-made earth works (5), or cliffed and eroding salt marsh (6). Numbered circles (7) indicate permanent (usually concrete) markers at the ends of surveyed lines 2-16.

Surveying controlled by Tom Cleary (CDB), map drafted by J. K. Macpherson, drawn by Lee Leonard in December 1977.

Drain.



ł

# PART THREE

### BATHYMETRY

#### Introduction

An examination of the profiles in Appendix 2 indicates that the intertidal zone of the AHE can be separated into a small number of bathyforms - intertidal landforms - which are characterised by consistent heights above datum, shape and orientation; and which show uniform and predictable patterns of erosion and deposition of sediment over the period 1962-1975/77. A scheme which subdivides the AHE into 12 such bathyforms is presented in Figure 21.

## Descriptions and interpretations

# 1. Eastern high tide slopes.

(i) The eastern high tide slopes (bathyform 1 in Figure 21) extend from the high water mark to the first intertidal or subtidal channel, and occur along the complete length of the eastern side of the estuary. The shoreline along this eastern side may consist of a sandy beach, a cliff eroded into salt marsh deposits, a seawall, or riprap shore protection (Figure 22). Offshore, these eastern slopes are usually low angle convex upwards features, commonly with a break in slope at about 9.5 to 9.6 m HAD - for example see profiles 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10, Figure 23. Occasionally there is an upper slope, above 9.5 m, which is steeper and concave offshore, as in profile 10, Figure 23.

(ii) Wave-induced, near-bottom shear stresses are highest in water which is shallower than 0.5 m in the AHE, and we have seen (in Part 2), that wave formed ripples often occur on intertidal flats and slopes above 9.0 m HAD. If wave energy has any influence on the bathymetry of this estuary, it should be easiest to identify this influence in the area of the eastern high tide slopes, where maximum shear stresses occur.

Figure 25 shows a plot of steepness (defined as the horizontal distance from the high water mark to the 9.6 m contour, measured along bathymetric profiles 2-15) vs south-west wind fetch distance.



Figure 21. Intertidal bathyforms of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. 1. - Eastern high tide slopes. 2. - Eastern mid tide shelf. 3. - Eastern low tide flats. 4. - Flood tide delta. 5 to 7. - Central mounds. 8. - Weatern slopes and flats. 9. - Hoathcote Basin. 10. - Central low tide flats. 11. - Southern mid tide flats. 12. - Southern slopes and flats, McCormacks Bay channels. Shading indicates zones between 9.2 and 9.4m HAD (1), between 8.8 and 9.0m (2), and below 8.6m (3). This figure is summarised from Map 1.



Figure 22. Shorelines of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A, B - Sandy and shelly HW mark beaches. Note swash marks (water level marks) (1 and 4), and the line of debris along the HWOST mark (2 and 3). A is near east end of line 16, Map 1, B is south side of Sndt Point. Spade (1 metre high, \*) and CDB survey crew (5) give scales. C - Eroding salt marsh, south Pleasant Point area, near the east end of line 6, Map 1. Note HWOST level (6) and boulder sized mud particles (7)eroded from cliffed marsh deposits. Spade for scale, view is east south east, location (8) is similar to location (10) below. D - Coarse riprap shore protection, immediately west of C, above. Spade for scale (\*). E - Seawall at east end of lines 6 and 7, Map 1. Spade for scale (\*). F - Fine riprap shore protection (11), Southshore area, near the east end of line 13, Map 1. Note shallow pond (12) in front of a vertical concrete block seawall (13), probably due to reflection of waves at high tide.



.1

• .









Figure 25. Bathymorphic response to wave energy. A - Distance from high water mark to the 9.6m HAD contour vs effective southwest fetch, crosslines 2-15, eastern high tide slopes. B - Steepness of the western high tide slope (defined as the shorenormal distance between the 9.5m and 8.8m HAD contours) vs effective east fetch, lines 12-15, Heathcote Basin, and lines 2-10, northwest high tide slopes. The good linear fit between steepness and fetch distance (and thus wave energy) indicates that the configuration of these upper eastern slopes is significantly influenced by wave energy.

(iii) Between lines 10 and 16 the eastern slopes are separated from a flight of offshore mounds by three deeply incised arcuate intertidal channels. In this area north-west winds have maximum fetch distances, and the offshore mounds and channels appear to be aligned normal to the direction of the mean north-west wind. In the north, from lines 5 to 9, the bathymetry appears to be aligned normal to the south-west wind. Figure 26 indicates that these effects are probably responses to a vector product of the two west winds, and that the eastern high tide slope is responding to wave energy by orienting itself normal to the dominant wave approach direction. Rosin (1975) has demonstrated an analogous (but more striking) response in a microtidal estuary in the U.S.A. (Nantucket Harbour) where shoreline features display a long-term equilibrium configuration governed largely by the direction of wave approach.

The patterns of erosion and deposition shown in Figures 23 and 24 indicate that where profiles have changed over the period 1962-75, the general shape has been conserved, and the trend is towards a uniform concave-convex configuration. Where deposition has occurred it has been in the lee of bathymetric highs (lines 6, 10, 11, 12 and 13). Slopes with no upwind bathymetric high have almost all experienced some erosion. Thus the intertidal channels south of line 9 are migrating landwards, as they line up across the mean incoming wave train (see line 14 especially). Note that the higher ends of lines 6, 7 and 8 changed little over the 12 year period, indicating that here, where wave energy is highest, the bathymetry has achieved a quasi-stable form. The higher ends of lines 12, 13 and 14 show similar stability, presumably for the same reason, but with respect to the north-west wind.

2. Eastern mid tide shelf and low tide flats. Between the foot of the high tide slope and the Avon channel, the eastern intertidal flats are separable into a midtide bathyform (number 2 in Figure 27), and a low tide bathyform (number 3 in Figure 27), with mean heights above datum of about 9.0 m and 8.75 m respectively.

(i) Figure 27 shows that in bathyforms 2 and 3, westward facing slopes were usually eroded between 1962 and 1975, whereas east facing slopes usually accumulated sediment.

West facing slopes in the lee of bathymetric highs showed little change, and intertidal channels have maintained their positions.

(ii) The stability over the 12 year period of relatively steep slopes, particularly at the landward edges of these bathyforms, indicates that unlike the high tide slope, these flats are not attempting to orient themselves with respect to prevailing or vector wave approaches. The analysis in Part 2 has shown that in water 1.5 m deep, over a smooth bottom, the orbital motion of 1.4 s waves exerts only 0.5 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> on the bottom, and the above observations indicate that this is not sufficient to significantly influence the configuration of the intertidal zone at depths below the midtide level (below 9.0 m).

3. Western slopes and flats. Figures 28 and 29 show the eastfacing bathymorphic profiles of the western intertidal zone. This side of the estuary is influenced predominantly by east wind waves (Figure 10) and effective fetch increases progressively from north to south. There is a corresponding decrease in the steepness of the intertidal zone (Figure 25B), indicating that the higher flats on this side of the estuary are also responding to wave energy.

Downfetch (higher wave energy) west side profiles resemble (i) those from the higher energy sector of the opposite shore (compare the western and eastern ends of profile 10, Appendix 2); this is interpreted to mean that the trend visible in Figures 28 and 29 is towards a universal form, stable at the energy levels associated with fetch distances of about 6 000 feet (1 800 m). In this estuary, wave energy gradients are a result of increasing shear stress frequencies, not increasing wave size - since maximum waves are of uniform size throughout the estuary. Thus the equilibrium bathymetry demonstrated above is a response to more frequent stress, not to changes in absolute levels of stress. As effective fetch distances increase from north to south, the total amount of sediment involved in erosion and deposition over the period 1962-1975/77 decreases. This may mean that the rate of response to wave energy is also related to shear stress frequency, and that given sufficient time, the north-west slopes may also adopt a stable configuration similar to that in the south-west. This conclusion has significant implications, especially where shoreward erosion of salt marsh may soon threaten stopbanks on the eastern edges of CDB oxidation ponds.


4

Figure 26. Summary bathymetry and wave energy distribution of the eastern side of the AHE. Contours are 9.0m and 9.2m HAD, and 5 000 feet effective fetch (--). Straight lines indicate mean south west  $(217^{\circ})$  and north west  $(323^{\circ})$  wind directions  $(\neg e_{\text{res}})$  from Figure 10. Notice alingment normal to longest-fetch south west winds at 1 and 2, and an alignment normal to a probable vector product of the two winds, at 3. Notice also an increasing tendency for the 9.0 to 9.2m bathymetry to align itself normal to tho north west wind where effective fetch distances approach 5 000 feet, from 4 to 6.

• • .

.

58

. .

.



Figure 27. Bathymorphic profiles. Eastern midtide shelf, lines 7 and 8. Eastern low tide flats, lines 5-8. Legend as in Figure 23.

÷







Figure 29. Bathymorphic profiles. Western slopes, lines 9-15. Legend as in Figure 23.



Offshore distance (from the HWOST mark) along cross line

A. Linear regressions fitted to height above datum vs distance offshore for survey lines 4 through 10, west side. Higher coefficients of determination indicate straighter survey lines.



Coefficient of detormination



Figure 30. Bathymorphic response to wave energy. A - Profile straigtness. B - Profile straighness vs effective fetch. Lines 2-10, westorn slopes. (ii) The steepness trend demonstrated in Figure 25B is accompanied by a parallel trend towards profile straightness - line 11, for example, is quite straight. This straightness trend can be illustrated by plotting distance offshore (from the 9.5 m contour to the 8.8 m contour) against HAD, for survey stations along each of the western profiles. The regression lines and their coefficients of determination are plotted in Figure 30A, and in 30B, coefficients of determination are plotted against effective fetch, showing clearly that apart from profile 7, coefficients are higher (lines are straighter) as fetch increaes.

4. The Heathcote Basin. Because fetch width has a relatively large influence on effective fetch values in the narrow Heathcote Basin, patterns of increasing wave energy (with respect to the east wind) are difficult to predict. Notice however that profiles 12, 13, 14 and 15 (Figure 29), all of which end at the Humphreys Drive seawall, are quite uniform in appearance, steepening slightly to the north. Figure 25B indicates that this is probably a response to increasing fetch, and that these four profiles are slight variations on a straight, high energy profile.

5. *Central mounds*. Bathytorms 5, 6 and 7 (Figure 31); rounded, regularly shaped mounds in the centre of the estuary, show patterns of erosion and deposition which are dominated by the lateral migration of major intertidal and subtidal channels. For example, between 1920 and 1962 a subtidal channel around the northern edge of bathyform 6 was abandoned (see line 10, Appendix 2), and the channel between bathyforms 5 and 6 eroded laterally about 50-60 m over the period 1962-1975/77 - from location 1 to 2 in Figure 31. Away from the major channels relatively little erosion and deposition occurred between 1962 and 1975/77.

6. Throughgoing subtidal channels. For convenience, only the Avon and Heathcote throughgoing channels are termed subtidal. Minor contributory-distributary channels may be at least partly subtidal, or subtidal only during neap tides; since they always originate on, or flow across, the intertidal flats, they are termed intertidal. Where the two subtidal channels meet - off Beachville Road, Figure 5 - they become the principal subtidal channel, which flows out to sea.



Figure 31. Bathymorphic profiles, Central sand mounds, lines 8, 11-13. Logend as 23.

Both intertidal and subtidal channels are steep sided features (Appendix 2), with a break in slope at the edge of the intertidal flats at about 8.5 to 8.6 m HAD (50-60 cm above HWOST, 30-40 cm above HWONT). Thus flow is wholly within the channels for about 2-4 hours around low tide, and they become extensions of their respective rivers until some time after the tide turns. Very little standing or slow-moving water remains on the intertidal flats once they are uncovered on the ebb tide. The Avon and Heatchote channels are quite shallow where they enter the estuary (at Bridge Street Bridge and Ferrymeade Bridge) averaging about 1.0 m to 1.5 m below LWOST, with deeper pools down to 2.5 m below LWOST. As they approach their confluence, and the start of the common channel, they deepen to about 2.5 m to 3.0 m below LWOST, and from the beginning of the common channel, water depth increases rapidly, to a maximum of about a metre above datum (7 or 8 metres deep), just upstream from Shag Rock (Royds and Sutherland, 1962).

The profiles in Appendix 2 reveal that few major changes have occurred in the positions or depths of the subtidal channels since 1920. They do tend to be slightly deeper and straighter than in 1920, and some lateral adjustments have occurred. For example, see lines 2 and 6, Appendix 2, where the Avon has abandoned the channel it preferred in 1920 and eroded a new one, resulting in a straighter configuration. Historical evidence for changes over the period 1850-1920 is sparse, although there is agreement that both the Avon and Heathcote channels widened and straightened their courses over that period (Hutchinson, 1972; Knox and Kilner, 1973). Knox and Kilner record that the Avon channel would not have been navigable by a boat with a 2 foot draft at low water in 1858.

These widening and straightening trends are interpreted to be an adjustment to the increasing tidal compartment, and to be analogous to changes in the configuration of the principal subtidal channel, which has also adjusted to changes in the volume of the tidal compartment (Part One).

#### Discussion

(i) No attempt has been made to describe the sedimentology or bathymetry of the inlet area (the ebb and flood deltas south of line 16) for two reasons: because it was recognised early in the project that intertidal processes within the body of the estuary were probably more

important in its post-European evolution, and because tidal deltas have been quite well documented in the literature, and delta processes are well known (for example see Coastal Research Group, 1969; Klein, 1970; Boothroyd and Hubbard, 1974; Hayes, 1975; Allen and Friend, 1976).

(ii) Resio and others (1977) have analysed the bathymetry of the shallow continental shelf off the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the U.S.A. They found that offshore profiles could be divided into two segments; a curvilinear, concave upwards, nearshore segment, and a linear (straight) offshore segment. They interpreted this configuration to be predominantly wave influenced.

The striking similarity between higher energy profiles from the AHE and typical shelf profiles figured by Resio and others (1977, Figure 1) is intriguing, and suggests that some sections of the AHE may resemble, on a smaller scale, the North American east coast shelf. Perhaps the 'universal' equilibrium configuration of some of the higher intertidal flats of the AHE is a feature of all weather-dominated shelf-like sea floors.

### Patterns of erosion and deposition

The 1975/77 resurvey of the AHE was intended to quantify changes which might have occurred since 1962, and the 1920 data was assessed for similar reasons (J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). The aim was to detect patterns of erosion and deposition, and in particular to provide evidence concerning the role of effluent discharged by the CDB in both local and estuary-wide deposition. Maps 2 and 3 in Appendix 1 are the first attempts to synthesise data from the three surveys.

Map 2 shows areas of net erosion and deposition for the period 1920-1962 for the area north of line 10 (data were sparse south of line 10, and direct comparison with the 1962 survey was not possible). Landward erosion of supratidal salt marsh has occurred along most of the north-west shore of the estuary (also see Harrison, 1967). This erosion is continuing, and Millward (1975) considered that tens of metres may have been removed from much of the north-west shore over the past twenty or so years. Sediment has accumulated on the intertidal flats adjacent to the salt marsh in the north-west corner of the estuary and also in a broad intertidal zone which becomes an intertidal channel (north of area 2) to the south. Sediment also accumulated where subtidal channels were abandoned between 1920 and 1962 (areas 3 and 4,

Map 2; possibly also area 2). In general, erosion of sediment from the intertidal flats was widespread, with almost a metre of material removed in some areas (Appendix 1).

Map 3 shows patterns of erosion and deposition for the whole estuary over the period 1962-1975. Inspection of Map 3 and the profiles in Appendix 1 indicates that much smaller volumes of sediment were involved in net movements during this period. Sediment accumulated at all of the major entrances to the estuary: at the Ferrymead Bridge; south of the Jetty in the far north-east; on the flats in the inlet area opposite Beachville Road; and off the outfalls along the western flats north of Sandy Point (see Map 1 for location details). All of these locations are point sources of inflowing water, and it is a reasonable conclusion that sediment deposited adjacent to each, was from external sources. In particular the accumulation of about 60 000 m<sup>3</sup> of sediment in areas 2 and 3, downstream from the CDB outfalls, may indicate that they have been responsible for the deposition of large volumes of sediment.

Figures 28 and 29 show that this material accumulated either in shallow water - for example at about 9.0 m HAD on line 6, and between 9.0 and 9.5 m HAD on lines 9 and 11 - or in deeper water, usually on lee slopes, at the offshore ends of lines 7-11. Thus some of this material accumulated in areas sheltered from wave activity, but quite significant amounts accumulated on otherwise stable slopes subjected to high levels of wave activity.

There is no evidence of preferential deposition close to the outfalls - in deeper water the thickness of accumulated sediment seems to be related more to the extent of shelter from east wind waves than to distance from the outfalls (see profile 7, Figure 27). Apart from their proximity to the outfalls, there is no bathymetric evidence that the accumulations of sediment on lines 6 - 11 are related to the release of effluent from the oxidation ponds.

Some sediment deposited near the western end of line 6 has been supplied by the erosion of plunge pools and channels near the outfall pipes, but this redeposition has involved 100s rather than 1000s of cubic metres of sediment, and is probably insignificant in the overall sediment budget.

# An energy-bathymetry model for the Avon-Heathcote Estuary

Figure 32 is a generalised semi-quantitative model of the bathymetric distribution of wave- and tidal current-induced near bottom shear stress in the AHE. The model is based on the simplifying assumption that the bottom may be classified into three depth zones; 9.5 m slopes, flats, and mounds; 9.0 m flats; and 8.75 m flats. Intertidal areas consisting of more or less straight slopes which deepen offshore at constant rates are for convenience considered to be special cases, where the straight horizontal lines in Figures 32B and 32C may be replaced with sloping or curved lines, while the essential relationships remain unchanged.

The modelled energy distribution in Figure 32 is from data in Part 2; for wave energy the simplifying assumption is that shear stress values are 17 dynes/cm on 9.5 m slopes, 2 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> on 9.0 m flats and mounds, and less than 0.5 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> on 8.75 m flats. For tidal current energy the corresponding figures are; less than 0.1 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>, 1.2 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>, and 4 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>. In the intertidal channels shear stress values of 12 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> are assumed.

Figure 32 illustrates three features which are particularly important in the energetics of this estuary:

(i) Areas characterised by high wave energy and high tidal current energy tend to be mutually exclusive.

(ii) At HWOST, wave stresses are an order of magnitude higher in water which is 0.5 m deep (on 9.5 m flats and slopes), than in water which is 1.0 m deep (on 9.0 m flats). The figures are 17 dynes/ $cm^2$ vs about 2 dynes/ $cm^2$ .

(iii) Tidal shear stresses are greater than wave-induced shear stresses on the deeper intertidal flats, and reach maximum values in intertidal and subtidal channels.



Figure 32. Bathymetry/Energy model of the intertidal slopes and flats of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A - Bathymetry model, consisting of 9.5m slopes, 9.0m shelves, and 8.75m HAD low tide flats, with intermediate intertidal channels. B - Modelled distribution of tide induced (a) and wave-induced (b) near bottom shear stress. C - Combined wave and tidal current shear stress, produced by summing (a) and (b) from B.

#### PART FOUR

#### SURFACE SEDIMENT

## Introduction

Much of the research effort in this study concentrated on surface sediment, because this material potentially bears the imprint of its depositional environment. It may exhibit process-specific properties imprinted on it during transport or deposition, as well as trends which develop in response to local or regional energy gradients.

The theoretical approach to sampling and analysing surface sediment, and the sampling design, sampling methodology and analytical procedures adopted for this study are detailed in Appendix 3. In brief, the need for a reliable, representative and environmentally meaningful sample suite was satisfied by sampling only active, uppermost surface sediment, by performing size analyses only on the sand fractions of surface samples and by using a sedimentation instrument - a Woods Holetype Rapid Sediment Analyser (RSA) to determine these size distributions. The development and verification of a sampling philosophy lod to the preparation of a short paper (Macpherson and Lewis, in prep.) and the arguments presented in that paper are given in an expanded form in Appendix 3. Also included in Appendix 3 are the results of a comparison of size analyses performed by both sieving and sedimentation, and results of tests to examine the reliability of the methods used to measure sediment muddiness, and those used to measure sand fraction granulometrics by sedimentation. An evaluation of the variability likely to be present at or near sampling sites is also presented in Appendix 3.

### Mud

Sand and mud sized sedimentary particles are transported and deposited according to different physical laws (see Appendix 3), and their properties and distributions should be considered separately.

1. Observations and interpretations. The muddlest sediment in the AHE occurs in the Heathcote Basin, where an area of about  $600\ 000\ m^2$  (defined by the 40% mud contour, Figure 33) has a mean muddless of 60%

(s = 16%, N = 37), and maximum values up to 90%. Sediment with more than 40% mud also occurs along the western intertidal flat, and in the north-west corner of the estuary, where an area of 240 000 m<sup>2</sup> has a mean muddiness of 50% (s = 11%, N = 31). Two shallow subtidal ponds on the eastern low tide flats are the only other areas with more than 40% mud. The two largest muddy areas will be referred to as the Heathcote and Avon Mud Depositories.

Figure 33 shows that the Heathcote Depository occurs on the lower flats adjacent to the Heathcote River entrance, and that the Avon Depository similarly occurs adjacent to the Avon River entrance. On the central western flats muddy sediment occurs as an elongate zone which becomes narrower and less muddy with increasing distance from the river entrance.

In 1965, automatic turbidity meters were installed in the Avon River (near Gloucester Street) and the Heathcote River (near Buxton Terrace) by the consultants to the CDB (the Wallingford Report, 1970). Both ran for about 12 months, and the results indicate that during 1965, 900 tons (dry weight) of suspended solids was transported down the Avon River (2.5 tpd) and 11 000 tons down the Heathcote (30 tpd). Records for a period of high river flow in April 1968 indicate that values for suspended solids in the Heathcote River were 10 times higher than in the Avon (1 275 ppm vs 135 ppm), and Heathcote flood flows may normally carry an order of magnitude more suspended solids than the Avon.

In Figure 34, all samples from Lines 2 to 11 (Map 1) on the western slopes are grouped into areas of approximately equal size. Regressions of sediment muddiness on to HAD for all samples from each area show that there is an offshore trend towards muddier sediment in deeper water in each of the four areas, that the trends steepen offshore from north to south, and that sediment at equivalent depths tends to be less muddy in the south.

Tidal current velocities and shear stresses increase as water depth increases towards subtidal channels in this estuary (Part Two), so it is unlikely that the patterns of sediment muddiness shown in Figure 33 are significantly influenced by tidal currents - if they were,' sediment muddiness would decrease with increasing depth, which is clearly not the case. It is probable that these patterns are influenced both by variations in wave energy, which increases from north to south as east wind fetch distances increase, and by preferential deposition, with the muddiest sediment deposited closest to the source of supply,



Figure 33 Muddiness of surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Contoured at 5%, 20%, 40%, 60% and 80%. Closed contours with outer hachures contain higher values within, inner hachures indicate lower values within.



Figure 34. Sediment muddiness vs water depth (as NAD). A - Samples from the western slopes and flats of bathyform 8, lines 2-11. B - Samples from the eastern slopes and flats of bathyforms 1, 2 and 3, lines 2-8. Empty symbols represent high tide slope (HTS) samples, half blacked symbols represent mid tide shelf (MTS) samples, and fully blacked symbols represent low tide flat (LTF) samples.



 $\Delta$ >6 000 5-6000 O 90 00 < 5 000 🕥 ၀ Δ 0 - 80 Δ Δ .70 0 60  $\bigcirc$ 60 Fercent mud 50 0 ۲ ര 0 0 C 40 0 0 30 0 0 20 8 00 ۲ C 10 0 L ۲ 00 9,0 8,5  $\langle \rangle$ 9,5 10,0 4 Height above datum ٠ LWOST HWOST



the Avon River.

On the north-eastern flats, at equivalent distances from the river entrance and at equivalent water depths, sediment is about an order of magnitudes less muddy than on the western slopes (Figure 35B). There is a weakly developed longshore trend visible in the data from these eastern slopes, but south of Line 3 (Map 1), sediment above the MTL is uniformly mud free. There is also a poorly developed tendency for sediment muddiness to increase with increasing depth below the MTL, and the lowtide flats (at about 8.5 to 8.8 m HAD) are clearly muddiest at equivalent depths in the north (lines 2 and 3). The significantly lower muddiness of these eastern flats may be due to higher wave energy, or to the frequent westward diversion of muddy Avon River water by a wind induced circulation (Figure 20). That muddy sediment does not occur above the MTL in areas exposed to longfetch waves is interpreted to result from higher levels of shear stress, which increases steeply above the MTL.

Figure 35B shows per cent mud plotted against water depth (as HAD) for the northern and western flats of the Heathcote Basin, with samples grouped into three fetch classes. Figure 35B indicates that in the Heathcote Basin, sediment muddiness is partly independent of water depth. It is likely that wave energy exerts an insignificant influence on the distribution of mud in this area, and that processes related to preferential deposition (close to the Heathcote River point-source) dominate.

2. Discussion. The evidence for nearly complete retention of fluvial sediment in many estuaries is incontestable (Schubel, 1971; Meade, 1974; Drake, 1976). If it is assumed that a minimum of 80% of the 12 000 tons/year supplied to the AHE is permanently deposited in the two muddiest areas, this would represent an accumulation of 0.01 tons/m<sup>2</sup>/year of sediment, or about 1 cm/year (assuming 1 ton = 1 m<sup>3</sup>). However the data and discussions presented in Part One indicate that the AHE has been a net exporter of sediment since about the turn of the century. This apparent paradox will be returned to, and examined in more detail, in Part Five.

The pattern of sediment muddiness shown in Figure 33 is not similar to the patterns of erosion and deposition shown in Map 4, indicating that deposition and erosion of sediment, and sediment muddiness, are independent and that much of the mud in this estuary is

deposited in areas undergoing net erosion. This indicates that very little mud is permanently deposited, and it is probable that the residence time is short for mud particles entering this estuary. Since mud sized particles are important in the fluxes of many agents of pollution through estuaries, this is a conclusion with broad environmental significance (see Goldberg, 1976).

A reasonable extension of the conclusions arrived at above is that any external source of fine sediment will have a mud depository at its entrance to the estuary. The CDB has been concerned that the release of treated effluent at the point sources shown in Map 1 may be contributing to the deposition of organic-rich fine sediment in the north end of the estuary (J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). Knox and Kilner (1973) record an extensive area of fine sediment "... in front of the sewage oxidation ponds" (p.64), and by implication the release of effluent here was considered to be the source of this sediment. The present study indicates that in 1975/77 this zone of fine sediment did not exist, and that the distribution of mud on the north-west intertidal flats can be adequately related to an Avon River source. Thus there is no evidence that the release of effluent contributes to the deposition of mud in this area.

### Sand

Of the two response characteristics used to infer patterns of sediment transport - sediment textures and substrate bedforms - the former has greater potential in the present study, because bedforms are absent or ephemeral in most intertidal areas in this estuary (see Part Three).

1. Introductory discussion. Numerous attempts to identify and model environment-specific sediment textures have been reported in the literature. Historically two lines of investigation have been followed. The first utilises various bivariate combinations of grain-size parameters, in the hope of arriving at genetic textural classifications (Folk and Ward, 1957; Mason and Folk, 1958; Stewart, 1958; Sahu, 1964; Friedman, 1976; Moiola and Wieser, 1968; Hails and Hoyt, 1969). Passega (1957) introduced the CM diagram (first percentile vs median) in an attempt to refine the bivariate method, and to relate textures directly to sedimentary processes. Subsequent work by Passega (1964), Rizzini (1968) and Passega and Byramjee (1969) led to the use of other plots (F/M, L/M, A/M; where F, L and A are weight percentages finer than 125, 31 and 4 microns respectively). There is little to be gained from this bivariate approach in the present study. While it is convenient to illustrate sediment properties with bivariate plots, and to characterise sediments in terms of summary statistics (Folk and Ward, 1957), it is naive to expect such characterisations to lead to universally applicable genetic classifications of sediment textures. Bivariate plots are frequently subjective and ambiguous oversimplifications of the real world, and they often produce contradictory results (in particular see the recent work of Siemers, 1976; and Amaral and Pryor, 1977).

The second line of investigation is based on the physics of sedimentation, and offers much more promise - it follows from early work by Udden (1914), Doeglass (1946) and Inman (1949). Here the organising principle is that the size-frequency distributions of sand samples tend to be log-normal (Krumbein, 1934). It is now part of the conventional wisdom that log-normal distributions, defined by their means and standard deviations, are signatures of depositional events (Swift and Ludwick, 1976), and that deviations from log-normality reflect both the provenance and subsequent hydraulic history of a sediment.

When plotted on a probability scale, log-normal size distributions appear as straight lines. However, most natural distributions are s-shaped and may be resolved into three straight-line segments, each of which represents subpopulations within distributions. Different interpretations of the significance of these subpopulations have led to the development of two schools of thought:

(i) Straight line segments may be the result of mixing of two or more overlapping log-normal subpopulations (Tanner, 1959, 1964; Spenser, 1963). The processes involved may be simply mixing (adding together of two or more basic components), or may be more complex, due to the censoring, filtering or truncation of components, or a combination of these (Tanner, 1964). It is mathematically and physically feasible to derive any given log-probability curve from mixtures of a variety of other curves, so this first interpretation is quite valid (Tanner, 1964; Reed and others, 1975; Sagoe and Visher, 1977), but if correct, it severely limits the interpretive power of lob-probability grain size analysis, because the processes involved cannot be retrieved from the

resulting distribution, and the meaning of the curve remains a mystery.

(ii) The second school bases its interpretation of size distributions on the work of Moss (1962, 1963, 1972), even though some writers appear to be reluctant to acknowledge this debt (see Tanner, 1964; Middleton, 1976). Moss recognised that many s-shaped cumulative curves are due to the presence of three subpopulations of grains. A framework subpopulation makes up the bulk of most sediments, with a model diameter which is related to the hydraulics of the flow. A finer interstitial subpopulation consists of grains fine enough to filter into the gaps between the framework grains. Moss recognised a relationship between grain subpopulations and transport mechanisms (surface creep or traction, saltation and suspension) and showed that the most exactingly selected particles, the framework population, are deposited from a carpet of saltating grains. Coarse grains are transported by sliding or rolling along the depositional surface, and so must be transported over a bed of relatively low grain roughness, and are always coarser than the grains deposited from saltation. Fine grains of the interstitial subpopulation are deposited from suspension.

A contemporary application of Moss's concepts is that of Visher (1969, 1972). Here the basic assumption is that any s-shaped cumulative curve can be subdivided into straight-line segments, each of which represents a truncated normal subpopulation, deposited from a particular transport process, and that the breaks (inflections and truncated segments) are environmentally diagnostic. According to this approach a coarse subpopulation (coarser than 0.0 to -1.0 phi) usually consists of grains transported by traction, a predominant central subpopulation consists of grains deposited from saltation, and a fine subpopulation (usually finer than 2.0 to 3.0 phi) consists of grains deposited from suspension. Visher further proposed that within broad limits, cumulative curve shapes are diagnostic of their depositional environment, with different curve shapes a product of different combinations of sorting and mixing of subpopulations.

A major problem with the Visher approach is that it lacks experimental respectability - very little evidence is available to prove or disprove the basic assumptions (Middleton, 1976; Sagoe and Visher, 1977). It remains attractive mainly because it is potentially the most useful tool in the area of research which aims to extract information

on depositional sedimentary processes from textural responses.

Reed and others (1975) have evaluated some of the assumptions contained in the Moss-Visher approach to grain-size analysis. They found that by generating hypothetical grain-size distributions and mixing them in different proportions, they could produce realistic looking probability plots consisting of straight-line segments intersecting at oblique angles. They also found that by dissecting representative curves from the literature, they could identify distributions which were also mixtures of normal, untruncated distributions, some which were combinations of truncated distributions, and some which were apparently not combinations of normal curves, either mixed or truncated. They concluded that sieved grain-size distributions may well be mixtures of log-normal distributions, but they may equally well be truncated mixtures, or other unidentifiable functions. Thus the conclusions of the first school, above, are quite justified.

Reed and others then investigated the significance of settling velocity distributions, and discovered that this technique, in combination with multivariate statistics, provided a reliable method for discriminating between depositional environments. They decided that sands <u>are</u> truly composed of log-normal subpopulations, probably attributable to the traction, saltation and suspension modes of grain movement, but that it is <u>settling velocities</u>, not sieved grain sizes, that are log-normally distributed.

Three major conclusions which result from the above discussion are: (i) Separate straight-line segments in settling velocity distributions of sand probably represent log-normal subpopulations. (ii) Segments coarser than 0.0 phi represent material transported by traction, those finer than 3.0 phi represent material transported in suspension, and predominant central segments represent material transported by saltation. And (iii), while sieved size distributions may or may not be signatures of particular depositional events, the evidence of Reed and others (1975) suggests that *settling velocity* distributions are usually process-specific signatures, and usually do consist of mixtures of log-normal subpopulations.

2. Numerical procedures. A major requirement of an analysis of large numbers of experimental results is a simplification which reduces the data to a manageable form, yet obscures as little useful information as possible. In the present project this requirement has been

accomplished by ordering the samples into like groups with a Q-mode cluster analysis (program on tape supplied by M. McClennan, New Zealand Forest Research Institute, Christchurch). Q-mode cluster analysis is a technique which groups samples which are most similar (which have a high coefficient of similarity - in this case a modified Sorensons coefficient), and then orders them at successively lower levels with other groups (see Davis, 1973, pp. 456-472). The result is a hierarchical classification that is both objective and unambiguous.

The sorting process had to be conducted at two levels, since about 480 samples were involved. Initial clustering runs were conducted using all of the samples from each of the bathyforms identified in Figure 20, so that these first runs involved between 50 and 150 samples. Input data were the seven psi percentiles coded from the Rapid Sediment Analyser (RSA) cumulative curves (see Appendix 3).<sup>\*</sup> From the resulting dendrograms, groups of samples with coefficients of similarity greater than 0.99, and with both mean diameter and sorting standard deviations less than 0.05, were identified. These groups were then represented by the individual sample with sorting and mean diameter values closest to the group mean. Representative samples were then run again, and the resulting dendrogram is presented as Figure 36.

3. Cluster analysis results and interpretations. Inspection of Figure 36 shows that the dendrogram may be subdivided into equivalent groups at various levels of similarity. For example a subdivision at a similarity of about 0.96 to 0.97 results in the six groups labelled A to F in Figure 36. This classification proved to be a good compromise between simplification and a minimum loss of information, and has been adopted for further analysis. Sample lists and the input data which form the basis of this classification are included in supplementary material filed in the University of Canterbury Department of Geology Library. Representative cumulative curves for each cluster group are plotted in Figures 37 and 38, and these are replotted on common axes as curve envelopes in Figure 39.

Figures 40 and 41 illustrate some of the summary properties of , the 480 samples involved in the classification.

<sup>\*</sup> In this report  $psi = log_2x$ , where x = the sedimentation diameter, defined in Appendix 3. This transformation is analogous to the size-scale phi transform of Krumbein (1934); after Middleton (1967), as used by Reed and others (1975).



Figure 36 Dendrogram resulting from cluster analysis of 102 representative samples of aurface sediment from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Similarity scale is a modified Sorrensons Coefficient . Groups A-F represent a 6-fold grouping of the 102 samples.



d





Figure 37. Representative cumulative probability curves of sample from cluster groups A, B and C.



Figure 38. Representative cumulative probability curves of samples from cluster groups D, E and F.



7

Figure 39. Cumulative curve envelopes (from Figures 41 and 42) of cluster groups A-C and D-F, plotted on common axes.

• 15

84

.....

In Figure 40 the most commonly used Folk and Ward (1957) graphic statistics (mean size and sorting) are plotted together, and in Figure 41 the 5th and 95th percentiles, from the Folk and Ward input data, are plotted. In both Figures 40 and 41 sample groups overlap, and samples tend to be uniformly distributed within their sectors of the plots. This is interpreted to mean that the six groups in Figure 36 are subdivisions of a continuous spectrum of size distributions, and that the cluster grouping is an arbitrary subdivision of this spectrum (an artificial ordering of the input data into like groups), not a recognition of six discrete natural clusters.

A convenient standard scheme for describing cumulative curve shapes has been adopted, and three basic shapes are recognised: (1) Straight or monolinear. (ii) Bilinear or trilinear (with 2 or 3 straight-line segments). And (iii) Curvlinear (with no break in slope). Groups A to C contain size distributions which are characteristically bilinear or s-shaped curvilinear, with a marked inflection (break in slope) at about 3.0 psi/50%. The two linear segments in these curves are interpreted as two log-normal subpopulations; it may be that samples with curvilinear size distributions contain untruncated mixtures of these same two populations, and sharp inflections represent truncated mixtures. However there is no way ot testing this possibility (Keed and others, 1975; Clark, 1976).

Following the introductory discussion, above, the two straightline subpopulations are interpreted to represent material deposited from saltation (the coarse population) and from suspension (the fine tail). Notice that the coarse halves of these size distributions are uniformly steep, and cover similar size ranges, indicating that sorting within the coarse saltation population is similar, and that differences between saltation populations are due largely to variations in population mean size. However the suspension populations become both finer and poorer sorted from A to C, suggesting that the saltation and suspension populations have granulometric properties that are at least partly independent; for example in Figure 41 there is a slight tendency for coarser psi 5 values to correspond to coarser psi 95 values (where psi 5 and psi 95 are assumed to be representative of the saltation and suspension populations respectively), but the two are largely independent.

Groups D to F consist of samples which are generally better sorted and coarser than A to C, and which are characterised by straight



Figure 40. Scatter plot of mean size vs graphic standard deviation (sorting) of 480 samples fromcluster groups A-F.



Figure 41. Scatter plot of 5th percentiles (psi5) ve 95th percentiles (psi95) of 480 samples from the cluster groups A-F.

or slightly curvilinear cumulative curves (Figure 39). Except for some samples in Group D, fine subpopulation material is apparently absent from these samples - they are interpreted to consist of a single log-normal population, probably deposited from saltation.

There is a slight tendency for sorting to worsen from D to F, with a marked coarsening trend, and in general terms it is reasonable to interpret all Group D-F samples as similarly sorted, with most of the difference between them due to variations in mean grain size. An examination of Figure 39 indicates that Group D-F sediment may be physically identical to the saltation populations of Group A to C samples.

General conclusions which result from these data and analyses are that the sand fractions of surface sediment in the AHE consist of material deposited solely from saltation, or of a mixture of material deposited from both saltation and suspension. The uniformly well sorted saltation population has granulometric properties that are largely independent of those of the suspension population. Most of the variation between samples is due to the presence or absence of the suspension subpopulation (which if present makes up about 50% of the sample), and to variations in the mean size (but not the sorting) of the saltation population.

4. Height above datum preferences of groups A to E. Histograms of HAD vs frequency for samples from each of the cluster Groups A to E are presented in Figure 42. Two observations are particularly significant:

(i) The two Groups A to C and D to E have distinctly different HAD preferences. About 75-80% of all Group A to C samples were from below the MTL (below 9.0 m HAD), only 0.5% were from above 9.5 m HAD, and there were none above 10.0 m. Groups D and E prefer shallow water - about 60% of all Group D samples were from above the MTL, and 80% of Group E samples were from above the MTL. However, the depth distributions of D and E are bimodal; there is a small peak in the histograms between 8.5 m and 8.75 m, and a much larger peak between 9.0 m and 9.25 m. Thus sediment belonging to Groups D and E is found in two environments - on the deepest intertidal flats (flats deeper than 8.5 m HAD are uncommon), and on intertidal flats above the MTL.

(ii) The three Groups A, B and C show markedly similar depth preferences.





General conclusions which result from these observations are that sediment is only commonly deposited from suspension below the MTL, in water deeper than about 1.2 m below HWOST. However the absence of a suspension subpopulation is not depth-diagnostic, because sediments without the population also occur on the deeper intertidal flats, below the MTL. Processes which are responsible for the differences between Groups A, B and C are independent of depth, since the three groups have almost identical depth preferences.

5. Regional distribution patterns. Map 2 shows the regional distributions of the six cluster Groups A to F. The following features are visible in this map:

(i) In the Heathcote Basin the largest area of Group C sediment in the estuary occurs close to the river entrance, and there appears to be a progression from Group C to B to A with increasing distance from the entrance. This progression is shown in a simplified diagrammatic form in Figure 43. In the sequence C-B there is a slight coarsening of the saltation population, and in C-B-A there is a clear trend towards a coarser, better sorted suspension population.

(ii) Large areas of the higher intertidal flats around the edges of the AHE consist of Groups D and E sediment. Group E is distributed along the entire length of the eastern high tide slopes, and in an intermittent strip down the western high tide slopes, becoming more abundant south of line 6 (Map 1). In general there is also an offshore trend across the higher intertidal slopes and flats, from F to E to D. These patterns are also shown diagrammatically in Figure 43.

(iii) An assemblage of Groups D and A occurs on midtide (9.0 m) slopes and flats in the centre of the estuary, and along the eastern flats. On the eastern midtide flats Group Al sediment occurs only in the intertidal channels.

(iv) The north end of the western slopes and the low tide flat east of the Avon channel are characterised by a mixed assemblage, where sediment of Groups A to C occurs in an apparently disorganised pattern, with poorly developed depth preferences. In this north-western corner of the estuary sediment of groups D to F is restricted to narrow irregular zones along the upper and lower margins of the intertidal flats.





Figure 43. Disgrammatic distribution patterns of sediment cluster groups. A - sediment muddiness from Figure 37, for comparitive purposes. B - Generalised pattern of distribution of samples from groups A, B and C in the Heathcote Basin. C - Occurrence of assemblage A+B+C in the north west of the estuary, 8.8m HAD contour shown for reference. D - Distribution of group E sediment on higher western slopes. E - Distribution of group E sediment and the assemblage  $D_{+}A$ , on the easiern high tide slopes. 9.0m HAD contours shown for reference. See Map 4 for the original patterns.

### 6. Interpretations.

(i) The pattern of mud deposition in the Heathcote Basin (shown in summary form in Figure 43A) is the result of a compromise between processes which supply mud to the AHE, and those which influence its deposition. Similarly, the distribution of sand of Groups A to C sediment in this basin is a compromise between the two sets of processes. Close to the river entrance, only Group C sediment is deposited, regardless of water depth (Figure 43B). With increasing distance from the river entrance, Groups B and A occur.

The major differences between A, B and C are in the mean size and sorting of the suspension subpopulation, which becomes coarser and better sorted with increasing distance from the river entrance. The sequence C-B-A is interpreted to be a preferential deposition feature, perhaps related to suspended sediment concentrations - which must be highest in fresh river water, and which decrease as river water is mixed into cleaner, more saline estuary water.

One result of this pattern of deposition is a negative selective sorting effect, where the suspended sediment subpopulation is finest and poorest sorted close to the source, the mean size of the subpopulation increases with increasing distance 'downstream', and whole sample sorting values worsen rather than improve with increasing distance from the source.

Above the 9.0 m HAD contour (in water shallower than 1.2 m below (ii) HWOST), the distribution of sediment consisting of a single saltation population (Groups D, E and F) is influenced by both water depth and fetch distance. For example in Figure 43D, Group E sediment occurs in progressively deeper water as east wind fetch distances increase from north to south. Since downfetch energy gradients are due to higher frequencies of similar sized waves in this area (see Part Two), this pattern is interpreted to be a result of increasing shear stress frequency, rather than increasing absolute levels of shear stress. However the observation that Group E sediment is found in progressively deeper water from north to south, while mean size and sorting values remain constant, is interpreted to mean that the granulometric properties characteristic of Group E sediment are a response to constant absolute levels of shear stress, and are independent of shear stress frequency. As fetch distances increase, shear stress frequencies necessary for the formation of Group E sediment occur in progressively
deeper water, and as maximum values of about 1800 m are approached, the Group E zone approaches depths of about 1.2 m below HWOST (9.0 m HAD).

The wave climate of the eastern side of the AHE is more homogeneous than the climate of the western side, and as a result Group E sediment occurs along almost the full length of the eastern high tide slope (Figure 43E).

(iii) The widespread group  $D \pm A$  assemblage - commonest on 9.0 m flats - is interpreted to form in areas of relatively low near bottom shear stress, relatively far from the two river entrances.

(iv) The northern zone of mixed A to C sediment, shown diagrammatically in Figure 43C, is interpreted to be an area where no single environmental factor is sufficiently dominant to exert an organising influence on the active layer. Fine sediment is supplied to these western slopes by the Avon River in relatively small amounts, so there is not a clearly visible distribution pattern, as there is in the Heathcote Basin. East wind fetch distances are short, the area is protected from long-fetch southerlies, and as a result it is only in the shallowest water that shear stress frequencies are high enough to influence sediment properties in a consistent manner.

(v) In this estuary, active sediment cumulative curve <u>shapes</u>, recognised and sorted into like groups by the clustering operation, are not process-specific. The energy/bathymetry model proposed in Figure 32 indicates that wave stress is energetically dominant in shallow water, while tidal currents dominate in deeper water, yet Group E sediment occurs in both environments. It appears that curve shapes may be shear stress-specific, regardless of how the stress is applied.

(vi) The presence of large areas of active sediment with remarkably uniform properties is interpreted to mean that most of the surface sediment in this estuary is in equilibrium with the physical environment. The gradient from C to A in the Heathcote Basin presumably also represents a dynamic equilibrium, between processes which supply the sediment and those which distribute it.

(vii) A comparison of Map 2 and Maps 3 and 4 indicates that there is no apparent relationship between the cluster group pattern and patterns of erosion and deposition.

7. Graphic statistics. It is instructive to compare Map 2 with traditional granulometric maps (Figures 44 and 45) which show patterns of mean size and sorting in the AHE. Predictably there are similarities, since all three maps are based on the same size distributions. Notice however that there is considerably less information in the two graphic statistics maps, partly because the sediment in this estuary shows little size or sorting variation, and partly because graphic statistics are insensitive to minor variations in size distributions. In effect, the cluster map combines the four graphic statistics of mean size, sorting, skewness and kurtosis in one variable.

Figures 44 and 45 emphasise that mean size variation is greater than sorting variation in the AHE. Mean size values range from coarser than 2.0 psi (0.25 mm, medium sand) to finer than 3.0 psi (0.125 mm, very fine sand), with minimum recorded values of about 3.5 psi (about 0.09 mm). However sand from this estuary is either well sorted or very well sorted, with values ranging from 0.14 psi to 0.50 psi. Natural sediments may be as poorly sorted as 8 or 10 phi (Folk, 1968), which emphasises the uniformly good sorting in this environment.

Earlier work (see Figure 32) has shown that it is possible to realistically model the bathymetry and the distribution of energy in the AHE in relatively simple terms. It is clear from the results above that surface sediment granulometrics are poorly related to this process model in some areas, and below the MTL, sediment properties are a compromise between the rate of supply of sediment, and the ability of physical processes to sort it into depth-specific assemblages.

In an attempt to test the systematics of the relationship between bathymetry and sediment granulometrics a number of representative bathymorphic profiles were plotted at a constant horizontal scale, and sediment samples collected along each profile were also plotted at the same scale. The advantages of a constant scale approach are that it is easier to compare trends along sample lines within bathyforms, and to compare different bathyforms of various sizes.

The results are shown in Figures 46 to 48, and summarised in model form in Figure 49. These diagrams show that on the western slopes, from Lines 2 to 11 (Map 1), both longshore and offshore trends occur. The bathymetric analysis in Part Three has shown that these western slopes become progressively less steep and straighter with increasing wave energy, and the constant scale diagram in Figure 46A



Figure 44. Surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Sand fraction graphic standard deviation (sorting), contoured at 0.2psi, 0.3psi and 0.4psi. Small circles enclose samples not within the indicated sorting classes. Closed contours with outer hachures contain higher values, inner hachures indicate lower values within.



Figure 45. Surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Sand fraction graphic mean diameter, contoured at 2.0psi, 2.5psi and 3.0psi. Sediment with mean sizes coarser than 2.0psi occurs only at (a) and (b). Small circles enclose samples not within the indicated size class.



Figure 46. A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles, lines 2-11 from the western slopes and flats. Horizontal reference marks are at 9.0m HAD. B - Trends in sand fraction graphic mean diameter plotted at the same constant scale. Cluster group symbols as in previous figures. Frofiles are plotted on separate vertical axes in four groups (2-5, 6+7, 8+9, 10+11) for easier comparisons.

emphasises these progressive changes. The profiles plotted on separate vertical axes in Figure 46A fall into four groups: 2 to 5, 6 and 7, 8 and 9, and 10 and 11. The northernmost profiles are distinctly curvilinear, while the southern profiles are distinctly straight.

The mean sedimentation diameter trends in Figure 46B also show progressive changes. Profiles 2 to 5 have broadly similar characteristics, with Group D, E or F sediment at the landward edge, a central zone consisting predominantly of A to C sediment, and a channel margin zone of D and E ( $\pm$  B) sediment. Profiles 6 and 7 are similar, with a broad central zone of finer sediment and coarser sediment of cluster Groups D and E at the landward and channel margins. Profiles 8 to 11 end offshore in shallow intertidal channels - where tidal velocities are lower - and here there is a simple fining offshore trend, with coarsest sediment at the landward edge, and with relatively little sediment of Groups A to C.

The close parallels between bathymetry and sand fraction trends in Figure 46 indicates that wave energy is the primary control on sand fraction properties. However the trends along profiles 2 to 5 are clear evidence that in deeper water, adjacent to subtidal channels, tidal currents may exert the primary influence on sand fraction properties.

With increasing fetch distances the relationships between bathymetry and sediment granulometrics become simpler and easier to predict (compare Line 11 in Figures 46A and 46B). In general sorting improves, skewness values approach zero (indicating perfectly symmetrical size distributions) and kurtosis values approach 1 (indicating perfect Gaussian size distributions) as mean diameters approach values of about 2.5 psi.

Figure 46 demonstrates the relationships between bathymetry and mean size on representative low tide (8.75 m) flats. The profiles in 46A change progressively through the sequence 6-7-8-5-2, and the corresponding mean size trends do likewise (46B). However the grain size response is not related only to water depth, and trends along individual profiles appear to be a compromise between tidal energy, wave energy, and the rate of sediment supply.

Figure 47 shows constant scale bathymetric and mean grain size trends across representative 9.0 m flats and mounds, and representative eastern 9.5 m slopes. Both the bathymetry and grain size profiles are markedly uniform, with as much grain size variation between profiles as along profiles, and no visible relationships between profile



Figure 47. A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles, lines 2, 5, 6-8 from the eastern low tide flats . Horizontal reference marks are at 9.0m HAD. B - Trends in sand fraction graphic mean size.

THE LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY CHRISTCHURCH, N.Z.

.

configurations and the corresponding grain size trends. Figure 49 demonstrates that above the MTL, sediment properties are uniform and independent of HAD, with coarsest sediment at the landward margins of bathyforms. Finest sediment occurs in deeper water adjacent to the intertidal channels, and there is a slight mean grain size difference between 9.0 m and 9.5 m bathyforms, shown diagrammatically in Figure 49.



Figure 48. A - Constant-scale bathymorphic profiles across 9.0m HAD flats and shelves, plotted on common axes, and with trends in sand fraction graphic mean diameter shown below. Profiles viewed looking north or east. B - Constant scale bathymorphic profiles across representative eastern high tide slopes, plotted on common axes, and with sand fraction trends as in A.



Figure 49. Graphic mean size/ bathymetry model of the surface sediment of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Lean diameter trends are from Figures 45 to 47. 1 - Shore-normal trend where the bottom slopes regularly offshore (as on the western slopes and flats, showing a generalised trend (a) and a long-fetch, straight-profile trend similar to those on lines 10 and 11 (b). 2 and 3 - Shore-normal trends across mid tide shelves and high tide slopes. 4 - Bathymorphic model (from Figure 32). 5 - Trend across low tide flats.

## PART FIVE

### SUBSURFACE SEDIMENT

## Introduction

An analysis of subsurface sediment from the AHE was undertaken to evaluate a number of outstanding problems. In particular the active layer assumption, which formed the basis of the sampling method used to collect surface sediment, required testing. The nature and significance of processes which maintain equilibrium distribution patterns in the face of continuing erosion need to be evaluated, and the probability that there may be a stratigraphic record of the response to early urbanisation outlined in Part One, also requires evaluation.

#### Methods

To obtain the maximum information from subsurface sediment it is necessary to collect undisturbed stratigraphic sequences. Two methods were adopted in this study:

(i) Short lengths of thin-walled plastic drain pipe (internal diameter 60 mm, outer diameter 64 mm) were pushed by hand into the sediment, then lifted or dug out. Tubes were sealed with plastic bags, returned immediately to the laboratory and opened with a sharp knife.

(ii) Longer cores were collected with thicker-walled tubing (internal diameter 53 mm, outer diameter 60 mm) cut into 1.5 m and 2 m lengths. The tubes were hammered into the sediment with the flat back of a spade. Before use the top end was squared off to avoid splitting, and a shallow cutting face was bevelled on to the leading edge. The tubes could often be driven 1.5 m to 1.8 m into the sediment, and were then dug out. Recovery problems were only encountered where sediment in the tube was predominantly mud free; in most cases muddy layers near the tops of filled tubes acted as natural plugs, preventing the loss of sediment from the bottom end of the core. These thicker tubes were opened in the laboratory by cutting a slice of plastic from opposite sides with an electrical band saw, leaving a thin layer which was subsequently cut through with a knife.

In both methods, once the tubes were cut open, the top half was lifted off, exposing an almost undisturbed sequence of sediment for description and analysis. A total of 47 cores were recovered using the two methods. All were logged immediately after opening - while still wet, and before oxidation destroyed layering and mottling due to the presence of chemical layering (Hayes, 1964). Samples were analysed exactly as were surface sediment samples.

#### Results and interpretations

Detailed core logs are presented in Appendix 4. An examination of these logs reveals that four distinctly different stratigraphic units are present in the subsurface sediment of the AHE. There is an upper zone of dark grey and black mottled, bioturbate sediment, inhabited by a number of burrowing animals, and separated from underlying sediment by a bioturbation interface. Beneath this surface there are three units: a basal massive sand unit - unit (a); an intermediate layered muddy unit - (b); and an uppermost, thin, massive, muddy unit - (c). The stratigraphic relationship of these units are summarised in Figures 50 to 53.

## Sediment from beneath the bioturbation interface

1. Unit (a). Several of the deeper cores finished in massive, dark grey, friable, clean, coaser fine sand (with mean diameters from 2.0 to 2.5 psi) or medium sand, with rare wood fragments, and sparse coarse shelly debris. Unit (a) sediment was uniformly low in mud (mean muddiness was 3.47%, s = 1.66%, N = 8). Sand fraction graphic statistics, input data, and probability cumulative curves are shown in Figures 54 and 55, where it can be seen that apart from two unusual samples from core 42 (830 and 831), unit (a) sand is uniformly well sorted, although spanning a wide size range. Cumulative curves of unit (a) sand are either almost straight, or slightly curvilinear, and are interpreted to represent a single saltation population, similar to Groups D to F in the surface sediment scheme. Figure 55B indicates that there is no relationship between grain size and HAD in this unit. If the tidal range has remained unchanged since the deposition of unit (a) sand, then Figure 55B indicates some of it was probably deposited in subtidal conditions, and all of it was deposited below the MTL.

i04



Figure 50. Stratigraphic sections and summary graphic logs. A - Core locations. B - Simplified descriptive sedimentology of cores, 1 - Bioturbate (mottled) sediment. 2 - Olive grey plastic mud. 3 - Friable massive dark grey fine sand. 4 - Massive dark grey and grey-black muddy fine sand and very fine sand. 5 - Weakly laminated very fine sand and muddy very fine sand. 6 - Well laminated very fine sand, very fine sandy mud and mud.

. .









SAMPLE NO.	GR. HEAN	51. OSV.	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	P1115	PHE 16	PH125	PH150	PH175	PH184	PH195
787	2.35	0,28	0.25	0,97	2,47	2.60	2.65	2.81	3.04	3.15	3.39
800	2.68	0.25	0,30	1.22	2,35	2,47	2.53	2,64	2.82	2,94	3.21
810	2.48	0,28	0.25	1.05	2.09	2.24	2,28	2,44	2,65	2.77	3.04
813	2.32	0,30	0.33	1.07	1.93	2.06	2.10	2.26	2,50	2.63	2.97
829	2,64	0.25	0.43	1.16	2.35	2,44	2,49	2.58	2.79	2,91	3.20
830	3,16	0.51	0.08	0.79	2,30	2,65	2.78	3.10	3.61	3,73	3,90
831	3.17	0.51	0,10	0,79	2.30	2.66	2.78	3.10	3,61	3.74	3.91
834	2.25	0,25	0.07	1.09	1.81	2,02	2.09	2,23	2,41	2.50	2,66
846	2.41	0,25	0.04	1.19	1,95	2,19	2,25	2.40	2,56	2,65	2.85
852	1.86	0.24	0.29	1.07	1.54	1.65	1.70	1,82	2,01	2,12	2,35

Figure 54. Representative cumulative probability curves, graphic statistics and percentile input data, unit (a) subsurface sediment.

109

0.00





Figure 55. Scatter plots of graphic mean diameter ve graphic standard deviation, and graphic mean diamter vs HAD, unit (a) subsurface sediment. J

Figure 56 shows contours drawn on top of unit (a). The data used to construct Figure 56 were derived by calculating the HAD of the upper contact of unit (a) for all core locations where unit (a) sand was recovered. If the assumption that the upper contact of unit (a) sediment represents a synchronous surface is justified, then Figure 56 may indicate that immediately before deposition of overlying sediment the unit (a) surface consisted of a western mid to low tide slope, separated from an eastern low tide flat by a broad subtidal channel.

Drillers logs, supplied to the writer by the CDB, and information from D.D. Wilson (pers. comm., 1977), indicate that typical sequences of sediment encountered during subsurface investigations near the AHE pass through an upper layer of fine muddy material, from 1 to 2 m thick, and then through at least tens of metres of monotonous, massive, dark grey sand. Cores figured by Brown (1975, in press), indicate that this sand - the Christchurch Formation of Suggate (1958) - is up to 50 m thick beneath the estuary. Unit (a) is interpreted to represent the uppermost few decimetres of this sand, and to be uppermost Christchurch Formation sediment.

Unit (a) is interpreted to be pre-estuarine, open ocean sediment for two reasons. Firstly because of the similarity between unit (a) sediment and monotonous, supposedly marine sediment beneath it (Suggate, 1968). Secondly, because of the textural evidence in Figure 54 - present day sand with nearly straight cumulative curves and uniformly excellent sorting only occurs in shallow water (above 9.0 m HAD) where wave energy is relatively high. The presence of similar sand in deeper water (down to 8.0 m, Figure 55B) may indicate a regime of larger waves - and perhaps oceanic conditions.

2. Unit (b). In almost all cores, massive clean friable unit (a) sand is overlain by muddy, stratified sediment. The contact is invariably sharp and abrupt, and represented by a significant textural discontinuity. This stratified sediment is commonly plane-laminated muddy very fine sand, often with centimetre or decimetre scale interbeds of massive muddy fine sand and muddy very fine sand. Where relatively thick (as in core 41), this sequence may contain one or more decimetrescale fining upwards sequences, with individual units typically beginning at an erosional and textural discontinuity as clean fine sand, grading up into weakly laminated finer fine sand (2.5 to 3.0 psi), muddy laminated very fine sand, and massive very fine sandy mud or mud (more



Figure 56. Contoured upper contact of subsurface sediment unit (a), in metres HAD.

than 50% mud). For example see cores 26-27 and 42, Appendix 4.

Coarsening upwards sequences also occur (as in cores 38 and 35), as do massive sequences where sand properties remain more or less uniform but muddiness either increases or decreases upwards (as in core 32). In several cores layered unit (b) sediment is overlain by massive clean or slightly muddy sand, and in others the layered sequence preserved above unit (a) is quite thin, perhaps indicating that much of the unit (b) material was eroded before deposition of overlying massive sand (cores 28-29, 35, 35 and 42). In some cases sediment interpreted as unit (b) consisted of massive and weakly plane-laminated muddy very fine sand, with minor thin muddy layers (as in 10, 13, 14, 20, 23). In some few cases it was difficult to unambiguously differentiate between units (a) and (b) - for example in core 23 below 31 cm; in core 40 below 69 cm; and in core 42, below 56 cm.

Seventy-three sediment samples were collected from unit (b) sequences (see Appendix 4 for sample locations), and the seven percentiles used to calculate Folk and Ward statistics were run through the same clustering program used to sort surface sediment into like groups. The resulting dendogram is shown in Figure 57. Four distinct cluster groups are differentiated in Figure 57, with coefficients of similarity of about 0.95 to 0.96 - about the same as the level of similarity used to differentiate cluster groups in the surface sediment analysis. The four groups are designated b1 to b4.

Representative cumulative curves for each of the groups are presented in Figure 58. Group bl and b2 sediment consists predominantly of monolinear and curvilinear size distributions, with very similar coarse fraction properties in both (psi 5 at about 2.1 to 2.2, psi 50 at 2.5). The major differences are that most b2 curves have an inflection at about 80% to 90% (at the arrow in Figure 58), and have a finer fine tail than bl sediments.

Sediments of Groups b3 and b4 are finer and have predominantly bilinear or sharply curvilinear size distributions, with inflections at about 3.0 psi (b3) or 2.5 psi (b4).

Also shown in Figure 58 are samples belonging to a fifth sediment group (labelled b'), which is interpreted to be a laterally equivalent, bathymetrically higher (shallower) version of b1-b4. Sediment of this sort occurs in at least 8 cores (20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 38, 40 and 42) and there are also a number of ambiguous cases - 9, 10, 30, 39 and 47.

A	2.57	0.31	0.43	1.24	2.23	2.32	2.36	2.50	2.72	2.00	3.32	718 _
	2.57	0.31	0.29	1.33	2.15	2.32	2.37	2,53	2.74	2.05	3.35	633 _
	2.59	0,28	0,25	1.37	2.23	2.35	2.42	2,56	2.72	2.05	3,23	739 -
1	2.54	0,32	0,21	1.24	2,00	2,26	2.34	2,50	2,70	2.86	3.17	729 _
	2.55	0.29	0,11	1.37	2,08	2.30	2.30	2.54	2. <u>79</u>	2.02	3.15	717 -
	2.57	0,27	0.06	1.48	2.13	2,32	2.49	2.56	2.74	2.04	3.03	728 👡
	2.57	0.23	0.08	1.01	2.18	2.37	2,39	2,56	2.72	2.79	2,99	754
	2.48	0.34	0.34	1,22	2.04	2.20	2,26	2.42	2.67	2,03	3,26	733 _
	2.68	0.77	0.35	1.21	2.38	2.50	2.54	2.63	2.80	2,90	3.15	806 _
	2.61	0.25	0.24	1.20	2.27	2.40	2.46	2.59	2.75	2.09	3.12	757 _
	2.00	0.76	0.20	1.08	2.17	2.10	2.15	7.49	2.66	2.76	2.99	753
pl	2.52	0,24	0.12	0.97	2 17	2 26	2 11	2 68	2.66	2.74	2 01	755 ~
	2.49	0.23	0,12	1.00	2.17	2.20	2.00	2.10	1 41	1 71	2.00	756
	2.52	0,20	0,18	1.00	2.25	2,34	2.39	2.50	2.04	1,75	2.09	764
	2.48	0,21	0.18	0.96	2,10	2.20	2,33	2.45	2.05	2.70	2.00	765
	2.45	0,21	0.09	1.04	2.12	2.25	2.31	2.44	2.59	2,05	2,83	705 -
	2.45	0,26	0.25	1.00	2.05	2.22	2,25	2,40	2.02	2.73	2,95	
	2.43	0.28	0.06	1,14	1.98	2.17	2,25	2.43	2,61	2,70	2.98	//0 _
	2.39	0.23	0.18	1,23	2,00	2,21	2.26	2.37	2,56	2,60	2,90	743
ļ	2.41	0,39	0.13	1.07	1,83	2.04	2.15	2,38	2.65	2,80	3.14	709 🔤
A	2.76	0.12	0,22	1.26	2.60	2.66	2.69	2.75	2.82	2,08	3,00	731 🚓
Å	2.71	0.33	0.48	1.18	2.35	2.44	2.49	2.62	2.89	3.08	3.50	715 _
T	2.71	0,32	0,29	1.15	2,28	2.43	2.49	2.66	2,89	3.05	3.40	716 _
	2.75	0.37	0.47	1.17	2,34	2.45	2.49	2,65	2,93	3.15	3,60	805 —
	2.69	0,29	0,23	1.00	2.26	2,42	2.48	2.64	2,88	3.00	3,24	745
	2.76	0.29	0,22	1.16	2,32	2.51	2.56	2.72	2.92	3.05	3.34	850
	2.79	0.27	0.33	1.14	2.48	2.55	2,60	2.75	2.93	3.08	3.40	797
	2.87	0.16	0.14	1.16	2.11	2.51	2.57	2.73	3.00	3.21	3.53	732
	2.88	0.17	0.11	0.96	2.18	2.54	2.62	2.80	3,14	3.30	3.60	790 _
<sup>b</sup> 2	2 62	0.17	0.78	0.87	2.40	2.58	2.65	2.84	1.19	1.15	1.55	826
	7 89	0.12	0.37	1,10	2.49	2.60	2.66	2.81	3.06	3.24	3.56	807 _
	2.00	0.61	0.12	0.90	2 07	2.24	2.11	7.57	2.89	1.10	1. 15	656
	2.62	0.41	0, 32	0.90	2.07	2	2.01	1 57	2 06	3 07	3.60	660
	2.64	0.42	0,32	1.20	2.10	2,20	2,30		2,00	3.07	3.00	716
	2.70	0,44	0.4/	1.01	7.22	2.57	2.44	2.60	2,00		3.0/	658
	2.85	0.55	0,32	0.93	2,12	2,34	2,45	2.72	3.20	3.49	1.81	667
	2.81	0.49	0.27	0.94	2.11	2.35	2,46	2.71	3.15	9.36	3.70	
1	2.87	0,62	0.31	0.73	2,02	2,26	2,37	2,70	3,40	3.65	3.85	· · · ·
<u> </u>	2.78	0.58	0.39	0.91	2,01	2.27	2.37	2,61	3,20	3.45	3,66	632A _
Å	3.34	0.35	0,12	0.74	2,89	2.97	3.03	3.32	3.60	3.73	3.92	809 -
	3.36	0.35	0.10	0,70	2,90	2,98	3.05	3.34	3,64	3.75	3.91	793 _
1	3.34	0.37	0.08	0,78	2.60	2.96	3.05	3.32	3.64	3.75	3.92	828 -
	3.32	0,36	0,10	0.76	2,84	2,94	3,02	3,30	3.59	3.72	3,90	841 -
	3.37	0.35	0.07	0.78	2.88	3.00	3,10	3,36	3,66	3.76	3.94	781 -
	3,38	0,34	0.05	0.75	2,90	3.01	3.10	3.37	3.65	3.75	3.91	796 -
	3.38	0,36	0,29	6.77	2.82	3.05	3,10	3.28	3.70	3.80	3.95	670 -
	3.29	0.35	0,10	0.75	2,85	2.91	3.00	3.28	3.56	3.69	3.87	676 —
	3.29	0,35	0,15	0,78	2.80	2.93	2.99	3.25	3.55	3.68	3.87	786 —
bg	3.27	0.36	0,13	0.73	2.80	2,88	2,95	3,24	3.54	3.68	3.85	798 -
	3.29	0.32	0,14	0.73	2.06	2.95	3.01	3:26	3.54	3.65	3.81	794
	3,30	0,34	0.11	0.89	2.87	2.93	3.10	3.29	3.56	3.69	3.87	677 -
	3.26	0.39	0,15	0,72	2,70	2.83	2,93	3,23	3.57	3.72	3,90	746 -
	3.22	0.40	0.24	0.73	2.70	2.02	2.00	3.15	3.54	3,69	3,88	816 -
	3.27	0.42	-0.01	0.77	2.55	2.83	2.93	3.25	3.63	3.72	3.86	673 -
	3.20	0.12	0.27	0.82	2.81	2.89	2.94	3.16	1.44	3.56	3.83	784 -
	3.66	0.15	-0.01	0.69	2 95	1 05	1.16	1.65	1.75	1.81	1.96	671 -
	1 4 1	0.16	-0.06	0.77	2 91	1 04	1.17	1.66	1.71	1.80	1.92	795
V	3.64	0.11	-0.03	0.77	2.00	2.04	2.10	2.14	1 70	1.00	3.00	672
	.7 4 40	0.52	-0.01	0.02	2.95	5,12	3.20	3,40	3.70	3,00	3.35	0/2 _
A	3.05	0.35	0.43	0.87	2,83	2.73	2,78	2.95	3, 32	3,49	3.//	843
	3.07	0.37	0,38	0.93	2,60	2.74	2.80	2.97	3.32	3.49	3.78	844
	3,03	0.34	0.43	0.99	2,64	2,74	2.78	2,94	3.24	3.41	3.75	783 —
1	3,10	0.33	0,36	0.87	2,69	2.79	2.04	3.02	3.34	3,48	3.75	782
	3.09	0.38	0.28	0.87	2.52	2.75	2.80	3.00	3.37	3.52	3.73	663 —
	3,06	0.34	0,19	1.05	2.57	2,75	2.83	3.02	3.27	3.41	3.70	792 -
	2,99	0.37	0.43	0,92	2.57	2.67	2.71	2.89	3.24	3.41	3.76	845 —
b₄	3.12	0.42	0.20	0,90	2.49	2.72	2.01	3.05	3.42	3.59	3.83	808 -
,	3.11	0.41	0.25	0.92	2.53	2.73	2.80	3,04	3.40	3.57	3.87	827 -
	3.13	0.39	0.18	0.80	2.65	2.74	2.82	3.10	3.43	3.56	3.84	842 —
	3,10	0.49	0,20	0.74	2.42	2.60	2.60	3.02	3.50	3.67	3.90	659 —
	3,12	0.48	0,11	0.84	2,35	2,65	2.75	3.07	3.50	3.65	3.08	817 -
	3.18	0.47	0.14	0.73	2,51	2,69	2.70	3.12	3.56	3.72	3.90	705 -
L	3.02	0.56	0.06	0.82	2.15	2,43	2.60	2.98	3.45	3.64	3.05	667 -
	3.04	0,52	0,21	0.80	2.25	2.54	2.65	2,94	3.47	3.64	3.86	815
	3.00	0,50	0.06	0,73	2.24	2.48	2.59	2.97	3.44	3.55	3.75	661 -
Ą	2.94	0.49	0.39	0.97	2.75	2.57	2.60	2.79	3.20	3.50	3.86	657 -
			- • • • •								- • • • •	Ĩ
												Ľ
	GR. HEAD	ST, DEV.	SKEIPIESS	KURTOSIS	PHIS	PH116	PH125	PH1 50	PH175	PH184.	PH195	SAMPLE
						*****						****



Figure 57. Sand fraction graphic statistics, percentile input data, and dendrogram resulting from cluster analysis of input data, unit (b) subsurface sediment.



.

.

Figure 58. Representative cumulative probability curves from groups bl-b4 and b', unit (b) subsurface sediment.

•

Group b' consists of massive well sorted fine sand, physically very similar to unit (a) sediment, except slightly muddier. Group b' sediment sometimes directly overlies unit (b), as in cores 42 and 43, but more commonly occurs as a laterally equivalent, bathymetrically higher correlative of (b), as in the core series 38-23-24 (Figure 52).

Mean size vs sorting of all unit (b) samples is plotted in Figure 59, at the same scale as Figure 40 (for surface sediment). A comparison of Figures 40 and 59 indicates that sediment of units b1 and b' is analogous to Group D surface sediment, b2 is analogous to B, and b4 to C. Sediment similar to subsurface unit b3 has not been recorded at the surface. The distribution of samples in Figure 59 shows that the cluster groups b1 to b4 occupy separate sections of the diagram, and are evenly distributed within their individual fields, indicating that here also, the clustering operation has made a classification of convenience.

Figure 60 shows the depth preferences of the five groups. Groups bl and b2 have similar depth distributions, with identical means and ranges. Group b3 sediment occurs preferentially in deeper water, never above the MTL (9.0 m), with a mean depth of 8.45 m HAD. Group B4 samples tend to occur between 8.0 m and 8.25 m, or between 8.75 m and 9.0 m, with a mean value of 8.56 m; and b' samples tend to occur preferentially above the MTL, with a mean HAD of 9.30 m.

Groups bl and b2 have depth distributions similar to those of the series A-B-C (Figure 43) for surface sediment, b' is similar to group F, but b3 and b4 do not have surface equivalents.

Figures 61A and 61B are plots of mean size vs HAD and per cent mud vs HAD for all unit (b) sediment samples. These figures show that there is a general trend towards coarser, cleaner sediment in shallow water, and regression lines fitted to group average values (for b1-b4 only) show high coefficients of determination, indicating that the average trend is valid.

Unit (b) sediment is commonly plane-laminated and muddy, and granulometric and HAD-preference studies show that it is similar to modern, active sediment in the AHE, but quite dissimilar to unit (a) sediment beneath.

Unit (b) sand consists of single log-normal size distributions interpreted to have been deposited from saltation, or of simple mixtures of this saltation population with material interpreted to have been deposited from suspension.



-1

Figure 59. Scatter plot of graphic mean diameter vs graphic standard deviation for samples from unit (b), subsurface sediment.







Height above datum

Figure 61. Scatter plots. A - Mean diameter vs HAD. B - Perecent mud vs HAD. Sample from cluster group (b), subsurface sediment.

Differences between samples are a result of various mixtures of the two basic populations, and this simple two-component model is analogous to that derived for surface sediment. However, unlike surface samples groups b3 and b4 contain more than 50% of the suspension population, and Figure 58 indicates that some b3 samples contain as much as 80%.

Unit (b) is interpreted to have been deposited after the AHE was separated from open ocean conditions, and to represent material deposited under conditions essentially similar to those which apply at present.

3. Unit (a). In many cores, unit (b) sediment is overlain by a thin layer of massive olive grey plastic (buttery) mud, or very fine sandy mud - unit (c). This olive plastic mud is commonly massive and monotonous in appearance, but it may show laminations (for example, core 13), and it may be rich in coarse, leafy organic debris (probably *Zostera* leaves). The contact between units (b) and (c) is commonly sharp and abrupt, with a major textural discontinuity; in some cores the contact is gradational, with interbedded sand and mud grading up through sandy plastic mud to plastic mud (cores 37 and 41 for example).

Cores with olive plastic mud occur throughout the estuary, with the thickest sequences recorded from the north-west corner (Figure 53). There was no apparent relationship between occurrences of plastic mud and HAD, and the unit was apparently deposited throughout the estuary.

Because of its cohesiveness unit (c) is more resistant to erosion than most other sediment in the AHE, and is exposed at the surface in many locations in the estuary (Figure 62). When exposed on the higher intertidal flats unit (c) tends to be rich in coarse organic debris (leafy material, twigs and shell fragments), and as a result has a fibrous, crumbly texture.

Unit (c) has a mean mud content of 80% (s = 17%, N = 15), a sand fraction mean diameter of 3.08 psi (s = 0.16 psi, N = 10), and a mean sorting of 0.47 (s = 0.04, N = 10). The mean thickness of the unit, from four apparently complete sections (with gradational or planar contacts - cores 17, 22, 39 and 46) is 25 cm, and the average HAD of the top of the unit is 8.96 m.

While unit (c) sediment is different in appearance from both overlying and underlying sediment, it has a markedly uniform appearance from core to core, and very similar physical properties. Figures 63 and 64 show cumulative curves and summary granulometric properties of unit (c) sand, and a comparison with Figure 58 shows that it is



Figure 62. Surface occurrences of post-Furopean anthropogenic mud - subsurface stratigraphic unit (c) - in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A, B - Unit (c) plastic mud exposed in the sides of a shallow intertidal gulley near the end of line 16, eastern high tide slopes (1). View is east north east, ebb flow is to the right (2). Spade for scale ( $\star$ ). Note coarser, active clean sand (3), with lineations parallel to the ebb flow direction (arrowed), and burrows in the lumpy, cohesive erosion-resistent plastic mud (4). White arrow in A is 20cm long. C - Unit (c) fibrous, organic-rich plastic mud exposed immediately south of Sandy Point. View is west into the North Heathcote Basin (hap 1), Tom Cleary (CDB surveyor) for scale. Note scarp or eroding mud (5), shown in detail in E, below (looking east), and post (6) for location. Unit (c) mud is intensely bored, and dips offshore (to the right in E) at a slightly lower angle than the present-day sediment surface. D - Unit (c) sandy mud (7), exposed near the eastern end of line 10, Map 1. View is to the north. F - Olive plastic mud of unit (c) dug from beneath clean friable sand (8) on line 10, near the location of core 36, Figure 50 (see detailed log of core 36 in Appendix 4). C - Shelly, organic-rich unit (c) mud (9) exposed at the HE mark (10) near the east end of line 3, Map 1. Rule is 25cm long.



-1

SAMPLE NO.	GP. MCAN	ST. DEV.	SKELMESS	KUNTOSIS	PH15	PH116	PH125	PH1 50	PH175	PH104	PH195	
719	3.10	0.44	0.21	0.81	2.49	2.66	2.75	3.03	3.43	3.61	3.84	
720	3.14	0.44	0.25	0.83	2.54	2.72	2,30	3,06	3.47	3.65	3.90	
721	3.19	0.42	0.14	0,84	2.56	2,75	2,85	3.13	3.48	3.65	3.85	
722	3.18	0.42	0.22	0,70	2,60	2,75	2.80	3,10	3,53	3,68	3,85	
723	3.11	0.49	0.07	0,71	2.40	2,58	2.67	3.08	3,50	3.67	3.84	
724	3.11	0.50	0.17	0.80	2,36	2.61	2.72	3.03	3.50	3,70	3,88	
725	3.23	0,42	-0.05	0,80	2.58	2.77	2,56	3.25	3.54	3.66	3,90	
734	3.16	0,50	0,05	0,76	2,39	2.63	2.74	3,13	3.55	3.72	3.90	
734	3.16	0.50	0.05	0,76	2.39	2.63	2.74	3,13	3,55	3.72	3,90	
742	2.84	0.52	0.47	0.85	2.22	2,37	2.45	2.66	3,24	3,48	3,85	
749	2.75	0,51	0.46	1.10	2.16	2,30	2.36	2.59	2.95	3,37	3,74	

Figure 63. Representative cumulative probability curves, graphic statistics and percentile input data, unit (c) subsurface sediment.



Figure 64, Scatter plots. A - Mean diameter vs graphic standard deviation, B - Mean diameter vs HAD, unit (c) subsurface sediment.

uniformly finer and poorer sorted than any of the subgroups of (b). Figure 64 shows that there is no relationship between the sand properties of unit (c) and water depth.

Unit (c) sediment is clearly different from all other material in the AHE, both ancient and modern; it was deposited as a thin blanket throughout the estuary - in many places where fine sediment had not previously been deposited, and where it has not been deposited since. The unit was most probably deposited during a period of very much higher than usual suspended sediment concentrations, and was deposited relatively rapidly and at uniform rates (hence the massive appearance, and the independence of sand fraction properties, muddiness, and HAD). The event most likely to be responsible for flooding the estuary with high concentrations of mud, for periods sufficiently long to allow an accumulation of tens of centimetres of sediment, is the urbanisation of the catchment area of the estuary during the second half of the 19th Century. Thus unit (c) sediment is interpreted to represent material deposited during early urbanisation of the Christchurch area, when the tidal compartment decreased by about 30%. If this interpretation is correct it means that the (b)-(c) contact can be dated at about 1850, and the upper contact of (c) at about 1900.

If unit (c) was deposited with an average thickness of 50 cm throughout the estuary, this would represent an accumulation rate of 2 cm/year for the 25 year period 1850-1900, and a total of  $3.0 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of sediment, which corresponds to the figure predicted in Part One (and in detail in Appendix 1) for the decrease in the tidal compartment during this period.

# Biology and physical characteristics of sediment from above the bioturbation interface

In many cores, cohesive muddy unit (b) or (c) sediment has an intensely burrowed upper contact, and where thin unit (c) horizons are exposed at the surface, they are intensely burrowed (Figure 62). The overlying sediment is massive sand, and it commonly contains abundant articulated (living) or coarsely fragmented bivalves. Locally there are pebbles and blebs of soft plastic mud in this upper sandy zone (see cores 37 and 41 in Appendix 4). If muddy horizons occur within this upper zone, they are intensely disturbed, and consist of a few streaky remnants of mud surrounded by sand (as in cores 26-27, 32 and 43). Mottling and visible burrowing in subsurface sediment in marine environments is due to the myriad activities of organisms living on or in the bottom sediment, which eat, burrow through, spray out, or otherwise disturb and mix near-surface sediment (Schink and Guinasso, 1977; Rhoads, 1967). Benthic animals physically alter the sediment in which they live in a variety of ways (see Myers, 1977, for a brief, recent review of this subject). Biologically mediated sediment-water interactions involve the movement of organic matter, nutrients, and pollutants, and are significant in both the benthic and pelagic food chains (Myers, 1977; Goreau, 1977).

1. *Biology*. A wide variety of different animals are involved in the bioturbation of near surface and subsurface sediment in the AHE; four groups warrant detailed discussion:

Polychaete worms are the most abundant animals in the AHE (i) in both variety and numbers (Voller, 1973; Knox and Kilner, 1973). Estcourt (1967) found a total of 21 species, and one of these (Aonides trifidus) was found in concentrations of up to  $6000/m^2$ . Some are quite large, Glycera americana may reach 27 cm in length, and some are deep burrowers - the widespread detritus-feeding worm Nicon aesturiensis may be found in permanent burrows as deep as 40 cm below the surface in the Some are carnivores (G. americana), some are surface detritus and AHE. deposit feeders (N. aesturiensis) and some are suspension feeders (Scolecolepids benhami). As well as species which live in permanent burrows, there are free-living burrowing species (Anglaophamus macroura) and tube-building species (especially Boccardia polynranchia and Axiothella quadrimaculata, see Figure 65). The lugworm Abarenicola affinis constructs large and conspicuous egestion mounds on many clean sandy midtidal flats (Figure 66A, 66B).

Rhoads has shown that the North American polychaete *Clymenella* torquata is capable of producing vertical grain size gradients in near surface sediment, and of reworking all sediment to a depth of 20 cm in 1.4 to 2.4 years. Other polychaetes are also capable of reworking large volumes of sediment, and of producing subsurface biogenic layering. On the North Sea intertidal flats off Holland and Germany, the polychaete Arenicola (an animal which is similar to the Abarenicola spp. found in the AHE) produces a distinctive 20-30 cm deep concentration of gastropod shells in a manner similar to that described for *C. torquata* (Reineck and Singh, 1975, p. 135).



Figure 65. Surface evidence of sediment-modifying biological activity in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A - Holes and surface markings (1) in clean friable rippled sand near the eastern end of line 10, Map 1. Animals not identified, bar is locm long. B - Circular traces (2, 3) in sloppy mud near the western end of line 3, Map 1, probably due to a polychaete worm. Size 8 (NZ) boot. C - Ripples formed in slightly muddy very fine sand occupied by a dense population of the tube-building polychaete <u>Axiothella quadrimaculata</u>. D - Crab burrow (4) and large excavation apron (outlined by squate arrows), central Heathcote Basin low tide (8.65m HAD) flats. Lense cap diameter 5cm. E - Crab burrow (5), excavation apron (6), <u>Amphibola</u> trail (7) and partly buried <u>Amphibola</u> (8), in rippled clean sand near the HW mark at the western end of line 11, Map 1. Bar is locm long. F - Crab burrows and aprons (9, 10, 11) and surface markings of an unidentified worm (12, 13), near the east end of line 6, Map 1. Bar is locm long.



Figure 66. Surface evidence of sediment-modifying biological activity in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. A - Egestion cast of the lugworm Abarenicola affinis affinis (1) and feeding traces of the bivalve Macomona liliana (2), southern flats 50m off the causeway. Scale is locm. B - Egestion casts (3, 4) of Abarenicola, and Macomona feeding traces, high tide flats near the east end of line 5, Map 1. Scale is locm. C - Gulls feeding near the west end of Line 9, Map 1. Gulls agitate surface sediment (5) by briskly raising and lowering their feet - eating soft-bodied animals brought to the surface, and making a distinctive pattering sound. Notice the large areas of reflective standing water, and the abundant Amphibola. D - Characteristic birds-foot shaped feeding trace of Macomona (6). E - Hollows made by a gull from the group in C, above (7 indicates right foot mark, the bird faced away from the observer). Note lighter coloured mud on the floor of the depression, the area of coarser agitated sediment in front (8), and polychaete tubes exposed in the sides of the hollows (9). F - Larger area of gull-agitated sediment, showing fluid-mud filled depressions (10), and an Amphibola trail (11). Lense cap diameter 5cm. G - Dense population of Amphibola on high tide slopes (9.5 to 10.0m HAD) near the east end of line 10. H - Intensely bioturbated sediment just off the east end of the Causeway. Note Macomona traces (12), abundant trails of the togshell Zediloma subrostrata (13) and egestion casts of an unidentified worm (14).

Estimates of rates of bioturbation by polychaetes are not available for the AHE, but considering the high population densities present here, the turnover time for surface sediment may be significantly less than the 1.4 years discovered by Rhoads in Barnstable Harbour, Mass., U.S.A.

The bivalve *Chione stuchyburyi* - the cockle - is easily the most conspicuous member of the macrofauna of the AHE (Voller, 1973; Knox and Kilner, 1973), with recorded densities as high as  $2500/m^2$  on some lower intertidal flats. *C. stuchyburyi* is a filter feeder, capable of filtering water at rates of 0.5 L/h for 12 h/day, and one individual may fix up to 44 g of suspended matter (dry weight) in one year (Knox and Kilner, 1973, p. 188). Cockles burrow to depths of 2-4 cm, and when present in high concentrations, may constitute from 30-40% by volume of the upper few centimetres of sediment (Figure 18). The major significance of cockles in sedimentary terms is their filtering activity, since they package suspended material into large aggregate grains, which may be transported as sand sized material, or be buried beneath the sediment surface (Haven and Morales Alamo, 1966; Myers, 1977).

The wedge shell *Macomona liliana* is common on clean sandy midtide slopes and flats in this estuary, where it lives at depths of 15 to 25 cm beneath the sediment surface. It feeds through an inhalant siphon which it extends to the surface and uses to suck sediment from an area of about  $10 \text{ cm}^2$ , leaving a distinctive, radiating, birds-foot like feeding trace (Figure 66A, B and H).

Other bivalves are relatively rare; the green mussel *Perna* canaliculus occurs in an area of about 50 × 200 m on the north side of the principal subtidal channel opposite Beachville Road, and the pipi *Amphidesma australe australe* is also found in small numbers (Knox and Kilner, 1973).

Six groups of gastropods occur in the AHE (Voller, 1973), but only two appear to be significant sediment modifiers. The large mudflat snail Amphibola crenata is widespread (Figure 66G, for example). Amphibola feed when the tide is out by passing material from a centimetre-wide, less than millimetre-deep strip of the surface through its gut, and extruding it as a continuous fecal string (see Figures 65 and 66). The long fecal strings are readily broken into shorter lengths, and the resulting millimetre-scale equant aggregate grains accumulate in shallow depressions and between ripples on the intertidal flats.
This writer has observed that where *A. crenata* is present in large numbers, up to 80% of the sediment surface may be ingested and packaged into fecal strings over a period of 3 to 4 low tides. On windy days waves sweep accumulated fecal particles from the intertidal flats, and they are preumably lost from the estuary. Thus although *A. crenata* reworks sediment by ingestion and surface displacement, its principal role in the sedimentology of the AHE is as a packager of surface sediment into readily transported, coarse aggregate grains.

The small topshell Zediloma subrostrata is less widely distributed than A. crenata, but appears to modify sediment in a similar way (Figure 66H). Other species, including the scavaging carnivore Cominella glandiformis (often seen converging in scores on dead animals) are apparently insignificant bioturbators.

(iii) Crabs are ubiquitous in the AHE. There are four major species: the small fleet-footed *Helice crassa*, the lower mudflat crab *Macrophthalmus hirtipes*, *Hemigrapsus crenulatus* (which Jones, 1976, found to be most abundant overall), and *Cyclograpsus lavauxi*. The two burrowers, *H. crassa* and *M. hirtipes*, are major bioturbators throughout the estuary. They both occupy permanent burrows, which may be 10-15 cm deep and up to 5 cm in diameter. During the excavation and maintenance of burrows, an apron of sediment is usually built up around the entrance (Figure 65D, E and F). Where burrows are abundant (some *H. crassa* 'colonies' contain 4-5 burrows/m<sup>2</sup>) up to 20% of the surface sediment may consist of excavation aprons, which in some cases may contain as much as  $250 \text{ cm}^3$  of unconsolidated sediment.

(iv) A burrowing Amphipod (*Paracorophium*) was found by Voller (1973) in large numbers in the north of the estuary, and a burrowing anemone (*Edwardsia leucomelos*) is common on the lower flats and slopes near the estuary inlet (Knox and Kilner, 1973). Both of these animals seem to be relatively insignificant bioturbators.

Gulls are capable of modifying large areas of surface sediment during feeding activities (Figure 66C, E and F), groups of several dozen birds are usually involved, and the result is the production of large areas of slightly hummocky agitated sediment, with feet-shaped centimetre-deep depressions or parallel tracks, floored with a layer of fluid mud. The gulls choose clean sand, in areas where a few millimetres of water remains at low tide, and in such areas surface sediment is extensively reworked to a depth of 0.5 to 1.5 cm.

These features are similar to the resting traces recorded by Hertweck (1970).

Only three plant species occur in significant numbers in the AHE. A dense zone of the eelgrass Zostera nana occurs in the centre of the estuary (see Knox and Kilner, 1973, p. 24; and vertical air photographs in supplementary material filed in the Department of Geology library, University of Canterbury). Zostera mats tend to accumulate fine sediment, and may raise the sediment level up to 10 cm above the surrounding bottom. Zostera was widespread prior to 1930 in the AHE, but almost completely disappeared in the 1930's (J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). Historical fluctuations in the amount of Zostera covering the bottom of this estuary are likely to have influenced the long-term trend discussed in Part One (and summarised in Figure 4), but the significance of this influence cannot be evaluated.

Two species of sealettuce (Ulva lactuca and Enteromorpha) are common in the estuary, but have a negligible effect of sedimentation. The introduced cordgrass Spartina x townsendii (Knox and Kilner, 1973, p. 177) also occurs. Spartina grows as stout, erect clumps which spread laterally from horizontal rhizomes, and may cause vertical accretion of sediment at rates of up to 3.0 cm/year (Knox and Kilner, 1973, p. 179). In the AHE Spartina is controlled by spraying, and has so far been prevented from spreading beyond a few small colonies.

2. Sediment analyses. Work on bioturbation in shallow marine environments has tended to concentrate on the activities of particular animals or groups of animals, on the structures and traces left by animals, or on the vertical and horizontal distribution of animals and animal assemblages (for examples see Rhoads, 1967; Hertweck, 1970; Howard and Frey, 1972; and especially Myers, 1977). In this project it was felt that there was more to be gained by concentrating on an understanding of the physical results of bioturbation. In particular, attention was concentrated on relationships between the bioturbate zone and the uppermost active layer, and the role of bioturbation in the transportation of sediment into and out of the subsurface zone.

As a preliminary experiment, some of the granulometric properties of sand from near surface and surface (active layer) samples were compared. Figure 67 shows a plot of mean size vs sorting for 16 such pairs. In most cases core samples are of the uppermost 5 to 10 cm of sediment, although some included the upper 20-25 cm (for example;



Figure 67 Scatter plot showing 17 pairs of surface sediment (active layer) samples (O) and adjacent uppermost core samples (3) from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Adjacent pairs are linked.

core 18 in Appendix 4). It is clear from Figure 67 that there are significant differences between active layer and subsurface sand properties, and that these differences are apparently not systematic. This is an important discovery, because it justifies the sampling approach adopted for this project - surface sediment is more likely to reflect contemporary environmental conditions than is subsurface sediment.

To examine relationships between surface and near surface sediment, a series of samples was collected in the Heathcote Basin. The sample run began at the permanent concrete marker about 80 m east of the riprap seawall at the western end of line 12, and a surface sample (usually of the upper 1-2 mm of sediment, collected as outlined in Appendix 4) and a 5 cm-deep core were collected at CDB pegs at 100 m intervals for 1 000 m east along line 12. Deeper cores were also collected at several of these sites (core series 2-9; cores 32 and 43). Figure 68 shows the bathymetry of the western end of line 12, and the locations of all core and surface samples. All of the samples were analysed using the standard techniques previously described.

Figures 69, 70 and 71 show some of the properties of the surface and 5 cm core sample pairs. Examination of these figures reveals that some sample pairs have very similar cumulative probability curves (for example 649 and 650 in Figure 69), while others are quite dissimilar (631 and 632). In some pairs the surface samples are uniformly coarser (647-648), or uniformly finer (645-646). Other pairs have similar coarse tails, but dissimilar fine tails (629-630, 631-632). Notice that there is a fine-coarse-fine trend from left to right in Figure 69, which is apparently related to HAD. The relationships shown in Figure 69 support the conclusions drawn from Figure 67 - that surface and subsurface sediment properties are not systematically related in this estuary.

Figures 70 and 71 show some of the summary properties of the sample pairs, plotted against sample HAD. Figure 70A shows that mean grain size is uniform and independent of depth above 9.0 m, and becomes finer with increasing depth below 9.0 m. This figure emphasises the broadly similar nature of the sand fraction properties of the sample pairs, shown by the left to right trend in Figure 69. This trend is clearly related to water depth, and is probably a wave energy response, as demonstrated in Part Four. However Figure 70B shows that the mud content of the two sample types is dissimilar. Above 9.0 m sediment



Figure 68. The weatern end of line 12, in the Heathcote Basin, showing the bathymetry in 1962 (thin line) and 1976 (thickor line), with the 9.0m HAD level (dashed line) and the section above 9.0m (b-c) shown for reference. Plotted on this profile are the permanent concrete marker at the end of line 12 (a), surface (odd numbers) and subsurface (even numbers) 5cm deep core sediment samples, the locations of shallow cores 2-9 (thich dashed lines) and 3 deeper cores (thin dashed lines).



.

.

-

۰.

.

.

÷.

**Figure 69.** Sand fraction cumulative probability curves of surface and 5cm core samples collected at stations shown in Figure 66. Each pair is plotted on common axes, shown by reference to the point 3.0psi/50%.

Cumulative

-

•



Figure 70. Plots of sediment muddiness and graphic mean diameter of surface and 5cm core sample pairs from line 12. A - Mean diameter vs height above datum, with regression lines fitted to surface sample data (thick lines) and core sample data (thin lines), from above and below 9.0m HAD. Numbers adjacent to lines are coefficients of determination. B - Similar plot for percent mud vs HAD.



Figure 71 Scatter plots, surface sample and 5cm core sample pairs, from line 12. A - Percent mud vs percent mud, with samples grouped into those from above 9.0m ( $\Box$ ), and those from below (O). B - Mean diameter vs mean diameter ( $\Delta$ ) and graphic standard deviation vs standard deviation (O) plots. Black symbols indicate samples from above 9.0m HAD.

.3

.35

5 cm

.4

,25

Sorting

Figure 72. Shallow cores 2-9 from line 12 in the Heathcote Basin (see Figure 66 for locations), showing the bioturbation interface (.....) and bioturbate sediment (1), and massive sand and muddy sand (2), well laminated sand and mud (3) and moderately to weakly laminated sand and mud (4) of subsurface unit (b). Vertical bars represent sample locations. Left vertical scale is HAD of the sediment surface, right scale refors to cores.

邂逅



from core samples is an order of magnitude muddier than surface sediment, and muddiness values fluctuate much more in subsurface than in surface samples. Below the MTL, there is effectively no relationship between water depth and muddiness of 5 cm core samples, but surface samples do show a tendency to be muddier in deeper water.

Illustrated in Figure 72 are a series of deeper cores from line 12 (see Figure 68 for locations) with a simplified sediment classification modified from logs in Appendix 4. In each of the cores in Figure 72 the base of the bioturbate zone (the bioturbation interface) was clearly recognisable, usually about 15 cm below the surface, and beneath the interface, plane laminated and massive unit (b) sediment occurred.

Figures 73 and 74 illustrate cumulative curves of sand from the samples shown in Figure 72, with samples from below the bioturbation interface shaded for easy identification. These two figures show that sediment from below the interface is consistently finer than sediment from above (for example see core 2). Cumulative curves from below the interface are commonly bilinear or multilinear, with a major inflection at about 3.0 psi. However, curves of samples from above the interface are commonly almost straight, and do not contain as much very fine sand. Some curves appear to be intermediate between subinterface and near surface curves (for example 665 in core 7, 674 in core 4), indicating that some bioturbate sediment has properties that are intermediate between those of the parent material – the undisturbed sediment from below the interface – and active or subactive surface sediment.

3. Conclusions and discussion. In the AHE an active layer occurs as a thin skin on top of a subsurface bioturbate zone. This active layer has physical properties that reflect contemporary physical processes, and which may be significantly different from the properties of immediately underlying bioturbate sediment, and markedly different from the undisturbed ancient sediment below the bioturbation interface.

Predictable gradients in sediment properties were not observed within the bioturbate zone beneath the active layer. Sediment from above the bioturbation interface consists of a homogeneous mixture of constituents reworked from below, and constituents supplied from above by contemporary physical and biological processes. The mixed, bioturbate zone is depleted of suspendible fines (very fine sand and mud), and enriched in a coarse lag of polygenetic sand with







Figure 74. Cumulative probability curves of samples from cores 7, 8 and 9.

granulometric properties unrelated to any one set of physical sedimentary processes.

The AHE is experiencing ongoing net erosion, and the sedimentwater interface is continually deepening. As a result the inhabitants of the bioturbate zone are effectively "eating" their way downwards into progressively older sediment, which is mixed into the bioturbate zone (and the fine suspendible fraction is added to the water column) at a rate equivalent to the rate of lowering of the sediment-water The rate at which a net loss of sediment occurs at a interface. particular location will be influenced both by the rate of reworking (which will be related to the population densities and the species of animals involved in bioturbation) and by the muddiness of both the bioturbate zone and the older, undisturbed sediment beneath. If subsurface sediment is very muddy, then a large proportion of the material reworked on to the surface may be permanently removed. For example the removal of all the mud from a 15 cm thick zone of 50% mud may result in about 7-8 cm of lowering of the sediment-water interface, all other things being equal.

It was demonstrated in Part Four that both surface mud depositories and active surface sand have properties which are independent of patterns of long-term erosion and deposition. This apparent paradox is resolved if burrowing crabs, assisted by polychaetes, bivalves and gulls, are the principal agents of sediment erosion. If crabs excavate muddy sediment on to an equilibrium sandy surface, then mud and sand finer than the equilibrium size will be readily removed by tidal currents or wave activity, and over a period of time the result will be a net loss, effectively by removing sediment from below the surface, rather than eroding it from the top. If sandy sediment is excavated on to a muddy surface, there will be a similar net loss, as fines are winnowed from the hydrodynamically rough deposit. Surface sediment will be enriched in the coarser material which remains. As Figures 65 and 66 demonstrate, both of these processes do occur, and one result is that a net removal of sediment proceeds without significantly altering those surface properties that are in short-term equilibrium with surface processes.

A repercussion of the processes outlined above is that where subsurface sediment is very fine grained, as in the AHE (where units (b) or (c) are usually within 15 cm of the surface), crab burrowing may be a major supplier of mud to the modern environment. In this estuary,

subsurface mud is predominantly either pre-European or immediately post-European in origin; thus it is essentially pre-industrial 'virgin' mud, and it may be quite different from mud supplied present day, which may have properties that reflect an industrial environment. If a large amount of the mud supplied to the estuary at present is contributed by crab burrowing, it may significantly dilute modern material from external sources.

## Clay mineralogy

Many estuaries show regional variations in the clay mineralogy of both suspended and deposited sediment. This may be because clay properties change as the salinity of estuarine water increases seaward, it may be because of differential deposition (through physico-chemical flocculation) as salinity increases, it may be because of a size segregation analogous to selective sorting (finer grains travel further), or it may be because seaward clays have a different (usually offshore) source than land derived, upper estuary clays (for examples see Edzvald and O'Melia, 1975; Gibbs, 1977; Meza and Paola, 1977; and Meade, 1972).

As an exploratory test to determine whether clay mineralogy showed systematic variations in either stratigraphy or location within the AHE, some 80 core samples, plus a few surface samples, were analysed for clay mineralogy.

Samples to be analysed were routinely wet sieved 1. Methods. and the mud fraction made up to 1L. After removal of a 20 ml aliquot to determine per cent mud, the lL suspensions were re-stirred and allowed to stand for 2 h, when a further 50 ml aliquot was taken from a depth of 10 cm, providing a sample of the finer than 8 phi size class (finer than about 4 microns). This aliquot was oven dried at 60-70°C, powdered, made into a paste with distilled water, spread thinly on a glass slide, and allowed to dry at room temperature. Slides were X-rayed from 4 to  $16^{\circ} 2\theta$  with CuK  $\alpha$  radiation, some were reX-rayed after addition of a few drops of a mixture of 9 parts ethyl alcohol and 1 part glycerol - a technique equivalent to glycolation, but more efficient (D., McConchie, pers. comm.). A few samples were subsequently baked at 550°C for 1 h and reX-rayed, and some glyceroled (unbaked) samples were scanned slowly (at  $0.25^{\circ}2^{\theta}$ /minute) with narrow beam radiation over the 3.5 to  $3.6\overset{0}{\text{A}}$  kaolinite/chlorite doublet.

## 2. Results.

- (i) All non-glyceroled samples produced strong, sharp X-ray diffraction maxima (peaks) at 14Å, 10Å and 7Å.
- (ii) A few glyceroled samples produced small, broad peaks at about 17Å, otherwise glyceroled and unglyceroled traces were identical.
- (iii) The 7Å peak completely disappeared from all samples treated by baking.
  - (iv) Slow scanning across the 3.5 to 3.6Å area of a number of traces indicated that only one peak was present at about 3.54Å.

These results are interpreted to mean that all analysed samples contained the non-expanding clays chlorite (with an (001) peak at 14Å, an (002) peak at 7Å, and an (004) peak at 3.5Å) and 10Å illite. Some samples contained traces of an expanding clay, probably montmorillonite, which produced the peak at 17Å (Grim, 1953; Johns and others, 1954; Martin and others, 1961; Biscaye, 1964, 1965). The absence of kaolinite was confirmed by the destruction of the 7Å kaolinite/chlorite peak on heating, the lack of a kaolinite peak in the 3.5Å area, where slow scanning will differentiate between kaolinite at 3.58Å and chlorite at 3.54Å (Biscaye, 1964), and the constant ratio between the 7Å and 14Å peak heights (Figure 77). All samples contained clay sized quartz and feldspar particles.

Following the methods outlined by Griffin (in Carver, 1971, pp. 541-571), semi-quantitative estimates of clay contents of samples were made by multiplying peak heights by Biscaye's (1964) weighting factors, such that

17	7Ă	glycero	olec	l peak	height	=	montmorillonite	2
4	×	height	of	10Å peak		=	illite %	
2	×	height	of	7Å pea	ak	=	chlorite %	

Figure 77B indicates that 10Å illite and 7Å chlorite were present in constant proportions in almost all samples, and from the weighting factors above, the proportions are 60% illite, 40% chlorite,  $\pm$  a trace of montmorillonite.

3. Conclusions. Figure 77 shows that the clay mineralogy of all analysed samples was remarkably uniform - consisting of a



Figure 77. A - 142 peak heights vs 72 peak heights (in millisteres) from Xray analyses of subsurface sediment samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. B - 102 peak heights vs 72 peak heights from the same analyses.

simple illite-chlorite ± montmorillonite assemblage, with no detected stratigraphic or locational preferences. Samples were representative of all stratigraphic units, and included uppermost bioturbate zone samples from all major bathyforms. From these observations it is concluded that clay mineralogy is of no interpretive stratigraphic or sedimentological value in this estuary, and cannot be used to identify sources of fine material, or to classify synchronous stratigraphic events.

Presumably this result reflects the geology of the Christchurch area, which consists predominantly of sands and silts deposited in post-glacial time under shallow marine conditions. Non-marine material (from Banks Peninsula volcanics and loess) is presumably a minor constituent in the estuary, or perhaps only contributes small amounts of clay minerals - loess from the northern flanks of Banks Peninsula usually has less than 10% clay sized material, of which less than 50% is clay minerals (McConchie, pers. comm., 1977), and thus has little influence on the clay mineralogy of the estuary.

#### Sand fraction mineralogy

Reed (1951) and Harrison (1976) have both examined sandy sediment from the AHE. Reed's samples were collected during the <u>HMNZS Lachlan</u> survey in 1950 (see Part One and Appendix 1 of this report), from the entrance area of the estuary (Reed, 1951, p. 130). Harrison's samples were from subsurface cores collected along the western and north-eastern edges of the estuary.

The samples analysed by Reed (1951) contained predominantly subangular sand-sized grains of quartz, feldspar and rock fragments. Most monocrystalline grains showed undulatory extinction, and some clear quartz grains were polycrystalline with sutured grain boundaries. The feldspars - acidic plagioclase and orthoclase - were commonly clouded by alteration products such as sericite, epidote and chlorite. The composite (rock) fragments were of sandstone (greywacke) and siltstone. Plates of green chlorite and biotite were recorded in minor amounts by Reed. Heavy minerals - including biotite, chlorite, magnetite, ziron and garnet - comprised less than 0.01% of the finer than 2.0 phi fractions of seven of his samples.

Harrison (1976) recorded that sand grains in his samples were mainly subangular, with occasional well rounded grains. Samples

consisted mostly of quartz, feldspar and rock fragments, in the approximate proportions 50%, 20% and 30%. The samples were classified as feldspathic litharenites by Harrison. The present writer has observed that many sand samples from the AHE contain 2 to 3% well rounded, dark green glauconitic grains, and up to 5% of the coarser sand grains in many samples are polished, highly rounded, clear quartz grains.

Reed (1951) considered that the great majority of the sedimentary material he examined was derived from the foothills of the Southern Alps, and had been transported to the Pegasus Bay coastline by the Waimakariri River. The presence of rounded glauconite grains, and polished, anomalously well rounded quartz grains, suggests that this Waimakariri material is diluted with small amounts of sediment from other sources - perhaps by onshore transport of sediment from the Canterbury Continental Shelf (see the next section, on microfauna).

## Microfauna

D.G. Jenkins and K. Swanson, both of the Department of Geology, University of Canterbury, examined samples representative of all stratigraphic units for both foraminifera and ostracoda respectively. Figure 78 is from Swanson (in press, in preparation). Subsamples analysed by Swanson were 100 g splits of large samples from cores 25(773), 39(860, 40-50 cm), and 40(859, equivalent to 850; and 858, from 100 to 120 cm). Samples were sieved into four size fractions coarser than 80 microns, 80-120, 120-200, finer than 200 - and all ostracods and forams were hand picked from each fraction.

1. Results. A large and diverse ostracod assemblage was identified from samples representing each of three stratigraphic units (a) to (c) and from a sample of the bioturbate zone. Samples contained species previously recorded from the New Zealand Continental Shelf (by Swanson, in press) and all contained species known to live in estuarine conditions. In addition, sample 858 contained species which live in flowing fresh water, and sample 773 contained one specimen (*Darwinula* sp.) known to live in marsh and swamp conditions. Unit (c), represented by sample 860, contained only *Callistocythere neoplana*, which was present in large numbers (102 specimens were picked from 860). D.G. Jenkins recorded a sparse foram assemblage, including benthic and planktonic species, some of which were of Tertiary age.



Figure 78. Relative abundances and stratigraphic distribution of Ostracoda in four core samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.

# 2. Conclusions.

1.1.1

(i) Microfauna preserved in historic and prehistoric sediments of the AHE are derived from freshwater, estuarine and oceanic environments; both ancient and modern.

(ii) Some specimens are of Tertiary age, and may be derived from submarine erosion of Tertiary strata exposed on the sea floor of Pegasus Bay (perhaps from Pegasus Canyon, at the outer edge of the Shelf).

(iii) It is reasonable to infer that some sand present in the AHE has been transported onshore from Shelf sources, although it is not possible to judge whether this reflects contemporary processes, or whether onshore sand and microfossil transport accompanied the post-glacial transgression.

It may be that sand deposited during westward transgression of the coastline contained material from offshore sources, and that this material is currently being reworked in the modern estuary. Present day landward transportation of sand is well documented elsewhere (for example Meade, 1972). A continuous net loss of sediment from this estuary does not preclude the contemporaneous addition of sand - Boggs and Jones (1976) have recorded up-estuary sand transport distances of 800 m in one flood tide in a small Oregon estuary, and Schiedegger and Phipps (1976) record that in the Greys Harbour Estuary (Washington, U.S.A.) sand sized marine sediment is transported up to 11 km into the estuary.

(iv) If sample 860 is representative of all olive plastic mud, then Figure 78 indicates that the deposition of this material as a result of post-European flooding of the estuary with mud caused the death of all ostracod groups inhabiting the estuary, except *C. neoplana*, which thrived under those conditions. During the period of time represented by sample 860, ostracod tests from external sources were not deposited in the estuary.

It may not be unreasonable to infer that widespread mass mortality of the benthic community occurred during deposition of unit (c), probably during the years 1850-1880, and that the present community is a recolonisation assemblage.

#### PART SIX

#### SUMMARY AND SYNTHESIS

## Summary history of the Avon-Heathcote estuary

The AHE is on a coastal plain which consists of Late Quaternary terrestrial and estuarine gravels, sands, peats and mud (Part One; Figure 1). The estuary probably formed between 1000 and 2000 yBP. When the first settlers arrived, in 1850, the area which is now Christchurch City was predominantly swamp and marsh, separated from the sea by a belt of dunes. Early urbanisation probably led to a rapid increase in the supply of fine sediment to the estuary, particularly during the period 1850-1875, when little effective drainage work was undertaken but the population grew from a few hundred to more than 10 600 people. The establishment of the Christchurch Drainage Board and a subsequent burst of drainlaying activity meant that by 1900 54 km of sewage and stormwater pipes were laid, and the quality of surface water <sup>(</sup>(and public health) improved as a result. Increasing impervious cover (mainly roofs and roads) and more efficient drainage resulted in increasing volumes of storm runoff, and a reduction in the runoff lag time. In combination with a decreasing availability of erodible material, these effects caused a steep decline in the supply of sediment to the estuary.

Urbanisation and drainage modifications in the catchment of the AHE resulted in an accumulation of sediment, and a decrease in the tidal compartment. Estimates of post-European changes in the volume of the tidal compartment show that during the first 50 years it decreased by about 30% (Part One; Figure 3). However, shortly after the CDB was established, this trend slowed, then reversed, and the tidal compartment has since increased to about 30% more than its 1850 volume. The two trends were probably exponential (Figure 4). The first was due to early urbanisation and increased sediment yields; the second was probably due to a reduced sediment supply and radical changes to the hydraulics of the freshwater catchment of the estuary.

A reconstruction of historical changes that have occurred around the inlet of the estuary since 1850, based on a series of maps made at 20-30 year intervals (Figure 5), shows that these changes occurred in three phases; from 1850 to about 1925 there was little change; from 1925 to 1955 winter storms precipitated episodic changes which resulted in a 500 m northwards migration of the seaward section of the principal subtidal channel, a southwards migration of the landwards section into Moncks Bay, sympathetic adjustments of the end of Brighton Spit, erosion of sand from Sumner Beach, and deposition of sand to form a new beach at Clifton. From 1955 to the present the new configuration has changed little.

The changes which occurred after 1925 were in-phase with changes in the tidal compartment, and significant changes only occurred when the tidal compartment increased above the 1850 (pre-European) volume. Changes at the outlet and to the volume of the tidal compartment have since occurred at parallel rates. The inlet has adjusted to the larger volumes of water flowing through it, and has adopted a different configuration as a direct result of the exponential response of the tidal compartment of the estuary to changes in sediment yields and runoff caused by the development of Christchurch City.

The belief that the AHE has been changed by man's activities is fully justified. The changes which have occurred have had an exaggerated effect in this estuary because it is small and microtidal, and because the treshwater catchment of the estuary is so thoroughly dominated by the Christchurch urban area. It is clear from Part One that physical pollution of the sort that has occurred in this estuary is not irreversible. Generalising from the results summarised above, the indication is that estuaries are capable of adjusting and readjusting quickly to changes in local sediment and water budgets. Altered runoff characteristics may be the major agents of physical pollution in urban watercourses, and in nearby water bodies.

There have been some highly visible and costly consequences of the impact of Christchurch City on the AHE - for example the alterations to the inlet area, and the resulting shore protection work at Sumner and in Moncks Bay (Scott, 1955). Inevitably, other changes must also have occurred, especially as a result of large variations in the volume of the tidal compartment - changes in mean salinity, mean water temperature, suspended sediment concentrations, wave characteristics, bottom sediment properties, tidal current velocities, and so on.

A process-response link between changes in the tidal compartment of an estuary and progressive, systematic changes in the configuration of the inlet to the estuary has not been previously reported in the

literature. The discovery of such a link may have significant planning and engineering repercussions in areas where inlet changes threaten seaside real estate (as has happened in the Christchurch area - Part One, and as is currently happening in several other coastal areas in New Zealand). If a history of catchment landuse alterations can be reconstructed for an estuary, and especially if historical charts of the inlet are available, reasonably confident predictions of future patterns and rates of change may be possible. For another recent discussion of this subject see Reinson (1977).

## Energetics

1. Wind and waves. Major conclusions reached after an analysis of the wind climate of the AHE are that effective wind stress is directionally anisotropic, that the east wind is twice as frequent as the south-west wind and four times as frequent as the north-west wind. Maximum effective fetch distances of about 1800 m are uniformly distributed along the eastern and southern shores of the estuary, and fetch distances increase from north to south along the north-western shore. Width limitations significantly modify the distribution of effective fetch in the Heathcote Basin (Figures 6 to 10).

Because the estuary is shallow, maximum waves have periods of 1.4 s, amplitudes of 30 cm and lengths of 3.5 m. Waves reach this maximum size quickly and relatively close to the start of each fetch, so that downfetch gradients in wave energy are due to increasing wave frequencies. With increasing fetch distances, more low velocity wind events generate waves large enough to interact with the bottom. Because west winds tend to be higher velocity events, downfetch energy gradients are less well developed in areas subject to longfetch westerlies. East winds tend to be lower velocity events, and are more likely to generate downfetch energy gradients.

The application of small amplitude (Airey) wave theory (Part Two) has shown that maximum near bottom orbital velocities under waves in the AHE vary from 10 cm/s in water 1.5 m deep, to 22 cm/s in water . 0.5 m deep. The amplitudes of orbital motions increase from 3 to 5 cm during the translation from 1.5 m deep water to 0.5 m deep water. Since near bottom shear stress is a function of both water depth and bottom roughness, and the presence of ripples significantly increases relative roughness values, there are very large differences between shear

stresses exerted on the bottom in water 1.5 m deep, where roughness may be very fine sand sized, and in water 0.5 m deep, where ripple sized roughness may occur. Shear stress values increase from  $0.5 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$ to 2.0 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> to 17 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> as water in the AHE shoals from 1.5 mto 1.0 m to 0.5 m below HWOST, and the large increase from 1.0 m to 0.5 m (almost an order of magnitude) is particularly important.

A refraction analysis (Figure 13) indicates that wave directions are only significantly altered in water shallower than 1.2 m below HWOST in this estuary (where the bottom is above 9.0 m HAD), and that refraction acts to homogenise wave properties. However, in the north and west, and in the Heathcote Basin, the shoaling transformation reduces wave sizes, and effectively produces wave shadows.

2. Tidal currents. A review of current velocities measured in the AHE indicates that probable maximum values for different water depths range from 5 to more than 100 cm/s. Near bottom shear stresses, calculated from the quadratic stress law with an assumed drag coefficient  $(c_{100})$  of  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ , vary from less than 0.01 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> in water shallower than 0.5 m to more than  $25 \, \text{dynes/cm}^2$  in the deeper intertidal and subtidal channels. Even though these are probably no better than order-of-magnitude estimates, they emphasise the surprising discovery that tidal current shear stress values exceed wave induced shear stresses only on the lowest intertidal flats and in the subtidal channels of this estuary. This is true in spite of the large amounts of kinetic energy contained in the ebbing and flooding tide. The se estimates also emphasise that in this estuary, tidal and wind induced shear stress maxima are mutually exclusive and that the area of lowest combined shear stress occurs at intermediate depths, midway between the high water mark and the subtidal channels (Figure 32).

Current generated bedforms, predominantly lingoid and undulatory straight ripples, are only found on lower intertidal flats, in the subtidal channels, and on the flood delta in the AHE. Here tidal velocities reach maximum values of about 60 cm/s. Larger bedforms megaripples and sand waves - only occur in the inlet area adjacent to the principal subtidal channel, where velocities exceed 80-90 cm/s on both the ebbing and flooding tides (Figure 19).

Threshold criteria indicate that tidal currents which exceed 60 cm/s are capable of entraining very coarse sand and small granules; typical lower intertidal currents of 35 cm/s are capable of entraining

#### very fine sand and silt sized particles.

3. Wind-driven currents. The influence of wind entrainment on large scale, cross-estuary, advection of water and suspended solids, and on tidal current velocities, is an unexplored effect in this estuary. Qualitative observations of wind-driven longshore currents and of flooding due to wind setup indicate that wind forcing almost certainly significantly modifies net circulation patterns, and influences the transport routes of inflowing turbid water (Figure 20). If wind setup significantly deepens water in some areas at high tide, then contemporaneous waves will also be larger, and near bottom shear stresses will increase. It is probable that setups and wind driven surges are more common now than in the past, since the mean depth of the estuary has increased over at least the past 50 years. Maximum wave sizes will also have increased as a result of this deepening, and more stress will be transferred from wind to the water mass during storms. Thus supratidal flooding may be an increasingly common engineering problem in the low-lying areas adjacent to the estuary, some of which have only recently been built on.

## Bathymetry

The AHE can be subdivided into a small number of distinctly different and internally consistent bathyforms (Figure 21). Eastern high tide slopes are usually low angle convex upwards features which demonstrate clear responses to wave energy (Figures 23 and 24). Intertidal channels and offshore mounds associated with the eastern high tide slopes in the south-eastern and central eastern parts of the estuary tend to align themselves normal to the dominant west wind and to migrate down-wind in a shorewards direction (Figure 26). Erosion and deposition of sediment on the eastern slopes tends to conserve their shapes, and contributes to the trend towards a wave-normal alignment of the bathymetry. Areas exposed to longest fetch waves exhibit quasi-stable forms in equilibrium with wave energy, reflecting the pervasive, macrobathymetric influence of waves in this estuary (Figure 30).

Deeper eastern flats are less well organised by waves, and their bathymetry is a compromise between wave and tidal current energy. Western slopes and flats also show an orderly response to wave energy, trending from relatively high angle concave upwards curvilinear profiles in the north, towards low angle concave upwards or nearly straight configurations in the south, as downfetch wave energy (wave frequency) increases. Although it is likely that sediment accumulations on these western slopes are a consequence of the large volumes of effluent released from CDB outfalls, there is no conclusive bathymetric evidence to prove or disprove the possibility (Figures 28 and 29).

Tidal currents, rather than wave energy, control the configurations of the central mounds, larger intertidal channels, and subtidal channels of this estuary.

A comparison of bathymetric data from surveys completed in 1920, 1962, and 1975/77 shows that the intertidal zone of the northern half of the AHE (and probably of the whole estuary) lost up to 1 m of sediment between 1920 and 1962, and that the intertidal flats continued to lose sediment between 1962 and 1975/77, but at slower rates. Since 1962 sediment has accumulated adjacent to the two river entrances, and off the oxidation pond outfalls, but most erosion and deposition was due to slight adjustments in subtidal channel alignments (see Maps 3 and 4, Appendix 1).

#### Surface sediment

The surface sediment analysed in this study was collected from an uppermost layer, which is actively responding to the present energy regime and is thus a sedimentation unit (Appendix 3). Samples were separated into sand and mud (at 0.0625 mm), and sand fraction size analyses were performed with a Woods Hole-type Rapid Sediment Analyser. A brief comparison of sieve and RSA analyses of representative surface sediment samples from the AHE indicates that there were major differences between the results obtained by each method, and it is probable that if sieve analyses had been used exclusively in this project the final conclusions would have been quite different (Figures 79 and 80).

1. Mud. The muddiest sediment in the AHE occurs at the mouths of the Avon and Heathcote Rivers, where areas of 240 000 m<sup>2</sup> and 600 000 m<sup>2</sup> respectively are muddier than 40%, and reach maximum values of almost ' 90% (Figure 33). Trends in sediment muddiness which approximately parallel bathymetric contours, and the absence of mud above the MTL in most areas exposed to relatively long fetch waves, indicate that wave energy exerts the principal control on mud deposition in this estuary. However, close to the two river mouths, mud deposition patterns appear to be a compromise between the rate of supply, the distance from a source, and wave energy. The resulting patterns may be quite coherent, but it has not been possible to evaluate the relative importance of each process.

That the Heathcote depository is 2.5 times larger than the Avon, and 10% muddier, is interpreted to be the result of order of magnitude differences in the rates of supply of sediment, and an indication that the mean muddiness of these depositories is influenced significantly by preferential deposition close to an essentially point source.

The evidence of widespread erosion - when a brief literature survey suggests that estuaries are often almost perfect retainers of sediment - and the presence of coherent patterns of mud deposition in areas undergoing active erosion, are both apparently paradoxical observations. The discovery of a sensible explanation of these features is a major result of the analysis of subsurface sediment in Part Five.

Since much of the mud supplied to the AHE is deposited in areas undergoing net erosion, it is probable that this mud is only in residence for a short time. As a result it is predicted that pollutants adsorbed on to mud particles will tend to have short residence times, and bottom sediment will not be enriched in mud-borne pollutants.

Finally, there is no physical, depositional evidence that the release of effluent into the estuary from CDB oxidation ponds affects the deposition of mud in any way.

2. Sand. A review of the literature on methods of identifying environment-specific sediment textures leads up to the conclusion that bivariate grain size plots are of no value to this study, and that there is little to be gained from this approach. Methods which follow from the work of Moss (1962, 1963) and Visher (1969) are potentially useful analytical tools, and they provide plausible explanations of sand fraction size distributions of surface samples from the AHE.

A cluster analysis was used to sort all surface samples (about 480) into like groups. Six groups were identified, and these were interpreted to be arbitrary subdivisions of a continuous spectrum of grain-size distributions, and not six discrete and natural clusters (Figures 40 and 41). Two basic grain-size distributions were recognised; the first was characterised by sharply curvilinear or bilinear cumulative curves, interpreted to represent two log-normal subpopulations, one deposited from saltation and one from suspension (Figures 37 and 38). The second basic distribution was characterised by straight or slightly curvilinear (rarely bilinear) curves, interpreted to represent a single log-normal population deposited from saltation. Within the first basic group (cluster Groups A to C) the two subpopulations appear to have largely independent properties - the saltation population is uniformly well sorted, whereas both the sorting and mean size of the suspension population varies from Group A to C. Within the second group (Groups D to F) sorting values are consistently excellent, but mean sizes increase from D to F.

Histograms of sample height above datum vs sample frequency for each of the cluster Groups A to E (Figure 42) show that in the series A-B-C samples occur preferentially below the MTL, and only 0.5% from above the HWONT line (about 9.5m HAD). There were no differences in the depth preferences of the three Groups A, B and C. Groups D and E occur preferentially in shallow water, but the HAD histograms of these two groups are bimodal, indicating that a significant percentage of these samples occur on the deepest intertidal flats - adjacent to the throughgoing subtidal channels. These results mean that sediment is only commonly deposited from suspension below the MTL, in water deeper than about 1.2m below HWOST. Analyses presented in Part Two indicate that below the MTL, near bottom shear stresses decrease rapidly with increasing depth. The threshold for the deposition of suspended sediment (and mud) is probably about 2 dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> - below this value, sediment is deposited from suspension; above it, sediment is not.

A map showing the cluster group identity of all surface samples from the AHE (Map 2) indicates that the distribution of sediment deposited from suspension in this estuary is a compromise between processes which supply sediment, and those which influence its deposition and transportation within the estuary. The sequence C-B-A, which occurs with increasing distance from the river entrance in the Heathcote Basin, is interpreted to be a preferential depositional feature. In areas above the MTL (away from the river entrances), the properties of surface sediment are governed by both water depth and fetch distances, so that with increasing effective fetch distances, and thus increasing shear stress frequencies, sediment of Group E occurs in progressively deeper water. The granulometric properties of Group E sediment remain constant downfetch, indicating that sediment granulometrics are independent of shear stress frequency, but dependent on absolute levels of shear stress. Cumulative curve shapes are not process-specific in this estuary, and the available evidence indicates that they are probably shear stress-specific. This result has significant repercussions for the Visher approach to cumulative curve analysis, since it indicates that a fundamental assumption of this approach - that breaks or inflections in log-probability grain size distributions are environmentally diagnostic (Visher, 1969, 1977) - may be invalid. The results of this study indicate that shear stress levels, and the properties of material supplied to a particular environment, are the main determinants of log-probability curve shapes - the processes which exert the stress are not recoverable from the resulting size distributions.

The presence of large areas of active sediment with remarkably uniform properties indicates that much of the active sediment in this estuary is in equilibrium with the physical environment, and that relict material supplied by the continuing net erosion demonstrated in Part One is not a significant modifier of surface sediment properties. A comparison of the cluster group map and maps of net erosion and deposition (Maps 2, 3 and 4) indicates that this is a valid conclusion, since the two patterns are clearly independent.

An analysis of the granulometrics of individual bathyforms indicates that where longshore energy gradients occur (as along the western slopes), longshore sediment gradients also occur. However, shore-normal trends are usually dominant, in response to the dominant shore-normal trends in water depth, wave energy and tidal current energy. Where energy is uniformly distributed longshore (as along the eastern slopes and flats), and where the bathymetry is characterised by large areas with consistent heights above datum (as on the eastern 9.0 m and 9.5 m bathyforms), sediment properties are also uniform, and exhibit an approximately shore-parallel, constant depth zonation.

## Subsurface sediment

Detailed logging of 47 cores of subsurface sediment from the AHE revealed the presence of a stratigraphy consisting of pre-estuarine sand, overlain by a layered estuarine sequence, which in turn is overlain by post-European (anthropogenic) mud. The uppermost 25 cm - 30 cm of most cores consists of intensely bioturbate mottled sediment (which may be considered as a fourth stratigraphic unit) overlain by a thin, active layer. 1. Stratigraphy. Pre-estuarine sand - unit (a) - is uniformly low in mud and uniformly very well sorted, although mean grain size values vary from about 1.9 to 3.2 psi. Unit (a) sediment is interpreted to have been deposited only from saltation. It belongs to the Christchurch Formation (of Suggate, 1958), and represents the top of a 50 m thick, massive sequence of shallow marine sediment deposited during post-glacial transgression of the Canterbury coastline. Contours drawn on top of this unit indicate that the two major throughgoing subtidal channels have probably occupied their present positions since the estuary was first enclosed from the sea (Figure 56).

The estuarine sequence - unit (b) - consists of plane-laminated sand, muddy sand and sandy mud (rarely mud), and exhibits both fining upwards and coarsening upwards sedimentation sequences. A cluster analysis of all unit (b) sand samples (with the same program previously used to cluster surface sediment samples) produced four cluster groups (Figure 57). Representative cumulative curves and summary bivariate plots (Figures 58 and 59) indicate that as with surface sediment, unit (b) sand fractions are separated by the clustering operation into groups of convenience, and that there is a continuous spectrum of log-normal distributions, between end members characterised by straight curves, and by sharply curvilinear or bilinear curves. A fifth sample group (b') consists of a laterally equivalent, shallow water version of b1 to b4.

Massive olive grey plastic (buttery) mud of unit (c) overlies unit (b) sediment in many cores, and was probably deposited as a blanket throughout the estuary. Mud of this unit is interpreted to be post-European in origin, and to represent material supplied to the estuary during the early urbanisation phase of the growth of Christchurch City. Thus the lower contact of this unit may be dated at about 1850 (when settlement by European migrants first began), and the upper contact (where preserved) at about 1900. A mean accumulation of 50 cm of unit (c) sediment throughout the estuary would have been sufficient to cause the 30% decrease in the tidal compartment that probably occurred over the period 1850-1900.

2. Near-surface bioturbate sediment. A variety of infaunal and epifaunal animals in the AHE modify surface and near-surface sediment. In particular the mudflat snail Amphibola crenata browses across the sediment surface, converting the most recently deposited

material into readily transported fecal aggregates, and effectively speeding the removal of fine sediment from the estuary. The bivalve Macomona liliana alters the physical properties of surface and subsurface sediment by burrowing to depths of about 25 cm, then ingesting surface sediment and egesting it to form mounds on the surface. Burrowing crabs excavate sediment from depths of up to 15 cm below the sediment surface, dumping it as mounds adjacent to their burrows. Polychaete worms may be present in high densities, and clearly alter the surface roughness and compactness of the bottom of the estuary, exerting an influence which although virtually unevaluated, must be of major significance. Burrows, tubes, and excavation and defecation mounds and hollows of the sort illustrated in Figures 65 and 66 create a biogenic microbathymetry (Heezen and Hollister, 1971; Myers, 1977) which may significantly alter near bottom shear stress values, and assist in the removal of recently deposited (and biodeposited, or reworked), friable sediment.

The bioturbate zone which results from the net effects of all this biological activity is probably turned over to a depth of 10-25 cm on a time scale of tens of days to tens of months. A thin skin of active sediment covers the upper surface of this bioturbate zone, and sediment below this active layer is muddler than the active layer in shallow water (above the MTL), but less muddy than the active layer in deeper water. While active layer muddiness is related to HAD, the muddiness of the upper 5 cm of bioturbate sediment is not. There are no systematic relationships between active layer sand properties and sand properties of samples from the bioturbate zone beneath, although the summary granulometrics of both sample types are broadly dependent on These results indicate that if the present project had been based HAD. on a different sampling method - perhaps 5 cm deep cores, or samples of more casual dimensions, the information detailed in Part Four would not have been obtained.

Recognition of the role of burrowing animals in the long- and short-term dynamics of this estuary has provided answers to several apparently paradoxical observations. Animals which excavate or defecate subsurface material on to the sediment surface assist in net erosion, and the removal of fine suspendible sediment, and generate patterns of net erosion and deposition which are unrelated to variations in wave or tide energy, and which are independent of present day rates of sediment supply. Thus there may be coherent sediment muddiness

patterns, due to the <u>deposition</u> of mud on the sediment surface, in areas which are experiencing a net removal of sediment.

3. Predictions. It is probable that a net loss of sediment is occurring in this estuary because fine sediment is being exported seawards at a greater rate than it is being renewed. Thus, as long as muddy sediment is available to be mined by burrowers, a net loss will continue, the tidal compartment will continue to increase, erosion of the edges of the estuary will continue, and shore protection costs may rise, particularly where the estuary margins consist of erodible salt marsh or swamp deposits, or dune sand. Eventually a layer of uniformly clean sand will blanket much of the estuary - as is now the case in several areas, for example see cores 23, 25, 37 and 39. This layer of clean sand will act as a buffer between the ancient muddy sediment that remains, and the modern environment.

When the reservoir of biologically available virgin mud is worked out to a uniform depth - when all unit (b) and (c) sediment is buffered by a clean sandy surface layer - mud from external sources, probably reflecting a more polluted industrial source, may begin to predominate in the flux of fine sediment through the AHE. There is likely to be a steep increase in the near future, perhaps in the next 10-15 years. All remaining ancient, pre-industrial mud may be buffered by a sand layer The biological implications of this change in fine within 25 years. sediment sources may be far-reaching. Filter feeders and surface detritus-feeding animals which extract much of their food from finer particles, may decline in numbers as the reservoir of mud is mined out, and as suspended sediment concentrations decrease. Parallel adjustments to the remainder of the benthic community may result in significant long-term changes in the biological character of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary.

#### REFERENCES

- Allen, J.R.L., 1968. Current Ripples. North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam. 432 pp.
- Allen, J.R.L., and P.F. Friend, 1976. Changes in intertidal dunes during two spring-neap intertidal cycles, Lifeboat Station Bank, Wells-Next-the-Sea, Norfolk (England). Sedimentology, 23: 329-346.
- Apfel, E.T., 1938. Phase sampling of sediments. J. Sedim. petrol., 8: 67-68.
- Amaral, E.J., and W.A. Pryor, 1977. Depositional environment of the St. Peter sandstone deduced by textural analysis. J. Sedim. petrol., 47(1): 32-52.
- Bagnold, R.A., 1966. An approach to the sediment transport problem from general physics. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 422-I: 37 pp.
- Bascomb, C.L., 1968. A new apparatus for recording particle size distribution. J. Sedim. petrol., 38: 878-884.
- Biggs, L.R., 1947. The formation, control and utilization of the coastal sand dunes between the Waimakariri River and the Sumner Estuary. Unpub. M.A. thesis in Geog., Univ. of Canterbury.
- Biscaye, P.E., 1964. Distinction between kaolinite and chlorite in Recent sediments by X-ray diffraction. Am. Miner., 49: 1281-1289.
- Biscaye, P.E., 1965. Mineralogy and sedimentation of Recent deep-sea clay in the Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas and oceans. Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., 76: 803-832.
- Blake, G.J., 1964. Coastal progradation in Pegasus Bay. Unpub. M.Sc. thesis in Geog., Univ. of Canterbury.
- Bokuniewicz, H.J., R.B. Gordon, and C.C. Pilbeam, 1975. Stress on the bottom of an estuary. Nature, 257: 575-577.
- Boggs, S., and C.A. Jones, 1976. Seasonal reversal of flood-tide dominant sediment transport in a small Oregon estuary. Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., 87: 419-426.

- Boothroyd, J.C., and D.K. Hubbard, 1974. Bedform development and distribution pattern, Parker and Essex Estuaries, Massachusetts. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., U.S.A.
- Bretschneider, C.L., 1958. Revisions in wave forecasting; deep and shallow water. Proc. 6th Conf. Coastal Engineering; Amer. Soc. Civil Engineers, Council on wave research.
- Brown, L.J., 1975. Water well data. Sheet 576/7-8, Belfast-Styx. N.Z. Geol. Surv., Report 72.
- Brown, L.J., <u>in press</u>. Water well data. Sheet 584/1-2, Christchurch-Sumner. N.Z. Geol. Surv. Report.
- Bruce, A., 1953. Report on a biological and chemical investigation of the waters in the Estuary of the Avon and Heathcote Rivers, Christchurch Drainage Board Report, Christchurch, New Zealand. 58 pp.
- Bruun, P., and F. Gerritson, 1960. Stability of coastal inlets. North Holland, Amsterdam. 123 pp.
- Byrne, R.J., and J.D. Boon, 1973. An inexpensive, fast response current-speed indicator. Chesapeake Science, 14(3): 217-219.
- Carter, L., and R.A. Heath, 1975. Role of mean circulation, tides and waves in the transport of bottom sediment on the New Zealand Continental Shelf. N.Z. J1 Mar. Freshwater Res., 9: 423-448.
- Carver, R.E., 1971. Procedures in Sedimentary Petrology. Wiley-Interscience, New York. 653 pp.
- Caston, V.N.D., 1976. A wind-driven near-bottom current in the Southern North Sea. Est. Coastal Mar. Sci., 4: 23-32.
- Channon, R.D., 1971. The Bristol Fall Column for coarse sediment grading. J. Sedim. petrol., 41: 867-870.
- Channon, R.D., and D. Hamilton, 1976. Wave and tidal current sorting of shelf sediments southwest of England. Sedimentology, 23: 17-42.
- Clark, M.W., 1976. Some methods for statistical analysis of multimodal distributions and their application to grain-size data. Mathematical Geology, 8(3): 267-282.

- Coastal Research Group, 1969. Coastal environments, N.E. Mass. and New Hampshire, Field trip, Eastern Section Society of Econ. Paleont. and Min., Univ. of Mass.
- Cochran, W.G., F. Mosteller, and J.W. Tukey, 1954. Principles of sampling. Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 49: 13-25.

Cokelet, E.D., 1977. Breaking waves. Nature, 267: 769-774.

- Consultants to the Christchurch Drainage Board, 1973. Report on Christchurch Drainage Investigation by Royds Sutherland and McLeay, Powell Fenwick and Partners, Consulting Engineers. Unpub. report held by the CDB, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Cronin, L.E. (Ed.), 1975. Estuarine research, Volume II, Geology and Engineering. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 590 pp.
- Davis, J.C., 1973. Statistics and data analysis in geology. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 550 pp.
- Detwyler, T.R., 1971. Man's impact on environment. McGraw Hill, New York. 731 pp.
- Doeglas, D.J., 1946. Interpretation of results of mechanical analyses. J. Sedim. petrol., 16: 19-40.
- Drake, D.E., 1976. Suspended sediment transport and mud deposition on Continental Shelves. *In:* Stanley, D.J., and D.J.P. Swift (Eds), Marine sediment transport and environmental management. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 593 pp.
- Dyer, K.R., 1973. Estuaries: A physical introduction. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 140 pp.
- Edzwald, J.K., and C.R. O'Melia, 1975. Clay distributions in Recent estuarine sediments. Clays and Clay Mins., 23: 39-44.
- Emery, K.O. 1938. Rapid method of mechanical analysis of sands. J. Sedim. petrol., 8: 105-111.
- Erlich, R., 1964. The role of the homogeneous unit in sampling plans for sediments. J. Sedim. petrol., 34: 437-439.
- Estcourt, I.N., 1962. An ecological survey of the burrowing polychaetes of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Unpub. M.Sc. thesis in Zool., Univ. of Canterbury.

- Felix, D.W., 1969. An inexpensive recording settling tube for analysis of sands. J. Sedim. petrol., 39: 777-780.
- Folk, R.L., 1955. Student operator error in determination of roundness, sphericity and grain size. J. Sedim. petrol., 25: 297-301.
- Folk, R.L., 1968. Petrology of sedimentary rocks. Hemphills, Austin, Texas. 159 pp.
- Folk, R.L., and W.C. Ward, 1957. Brazos River Bar: A study in the significance of grain-size parameters. J. Sedim. petrol., 27: 3-26.
- Friedman, C.M., 1961. Distinctions between dune beach, and river sands
  from their textural characteristics. J. Sedim. petrol., 31:
  514-529.
- Furkert, F.W., 1947. Tidal compartments, their influence on dimensions of harbour entrance channels. N.Z. Inst. Eng. Proc., 33: 195-211.
- Galehouse, J.S., 1971. Sedimentation analysis. In: Carver, 1971. pp. 69-94.
- Goldberg, E.D., 1976. Pollution history of estuarine sediments. Oceanus, 19(5): 18-26.
- Goldberg, E.D., E. Gamble, J.J. Griffin, and Minoru Koide, 1977. Pollution history of Narragansett Bay as recorded in its sediments. Est. Coast. Mar. Sci., 5: 549-561.
- Goreau, T.J., 1977. Quantitative effects of sediment mixing on stratigraphy and biogeochemistry: a signal theory approach. Nature, 265: 525-526.
- Gibbs, R.J., 1977. Clay mineral segregation in the marine environment. J. Sedim. petrol., 47(1): 237-243.
- Gibbs, R.J., M.D. Mathews, and D.A. Link, 1971. The relationship between sphere size and settling velocity. J. Sedim. petrol., 41: 7-18.
- Graf, W.L., 1977. The rate law in fluvial geomorpholgy. Am. J. Sci., 277: 178-191.
- Griffin, G.M., 1971. Interpretation of X-ray diffraction data. In: Carver, 1971. pp. 541-570.
- Griffiths, J.C., 1967. Scientific method in analysis of sediments. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York. 508 pp.
- Grim, R.E., 1968. Clay Mineralogy, 2nd Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York. 596 pp.
- Guy, H.P., D.B. Simons, and E.V. Richardson, 1966. Summary of alluvial channel data from flame experiments 1956-1961. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 462-J. 96 pp.
- Guy, H.P., and D.E. Jones, 1972. Urban sedimentation In perspective. J1. Hydraulics Div., Proc. Amer. Soc. Civil Eng., 98 (HY12): 2099-2116.
- Hails, J.R., and J.H. Hoyt, 1969. The significance and limitations of statistical parameters for distinguishing ancient and modern sedimentary environments of the lower Georgia coastal plain. J. Sedim. petrol., 39: 559-580.
- Harrison, S.P.A., 1976. Holocene stratigraphy of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Undergraduate project report, Department of Geology, University of Canterbury, 52 pp.
- Haven, D.S., and R. Morales-Alamo, 1966. Aspects of biodeposition by oysters and other invertebrate filter-feedings. Limnol. Oceanogr., 11: 487-498.
- Haven, D.S., and R. Morales-Alamo, 1972. Biodeposition as a factor in sedimentation of fine suspended solids in estuaries. *In:*B.W. Nelson (Ed.), Environmental framework of coastal plain estuaries. Geol. Soc. Am. Mem., 133. pp. 121-130.
- Hayes, F.R., 1964. The mud-water interface. Oceanogr. mar. biol. Ann. Rev., 2: 121-145.
- Hayes, M.O., 1975. Morphology of sand accumulation in estuaries: An introduction to the symposium. In: Gronin, L.E. (Ed.), Estuarine research, Volume II, Geology and Engineering. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 590 pp.
- Heath, R.A., 1975. Stability of some New Zealand coastal inlets. N.Z. Jl Mar. Freshwater Res., 9: 449-456.
- Heezen, B.C., and C. Hollister, 1964. Deep-sea current evidence from abyssal sediments. Marine Geol., 1: 141-174.

- Heinemann, H.G. and R.F. Piest, 1973. Soil erosion-sediment yield. Research in progress. EOS: 149-159.
- Hellstrom, B., 1970. Wind effect on lakes and rivers. Esselte AB, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Hercus, A.I., 1948. A city built on a swamp The story of the drainage of Christchurch, 1850-1903. Unpub. M.A. thesis in history, Univ. of Canterbury, Christchurch.
- Hertweck, G., 1970. Das watt. Waldemar kramer, Frankfurt. 110 pp.
- Howard, J.D. and R.W. Frey, 1975. Estuaries of the Georgia coast, U.S.A.: Sedimentology and Biology: I. Introduction. Senckenbergiana marit., 7: 1-31.
- Hutchinson, A.H., 1972. Resource management in the estuarine environment. A case study, the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, Christchurch. Unpub. M.Sc. thesis in geog., Univ. of Canterbury.
- Hydraulics Research Station, Wallingford, England, 1970. Christchurch, New Zealand. Model studies of flood alleviation. Report EX509.
- Inman, D.I., 1949. Sorting of sediments in the light of fluid mechanics. J. Sedim. petrol., 19: 51-70.
- Inman, D.L., 1957. Wave generated ripples in nearshore sands. Beach Erosion Board Tech. Memo., 100, 42 pp.
- Ippen, A.T. (Ed.), 1966. Estuary and coastline hydrodynamics. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York. 744 pp.
- Johns, W.D., R.E. Grim, and W.F. Bradley, 1954. Quantitative estimates of clay minerals by diffraction methods. J. Sedim. petrol., 24: 242-251.
- Jones, M.B., 1976. Limiting factors in the distribution of intertidal crabs in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, Christchurch. N.Z. J1 Mar. Freshwater Res., 10(4): 577-587.
- Jopling, A.S., 1964. Interpreting the concept of the sedimentation unit. J. Sedim. petrol., 34(1): 165-172.
- Kjerfve, B., 1975. Tide and fair-weather effects in a bar-built Louisiana estuary. In: Cronin, L.E. (Ed.), Estuarine research, Volume II, Geology and Engineering. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 590 pp.

- Klein, G.D.V., 1970. Depositional and dispersal dynamics of intertidal sand bars. J. Sedim. petrol., 40: 1095-1127.
- Knox, G.A., and A.R. Kilner, 1973. The ecology of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Unpub. report to the CDB. 358 pp.
- Komar, P.D., 1974. Oscillatory ripple marks and the evaluation of ancient wave conditions and environments. J. Sedim. petrol., 44: 169-180.
- Komar, P.D., and M.C. Miller, 1975. On the comparison of the threshold of sediment motion under waves and unidirectional currents, with a discussion of the practical evaluation of the threshold. J. Sedim. petrol., 45: 362-36.
- Komar, P.D., 1976a. Boundary layer flow water steady unidirectional currents. In: Stanley, D.J., and D.J.P. Swift (Eds.), Marine sediment transport and environmental management. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 593 pp.
- Komar, P.D. 1976b. The transport of cohesionless sediments on continental shelves. In: Stanley, D.J., and D.J.P. Swift (Eds.), Marine sediment transport and environmental management. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 593 pp.
- Kranck, K., 1975. Sediment depositon from flocculated suspensions. Sedimentology, 22: 111-123.
- Krumbein, W.C., 1934. Size-frequency distributions of sediments. J. Sedim. petrol., 4: 65-77.
- Lee, L.P., 1953, Mean sea level and mean high water mark. N.Z. Survey Draughtsmen's Jl., 1: 325-343.
- Legget, R.F., 1973. Cities and Geology. McGraw Hill, New York, 624 pp.
- Leopold, L.B., 1968. Hydrology for urban land planning A guidebook on the hydrologic effects of urban landuse. U.S. Geol. Surv. Circular 554. 18 pp.
- Lindh, G., 1972. Urbanisation: A hydrological headache. Ambio, 1(6): 185-201.

Linzey, J.T., 1944. A short study of the hydrography of the Avon and Heathcote Rivers. Trans. Proc. Roy. Soc. N.Z., 73: 365-376.

- Ludwick, J.C., 1975. Tidal currents, sediment transport and sand banks in Chesapeake Bay entrance, Virginia. *In:* Hayes, M.O. (Ed.), Second International Estuarine Conf. Proc. Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
- Lynch-Blosse, M.A., and N. Kumar, 1976. Evolution of downdrift-offset tidal effects: a model based on the Brigantine inlet system of New Jersey. Jl. Geol., 84: 165-178.
- Macpherson, J.M., 1976. Aspects of the environmental impact of Christchurch City. N.Z. Geol. Soc. Newsletter, 16: 18-20.

Macpherson, J.M., and D.W. Lewis, in prep. What are you sampling?

- Madsen, O.S., 1976. Wave climate of the continental margin: elements of its mathematical description. In: Stanley, D.J., and D.J.P. Swift (Eds.), Marine sediment transport and environmental management. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 593 pp.
- Martens, L.A., 1966. Flood inundation and effects of urbanisation in metropolitan Charlotte (North Carolina). U.S. Geol. Surv. open file rept., 54 pp.
- Mason, C.C., and R.L. Folk, 1958. Differentiation of beach, dune, and eolian flat environments by size analysis, Mustant Island, Texas. J. Sedim. petrol., 28: 211-226.
- Mawson, A.H., 1972. Notes on the hydrology of the Avon and Heathcote Estuary. Unpub. paper presented to an Ecology Action seminar at the Univ. of Canterbury, 4 Aug. 1972.
- McCave, I.N., 1969. Deposition of fine-grained sediment from tidal currents. Nature 224: 1288-1289.
- Meade, R.H., 1972. Transport and deposition of sediment in estuaries. In: Nelson, B.W. (Ed.), Environmental framework of coastal plain estuaries. Geol. Soc. America Memoir 133.
- Meza, M.P., and C.R. Paola, 1977. Evidence for onshore deposition of Pleistocene Continental Shelf clays. Mar. Geol., 23: M27-M36.
- Miller, M.C., I.N. McCave, and P.D. Komar, 1977. Threshold of sediment motion under unidirectional currents. Sedimentology, 24: 507-527.

Millward, W.A.M., 1975. Physical characteristics of an estuarine environment. M.A. thesis, Dept. of Geog., Univ. of Canterbury.

- Middleton, C.V., 1967. Experiments on density and turbidity currents, 3. The deposition of sediment. Canadian Jour. Earth Sci., 4: 475-505.
- Middleton, G.V., 1976. Hydraulic interpretation of sand size distributions. Journal of Geol., 84: 405-426.
- Moiola, R.J., and D. Weiser, 1968. Textural parameters: An evaluation. J. Sedim. petrol., 38(1): 45-53.
- Moss, A.J., 1962. The physical nature of common sandy and pebbly deposits (Part 1). Am. J. Sci., 260: 337-373.
- Moss, A.J., 1963. The physical nature of common sandy and pebbly deposits (Part 2). Am. J. Sci., 261: 297-343.
- Moss, A.J., 1972. Bedload sediments. Sedimentology, 18: 159-219.
- Munk, W.H., 1955. Stress on water: A hypothesis. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 81: 320-332.
- Myers, A.C., 1977. Sediment processing in a marine subtidal sandy bottom community: 1. Physical aspects. J. Mar. Res., 35(3): 609-632.
- Nelson, B.W., (Ed.), 1972. Environmental framework of coastal plain estuaries. Geol. Soc. America, Memoir 133.
- Nelson, T.A., 1976. An automated rapid sediment analyses (ARSA). Sedimentology, 23: 867-872.
- Norrman, J.O., 1964. Lake Vattern. Investigations on shore and bottom morphology. Geog. Annaler XLVI. 238 pp.
- Officer, C.B., 1976a. Physical oceanography of estuaries. Oceanus, 19(3): 3-9.
- Officer, C.B., 1976b. Physical oceanography of estuaries (and associated coastal waters). John Wiley and Sons, New York. 465 pp.
- Otto, G.H., 1938. The sedimentation unit and its use in field sampling. J. Geol., 46: 569-582.
- Passega, R., 1957. Textural characteristics of clastic deposition. Bull. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geologists, 41: 1952-1984.

Passega, R., 1964. Grain-size representation by CM patterns as a geological tool. J. Sedim. petrol., 34: 830-847.

- Passega, R., and R. Byramjee, 1969. Grain-size image of clastic deposits. Sedimentology, 13: 233-252.
- Pearce, B.R., 1950. Recent changes in the Sumner Foreshore and Estuary. Unpub. M.A. thesis in geog., Univ. of Canterbury, New Zealand.
- Poole, D.M., 1957. Size analysis of sand by a sedimentation technique. J. Sedim. petrol., 27: 460-468.
- Postma, H., 1967. Sediment transport and sedimentation in the estuarine environment. In: Lauf, G.H. (Ed.), Estuaries, Publ. No. 83: 158-179. Amer. Assoc. Adv. Sci., Washington, D.C.
- Pritchard, D.W., 1956. The dynamic structure of a coastal plain estuary. J. Mar. Research, 15: 33-42.
- Pritchard, D.W. 1967. Observations of circulation in coastal plain estuaries. *In:* Lauff, G.H. (Ed.), Estuaries, Publ. No. 83: 37-44. Amer. Assoc. Adv. Sci., Washington, D.C.
- Reed, J.J., 1951. Marine sediments near Sumner, Canterbury, New Zealand. N.Z. Jl. Sci. Tech., Section B, 33(2): 129-137.
- Reed, W.E., R. LeFever, and G.J. Moir, 1975. Depositional environment interpretation from settling-velocity (Psi) distributions. Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., 86: 1321-1328.
- Reinson, G.E. 1977. Tide-current control of submarine morphology at the mouth of submarine morphology at the mouth of the Miramichi Estuary, New Brunswick. Can. J. Earth Sci., 14: 2524-2532.
- Reineck, H.E., and I.B. Singh, 1975. Depositional sedimentary environments. Springer Verlag, Berlin. 439 pp.
- Resio, D.T., R. Dolan, B.P. Hayden, and C.L. Vincent, 1977. Systematic variations in offshore bathymetry. Journ. Geol., 85: 105-113.
- Rhoads, D.C., 1963. Rates of sediment reworking by *Yoldia limatula* in Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts, and Long Island Sound. J. Sedim. petrol., 33: 723-727.
- Rhoads, D.C., 1967. Biogenic reworking of intertidal and subtidal sediments in Barnstable Harbour and Buzzards Bay, Mass. J. Geol., 75: 461-476.

Rizzini, A., 1968. Sedimentological representation of grain sizes. Mem. Soc. Geol. Italia, 7: 65-89.

- Roberts, W.P., and J.W. Pierce, 1974. Sediment yield in the Patuxent River (Md.) undergoing urbanisatin, 1968-1969. Sediment. Geol., 12: 179-197.
- Rogers, J.J.W., 1965. Reproduceability and significance of measurements of sedimentary size distributions. J. Sedim. petrol., 35: 722-732.
- Rosin, P.S., 1975. Origin and processes of cuspate spit shorelines. *In:* Cronin, L.E. (Ed.), Estuarine research, Volume II, Geology and Engineering. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 590 pp.
- Sagoe, K-M.O., and G.S. Visher, 1977. Population breaks in grain-size distributions of sand - a theoretical model. J. Sedim. petrol. 47(1): 285-310.
- Sahu, B.K., 1964. Depositional mechanisms from the size analysis of clastic sediments. J. Sedim. petrol., 34: 73-83.
- Sandford, R.B., and D.J.P. Swift, 1971. Comparison of sieving and settling techniques for size analysis, using a Benthos rapid sediment analyzer. Sedimentology, 17: 257-264.
- Savani, I. and J.C. Kamerer, 1961. Urban growth and the water regimen. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply paper 1591A.
- Scheidegger, K.F., and J.B. Phipps, 1976. Dispersal patterns of sand in Gray's Harbour Estuary, Washington, J. Sedim. petrol., 46(1): 163-166.
- Schink, D.R., and N.L. Guinassa Jr., 1977. Effects of bioturbation on sediment - seawater interaction. Marine Geology, 23: 133-154.
- Schlee, J., 1966. A modified Woods Hole rapid sediment analyzer. J. Sedim. petrol., 36: 403-413.
- Schubel, J.R., 1971. Estuarine circulation and sedimentation. In: Schubel, J.R. (Ed.), The Estuarine Environment: Estuaries and Estuarine Sedimentation. Am. Geol. Inst. Short Course Lecture Notes. Am. Geol. Inst., Washington, D.C. pp.VI-117.

- Scott, E.F., 1963. Christchurch data. Notes and comments on the Christchurch drainage and sewerage systems. Unpublished report held by the CDB, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Scott, W.H., 1955. Sea erosion and coast protection at Summer. N.Z. Engineer 10: 438-447.
- Sengupta, S., and H.J. Veenstra, 1968. On sieving and settling techniques for sand analysis. Sedimentology, 11: 83-98.
- Siemers, C.T., 1976. Sedimentology of the rocktown channel sandstone, upper part of the Dakota Formation (Cretaceous), Central Kansas. J. Sedim. petrol., 46(1): 97-123.
- Simons, D.B., E.V. Richardson, and M.L. Albertson, 1961. Flume studies using medium sand (0.45mm). U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Paper 1498-A. 76 pp.
- Spenser, D.W. 1963. The interpretation of grain-size distribution curves of elastic sediments. J. Sedim. petrol., 33: 180-190.
- Sternberg, R.W., 1968. Friction factos in tidal channels with differing bed roughness. Mar. Geol., 6: 243-261.
- Sternberg, R.W., 1972. Predicting initial motion and bedload transport of sediment particles in the shallow marine environment. In: Swift, D.J.P., D.B. Duane, and O.H. Piley (Eds.), Shelf sediment rransport. Dowden Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsberg, Pa., U.S.A. pp. 61-82.
- Stewart, H.B., Jr., 1958. Sedimentary reflections of depositional environments in San Miguel Lagoon, Baja California, Mexico. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull., 42: 2567-2618.
- Suggate, R.P., 1958. Late Quaternary deposits of the Christchurch metropolitan area. N.Z. Jl. Geol. Geophys., 1(1): 103-122.
- Suggate, R.P. 1968. Post-glacial sea level rise in the Christchurch metropolitan area, New Zealand. Geol. en Mijnbouw, 47(4): 291-297.
- Sutherland, A.J., 1977. Wave generation and forecasting. Notes for a seminar on Coastal Engineering, Univ. of Canterbury.
- Swanson, K., in press. The ostracod fauna of the Otago Shelf, New Zealand. N.Z. Oceanographic Inst. Memoir.

- Swift, J.P., and J.C. Ludwick, 1976. Substrate response to hydraulic process: Grain-size frequency distributions and bedforms. *In:* Stanley, D.J., and D.J.P. Swift (Eds.), Marine sediment transport and environmental management. Wiley-Interscience. New York. pp. 159-196.
- Sverdrup, H.U., and W.H. Munk, 1947. Wind, sea and swell: Theory of relations for forecasting. Publication No. 601, U.S. Navy Hydrographic Office, Washington, D.C.
- Tanner, W.F. 1959. Sample components obtained by the method of differences. J. Sedim. petrol., 29: 408-411.
- Tanner, W.F. 1967. Ripple mark indices and their use. Sedimentology, 9: 89-104.
- Thompson, E.F., 1929. An introduction to the natural history of the Heathcote Estuary and Brighton Beach, Canterbury, New Zealand. A study in littoral ecology. Unpub. M.Sc. thesis in biol., Canterbury University College, Christchurch.
- Udden, J.A., 1914. Mechanical composition of clastic sediments. Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., 25: 655-744.
- U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Centre, 1973. Shore protection manual. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, 1962. Waves on inland reservoirs. TM-132, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, D.C.
- Visher, G.S., 1969. Grain size distribution and depositional processes. J. Sedim. petrol., 39: 1074-1106.
- Walton, T.L., Jr., 1977. A relationship between inlet cross-section and outer bar storage. Shore and Beach, April 1977: 9-13.
- Webb, B.F., 1967. A study in the biology of the fish population of the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Unpub. M.Sc. thesis in Zool., University of Canterbury, Christchurch.
- Wigram, Sir H.F., 1916. The story of Christchurch, New Zealand. Lyttelton Times, Christchurch. 269 pp.
- Wilson, D.D., <u>in press</u>. Hydrogeology of Metropolitan Christchurch. Jl. Hydrol. N.Z.

Wilson, W.S., 1966. A method for calculating and plotting surface wave rays. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Tech. Memo. No. 17, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Washington, D.C.

Wright, F.F., 1974. Estuarine Oceanography. AGI/McGraw-Hill.

Zeigler, J.M., G.G. Whitney, and C.R. Hayes, 1960. Woods Hole rapid sediment analyzer. J. Sedim. petrol., 30: 490-495.

#### APPENDIX 1

# Historical estimates of the tidal compartment of the AHE

1. Predicted volumes. The entrance of the AHE has been surveyed a number of times since 1848 (see Scott, 1955, for a comprehensive list), and these surveys provide a method of estimating the tidal compartment. The method relies on work by Heath (1975) and earlier observations by Furkert (1947), who have shown that there is a linear relationship (here called the Furkert-Heath relationship) between the cross-sectional area of the entrance to a tidal inlet, and the tidal compartment of the inlet - in other words, that the amount of water flowing through an inlet regulates its size. By making the assumptions explained in Figure 79, it had been possible to estimate inlet cross-sectional areas from charts made in 1854, 1874 and 1904, and then to predict the corresponding tidal compartments from the Furkert-Heath relationship. The results are listed in Figure 79, and they indicate that in 1854 the tidal compartment of this estuary was probably about  $7.7 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>; by 1874 it had decreased to  $5.1 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>, and by 1904 it had increased again, to about  $6 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>.

# 2. Direct estimates.

(i) A value of  $4.85 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> for 1911 (from Little, 1911, <u>in</u> Mawson, 1962) is based on a direct estimate of the volume of water leaving the estuary on an ordinary tide, and is of doubtful reliability, mainly because Little apparently reported a figure of  $9.5 \times 10^6$  tons of water ( $9.652 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>) as a daily outflow. Daily may mean for one tidal cycle (about 12.5 h) or two tidal cycles (25 h), and so the appropriate figure for the tidal compartment may be 9.652 or  $4.826 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>. Since the latter is closer to independent estimates for 1904 and 1920 (Figure 79), it is accepted as most likely to be correct. Other workers (Bruce, 1953; Mawson, 1962) have chosen the higher value.

(ii) Another doubtful direct estimate was made by Bruce in 1953, who used figures for depth, width and current speed at the inlet (presumably at or near Shag Rock - Figure 79), to calculate the amount of water leaving the estuary during one tidal cycle (otherwise unspecified). Bruce arrived at a figure of  $360 \times 10^6$  cubic feet  $(10.19 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3)$ .

Source and date of data	Maximum depth at Shag Rock <sub>1</sub>	Midtide width at Shag Rock <sub>2</sub>	Adjusted area 3	Tidal compartment			
HMS Pandora 1854	9.14m	91.4m	460m <sup>2</sup>	7.74 (x $10^{6}m^{3}$ )			
Whately Elliot 1874	5.98m	70.lm	305m <sup>2</sup>	5.14			
McIntyre-Lewis 1904	5,98m	118.87m	378m <sup>2</sup>	6.39 <sub>4</sub>			
Joshua Little 1911		र हे आह. बाह	844 MD and 902	4.8265			
LHB/CDB Survey 1920	8.84m	100.58m	489m <sup>2</sup>	8.184			
**	aas her ar ild:	, .	min-acc acc (PA)	8.785			
Bruce 1953	ignaaq eenigik		4703 4609 ADD 4609	10.195			
Royds and Sutherland	8.53m	118.87m	557m <sup>2</sup>	9.154			
1964 As abo <b>ve</b>	m3 130-139-140	tow mining with	400 ass ptp-ang	10.805			
CDB 1975	y dazantun-anglentilik	60°-64-68-66	, 100 स्ट्रा स्ट्रा स्ट्रा स्ट्रा	10.9285			

1. Shag Rock is used because it is easily located on old charts, and because the principal subtidal channel has flowed adjacent to Shag Rock continuously since 1854 - hence data for midtide width and maximum depth can be extracted from old charts with most confidence from this locality.

2. Width across the principal subtidal channel, from midtide mark to midtide mark.

3. Depth soundings plotted on many old charts are not located accurately enough to allow the construction of detailed cross-sections, so an estimate of crosssectional area is made by assuming a rectangular shape, such that midtide width multiplied by maximum depth equals cross-sectional area. However the survey by Royds and Sutherland (1964) did include a detailed section at Shag Rock, and the cross-sectional area calculated from that section is 55% less than the equivalent rectangular section. By assuming this relationship has held during the period 1854-1975, adjusted areas can be calculated, for the the the the during the period

4. Tidal compartment predicted from the Furkert-Heath relationship (below).

5. Tidal compartment calculated from various indirect measurements, including detailed surveying of the bathymetry of the estuary (Royds and Sutherland 1964, Christchurch Drainage Board 1975-76) and measurements of velocities and cross-sectional areas at Shag Rock (Bruce 1953, Little, 1911).



Figure 79. Cross-sectional area of the tidal entrance at mid tide and the tidal compartment at ordinary spring tide, Avon-Heathcote Estuary, 1854 - 1975.

Since she did not specify her methods, this volume has an unknown reliability.

(iii) The three most reliable estimates in Figure 79 are based on a survey carried out in 1962 by consultants to the CDB. This survey was part of a comprehensive data gathering exercise (the Wallingford Report, 1970) set up to provide prototype data for a flood protection study of the AHE by the British Ministry of Transport Hydraulics Research Station. The consultants (Royds and Sutherland, now Royds Sutherland Evans and McLeay) established a series of fixed, permanent stations around the perimeter of the estuary, defining the end points of east-west surveyed lines which formed the basis of a 200 × 20 link grid covering the whole estuary.

Data collected from this survey was used by CDB engineers to estimate the volume of the tidal compartment of the estuary; and the resultant figure of  $10.80 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> (Mawson, 1972, p. 2) for the volume of water leaving the estuary during an ordinary spring tide is accepted as a reliable value for the 1962 tidal compartment. The consultants data may also be used to check the Furkert-Heath predictions for earlier tidal compartments, since a cross-section surveyed across the principal subtidal channel from Shag Rock to the Spit gives a 1962 cross-sectional area of 557 m<sup>2</sup>, or a predicted tidal compartment of  $9.15 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>. Compared to the calculated figure of  $10.80 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> there is a difference of  $1.51 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>, which indicates the magnitude of error likely to be contained in the values listed in Figure 79.

The consultants' survey design has been retained in a subsequent resurvey of the estuary by CDB surveyors (frequently assisted by the writer) which attempted to exactly reoccupy the 1962 grid. Data from this 1975/77 resurvey is used here to produce a bathymetric map of the estuary (Map 1), on which east-west lines 2 to 16 are the original 1962 lines reoccupied by the CDB team.

In 1920 the Lyttelton Harbour Board (LHB) and the CDB completed a bathymetric survey of the estuary, and although this work was concentrated around the inlet, some reasonably detailed data for the northern half of the estuary was also collected (supplied to the writer by J.A. Robb, CDB). This survey was done by boat at high tide, with levels measured by sounding and corrected to a tide curve. The results are less accurate and less precise than those of the 1962 and 1975/77 surveys (J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). The LHB crews collected their data along irregular lines at low angles to the Royds and Sutherland grid, and direct comparisons of the two data sets are not possible. However an indirect comparison has been attempted (by D. Carver, CDB), by projecting 1920 stations on to the nearest 1962 survey line, for the area north of line 10, Map 1.

By comparing levels obtained in 1920 and 1975/77 with the 1962 data it is possible to calculate tidal compartments for 1920 and 1975/77, and together with Mawson's value for 1962, there are the three most reliable estimates in Figure 79.

The technique used here of estimating temporal changes in a tidal compartment by comparing sets of bathymetric data rests on four assumptions.

(i) The principal assumption is that surveyed lines represent a 100 m strip either side of each line, where lines are 200 m apart. This assumption is untested. However, north of line 12 (Map 1) bathymetric contours run more or less north-south (at right angles to the survey lines) and the profiles change little from line to line; here this assumption appears to be reasonable. In the south, lines 13-16 run subparallel to the Heathcote channel, and slight changes in the position of this channel (or slight surveying errors) may be exaggerated where profiles cross it at low angles. The  $40 \text{ m}^2$  system adopted by the writer (see below) allows this exaggeration to be compensated for, and the assumption is probably reasonably well satisfied here also.

(ii) It is assumed that sea level remains constant during the period of the analysis. Small rises or falls in relative mean sea level cause significant changes in the tidal compartment of a shallow estuary, and are of course independent of changes due to net erosion or deposition of sediment. There are few published data on the long-term stability of sea level in the Canterbury area; Lee (1953) has shown a run of mean annual levels from Lyttelton, spanning 23 years (from 1913 to 1936) which indicate that no significant change occurred over that period. Heath (1976) has published results based on a similar run of tide levels, and reached the same conclusions. Thus this assumption appears to be quite reasonable.

(iii) It is assumed also that there are no net changes in non-tidal flows into the estuary over the period considered. Changes in non-tidal inflows are equivalent to sea level changes, as they may alter the net water budget of the estuary, without altering its configuration. Although some data on non-tidal flows into the estuary are available, no attempts have been made to estimate long-term net changes (Scott, 1963; Mawson, 1972). An assessment of the contribution that changes in non-tidal flows may have made to the post-European budget of the AHE is provided by historic data on inflows from the CDB sewage treatment plant (from Scott, 1963; Knox and Kilner, 1973). In 1929,  $8 \times 10^6$ gallons/day of treated effluent was discharged (about 0.3% of the tidal compartment, then about  $8.8 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>), by 1975 this had increased to  $30 \times 10^6$  gallons/day (or about 0.8% of the 1975 tidal compartment). Changes of this magnitude are probably not significant, and this third assumption appears to be reasonable.

(iv) Finally it is also assumed that no errors in surveying enter the calculations. The possibility that benchmarks may have moved between the 1962 and 1975/77 surveys, introducing widespread constant errors, appears to be slight (J.A. Robb, pers. comm., 1977).

Methods used to calculate volumetric changes. Data from 3. the three most reliable surveys were plotted with large vertical exaggeration, the resulting bathymetric profiles (Appendix 2) were subdivided into 40 m sections. The vertical differences between the 1920, 1962 and 1975/77 profiles were measured at the midpoint of each 40 m section. Volumetric changes were calculated by multiplying these vertical differences by  $40^2$  - the resulting values effectively represent net changes in a series of 40 m<sup>2</sup> cells along each profile. To calculate net losses and gains for the whole estuary, the proportions of cells showing positive, negative or no change were calculated for each survey line, and a strip 100 m wide on either side of each line was subdivided into similar proportions of positive, negative and no change cells, by interpolating blocks of similar cells from line to line (Maps 3 and 4). The values for each 200 m strip were then summed to arrive at the final figures shown in Maps 3 and 4.

4. Results. If the four assumptions are valid, the volumetric changes shown in Maps 3 and 4 are equivalent to changes in the tidal compartment of the AHE. The total figure shown in Map 3, subtracted from the 1962 compartment (Mawson, 1972) gives a 1920 volume of  $8.78 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>. This represents a mean annual rate of increase of  $7 \times 10^4$  m<sup>3</sup> for the 42 year period 1920-62. There is a difference of  $0.6 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> between this value and the predicted value for 1920



∕⊐



 $\simeq$ 

(Figure 79), which is approximately equivalent to the mean annual change for the 42 year period.

Similarly, addition of the net result of the calculations summarised in Map 4 to Mawson's 1962 volume gives a 1975/77 value of  $10.93 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a mean annual increase in the tidal compartment from 1962 to 1977 of about  $9 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup>. For the 55 year period 1920-1975/77, the mean annual increase in the tidal compartment of the AHE was  $18.5 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup>, or 0.34%.

CDB engineers have calculated a net loss of  $1.08 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> for the area between lines 2 and 10 (Map 3) for the period 1920-1962 (J.A. Robb, pers. comm.). This is about 6% more than the writer's calculation of  $1.01 \times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup>. The CDB method was based on a computer technique which integrated the vertical differences between the 1920 and 1962 surveys, and calculated a net change on the unqualified assumption that each line was representative of the 100 m strip either side of it.

Although the CDB method is more precise than the writer's, it is likely to be less accurate, since it fails to account for the exaggerations caused when survey lines cross steep-sided subtidal channels at low angles (see profiles 14 and 15 in Appendix 2). Appendix 2. Bathymorphic profiles surveyed in 1920, 1962, and 1975/77. Annotations show profile numbers (2 to 15), profile origins (W) and profile ends (E). Arrows show profiles completed on a following page ( $\Longrightarrow$ ), or continued from previous page ( $\implies$ ). Crosses are at 9.0m HAD, and are spaced 100m apart. Vertical scale is indicated along the right margin, in metres HAD.















#### APPENDIX 3

### Surface and subsurface sediment analyses. Methods and procedures.

1. Sampling design. A problem often experienced in exploratory field studies is the difficulty of estimating an optimum sampling design - one which yields maximum information for minimum effort. Since trends in sediment properties in the AHE were originally unknown, an extensive and dense sample collection was attempted.

A separate problem involves the statistical validity of sampling plans. In this study no attempt has been made to randomise the sampling design, for two reasons:

(i) The number of random samples needed to adequately represent all bathymetric subenvironments would have been prohibitively large, and the sampling design would have been unwieldy.

(ii) The CDB survey network was partly completed, and marked at convenient intervals with semi-permanent markers, before sampling began. The benefits of using these fixed sites, which could be resampled or revisited for other purposes, outweighed the theoretical benefits of a random design (Cockran and others, 1954). Unwitting bias was eliminated as much as possible by sampling only at peg locations, or at multiples of 20 m between pegs.

2. Sampling methods. If substrate properties are to be used as environmental monitors, then sample collection techniques are critically important. That no amount of analytical effort will extract meaningful results from meaningless samples is a truism apparently ignored by many recent workers (Macpherson and Lewis, in prep., 1978).

Early observations indicated that the upper few centimetres of sediment on the intertidal flats of the AHE was highly variable sometimes massive, sometimes stratified - and almost always inhabited by a variety of benthic animals. Recognition of widespread erosion also lead to the possibility that surface sediment properties might be inherited from older sediment, and not be related to modern processes. Methods which ignored the potentially complex and polygenetic nature of this sediment would be unlikely to provide environmentally meaningful information - worse, they might be seriously misleading. The sampling problem was tackled by assuming that there was an uppermost layer of sediment, perhaps a few grain diameters to a few centimetres thick, which was available to be modified by contemporary processes, and which owed its characteristics to those processes - in other words, that there might be an uppermost layer with the properties of a sedimentation unit (Otto, 1938; Jopling, 1964). This assumption is examined and justified in Part Five.

This uppermost layer, appropriately termed the active layer was sampled with a soft flexible spatula (various plastic kitchen tools are suitable) by carefully scraping off the soft, unconsolidated surface sediment at each site. This method was used consistently throughout. Usually a sample of about  $10 \times 10$  cm  $\times$  1-2 mm was collected, and stored in a plastic bag until analysed in the laboratory.

Samples stored in plastic bags were usually analysed within 48 hours of arriving in the laboratory. About 30 g - 50 g of each sample was wet sieved through +0.5 phi (0.7 mm) and -4.0 phi (0.0625 mm) screens, and the fraction coarser than +0.5 phi (living animals, whole shells, coarse shelly and other organic debris) was discarded.

All material passing through the 4.0 phi sieve was collected, made up to LL, stirred vigorously, and a 20 mL aliquot was taken immediately and pipetted into a preweighed 50 mL beaker. After oven drying and cooling to room temperature, this weight of material (× 50) was accepted as the weight of mud in the original sample. Included in this figure was any salt or other dissolved solids in the sample, as well as organic material, dissolved organic material, and so on.

The sand fraction (all the material caught on the 4.0 phi sieve) was washed into preweighed 200 mL beakers, oven dried at 35-45<sup>o</sup>C, allowed to cool to room temperature and weighed. Sand and mud weights were combined to produce a total sediment weight, and per cent mud was calculated.

The dry sand was thoroughly mixed, and about 2 g extracted with a small spoon and poured into a screw-top plastic container, to make up a subsample with a weight of  $2.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ g}$ . This subsample was used for subsequent RSA analysis.

The RSA used for this project has a perspex settling tube with a fall distance of 1m, and an internal diameter of 12.7 cm (5 inches).

Recorder output consisted of a cumulative differential pressure vs time curve, traced on to squared paper as the sand grains settled past a transducer port, 1m below the top of the tube.

From the instrument curve, elapsed times corresponding to the 95th, 84th, 75th, 50th, 25th, 16th and 5th percentiles were read off (the input percentiles for Folk and Ward graphic statistics), recalculated as settling velocities, and converted to sedimentation diameters using the data of Gibbs and others (1971).

Finally the percentile data were coded on to punched cards, and graphic statistics were calculated by the University of Canterbury Burroughs B6700 computer.

3. Sand vs Mud. The widely held belief that the best sediment samples are those collected from single, homogeneous sedimentation units is well supported by theoretical and experimental evidence (Otto, 1938; Apfel, 1938; Griffiths, 1962; Erlich, 1964; Jopling, 1964). Samples which amalgamate more than one such unit may contain material deposited under different physical conditions, and yield results not amenable to sensible interpretation. Yet it is still apparently common to assume that useful data can be obtained from sediment size analyses which amalgamate results of separate mud and sand size analyses - in spite of the common awareness that sand and mud are transported and deposited in different ways, and according to different physical laws.

The principal reason for considering the sand and mud fractions of a sediment as separate sedimentation units is the common observation that fine particles are almost always aggregated into larger, composite particles in nature (Kranck, 1975; Drake, 1975). Aggregate grains do not fulfil the requirements of Stokes' Law - the theoretical basis of most laboratory procedures for the size analysis of fine sediment because they are frequently not rigid, smooth, spherical, or inelastic (Galehouse, 1971). On deposition individual aggregates will probably lose their identity; when sampled and subjected to normal laboratory procedures they almost certainly will (Kranck, 1975). And finally - as Folk (1968) has pointed out - measurements of size distributions of fine sediment may only be an indication of the efficiency of a particular dispersal technique.

In the present project 'size' analyses of surface mud were not attempted - only the total amount of mud (as defined above) was routinely determined.

4. Sand fraction analyses by sedimentation. Two methods are available for the size analyses of sandy sediment; sieving, and

sedimentation. Sieving separates grains according to their least cross-sectional area (Galehouse, 1971), however the behaviour of sand grains in water is a function of their volume, density, roundness and spericity. Sedimentation analyses are the only sizing techniques which take all four of these factors into account. Considering the intentions of the present study, a sedimentation method was chosen, and a Woods Hole-type Rapid Sediment Analyser (RSA) manufactured by Pelagic Instruments Inc., and owned by the Department of Geography, University of Canterbury, was used for all routine size analyses.

The particular advantages of an RSA as an analytical tool are that it is rapid, seldom requiring more than 10 minutes/sample, it is precise and accurate - replicates exhibit high reproduceability; and it provides a continuous record of size distributions, allowing fine subdivisions. Disadvantages include difficulties associated with converting settling velocities to equivalent diameters, comparing settling and sieved analyses, the under-representation of the tails of size distributions by sedimentation methods, and technical problems involving the introduction of samples into the water column. For examples see Emery (1938), Doeglas (1946), Poole (1957), Zeigler and others (1960), Schlee (1966), Bascomb (1968), Felix (1969), Nelson (1976) and Channon (19 ). Emery (1938), Schlee (1966), Sengupta and Veenstra (1968), Sandford and Swift (1971) and Reed and others (1975) have compared sieving and settling techniques using a variety of settling devices. Reed and others in particular found systematic and sometimes spectacular differences in grain size distributions derived from the two different methods. They attributed these to "...subtle variations in sorting with grain size, the result of an interplay between mineralogic composition and size ... ".

In the present study a small number of samples were both sieved and analysed with the RSA, and representative cumulative curves are shown in Figure 80. Here it can be seen that the two methods do give quite different results. In Figure 81, equivalent properties are plotted against one another, and the results mean that sieving will indicate sediment from the AHE is finer, better sorted if well sorted, poorer sorted if poorly sorted, more negatively skewed, and more leptokurtic than RSA analysis would indicate. Notice also that it is at the fine end of the curves (near 95%) that most differences occur.

There are three approaches to the problem of converting settling velocities to equivalent diameters. The first is to establish an





O

RSA

O

Figure 80. Comparison of cumulative probability curves of four representative sand fractions of samples from the Avon-Heathcote Estuary. Large circles are 0.25phi data points from sieve analyses, small circles are 0.1psi data points from RSA analyses.



Figure 81. Scatter plots of sieve vs RSA size analyses.

.

÷.,

.

apparatus-specific relationship by calibrating an instrument against particles of known sizes (Gibbs and others, 1971; Nelson, 1976).

The second approach is to convert measured fall velocities to equivalent diameters using standard relationships. Gibbs and others (1971) have published a standard settling velocity equation, derived from exhaustive experimental work, and tables of data from Gibbs and others were used in the present project to construct a standard calibration curve relating fall velocity to sedimentation diameter. Sedimentation diameter is defined as the diameter of a glass sphere having the same settling velocity as a natural particle. The standard curve used in the present project is filed with other supplementary material in the Department of Geology library.

A third approach is simply to express results as fall velocities. This idea is intuitively attractive, but suffers from the major disadvantage that geologists are accustomed to describing sediments in terms of grain size, not cm/second.

5. Reproducibility and reliability. The reproduceability of the analytical method for sediment muddiness was checked by taking  $24 \times 20$  ml aliquots from a 1L cylinder and treating each as a normal subsample. For cont mud values were calculated, giving a mean of 21.13% and a standard deviation of 0.16%. This indicates that differences greater than 0.64% mud (± 2 standard deviations) have a probability of at least 95% of not being due to experimental error.

Although other workers have claimed that settling tubes are capable of giving results of reasonably high reliability, for example Schlee and others (1965), a simple reproduceability test was completed to ensure that the instrument used in the present study was behaving adequately. The sand fraction of sample 539 was split into  $31 \times 2.0 \pm 0.1$  g subsamples, and these were run in sequence through the RSA. Figure 82 shows means and standard deviations calculated for percentile input data, and graphic statistics calculated from analyses of each of the 31 subsamples. The variability in the data of Figure 82 is an indication of the amount of experimental error likely to be introduced in the laboratory in the present study. Within the input data, extreme values (psi 5 and psi 95) have highest variability (largest standard deviations); within the graphic statistics sorting is least variable, kurtosis is most variable.

			0.77	0.18	0.91	1.93	2,06	2,12	2.29	2.51	2,63	2.80
539 1 4	1.01	2,33	0.19	0.18	ñ. 91	1.87	2.01	2.07	2.24	2.46	2.59	2.74
539 2 1	1.71	2.20	0,20			1.94	1 01	3 10	3 20	7.50	2.62	2.01
539 J R	1.71	2,31	0.29	0,12	0.37	1	1 01	2 11	3 77	3 60	7 61	2.80
539 h S	1,79	2,30	0,28	0,18	0.94	1.21						3 70
539 5 T	1,81	2.27	0,23	0,07	0.96	1.95	2,04	2.11	2,27	4.44	2.31	2.70
539 6	1.69	2,27	0,26	0,19	1.06	1,86	2,03	2.09	2,23	2,43	2.55	2.75
539 7 C	1.71	2.25	0.28	0.15	1.08	1.82	1.99	2,06	2,72	2.41	2.54	2.74
539 8 0	1.69	2.29	0.28	0,16	1,00	1.87	2,03	Z.09	2.26	2.46	2.59	2.77
539 9 L	1.70	2,24	0,25	0,09	1.00	1.84	2,00	2,06	2.22	2.40	2,49	2.67
539 100	1.67	2.28	0.26	0.07	0.97	1.87	2,03	2,10	2,27	2.46	2,55	2.72
532 11H	1.76	2.27	0,25	0.05	1.02	1,87	2,03	2,10	2,26	2,43	2.52	2.69
539 124	1.67	2.24	0.28	0,08	1.11	1,82	1.97	2.06	2,23	2,40	2,52	2.74
539 13	1.66	2,24	0.23	0,18	0.95	1,86	1.97	2.03	2,21	2,41	2.54	2.74
539 140	1,66	2,22	0,28	0.09	1.07	1.77	1.94	2.03	2.20	2.39	2.51	2.71
539 155	1.72	2.25	0,28	0.17	0.95	1,86	1.97	2.05	2,22	2.43	2.56	2.74
539 167	1.57	2.23	0.29	0,16	1.10	1.77	1,96	2.04	2,19	z,40	2,53	2.74
\$39.17	1.57	2.21	0,27	0, 14	1.12	1.77	1,96	2.04	2,18	2.38	2,49	2.70
519 180	1.68	2.28	0.28	0,12	0,93	1,85	2.01	2,07	2,26	2,43	2,58	2,78
¢19 140	1.66	2.23	0.27	0.10	1.04	1.92	1,98	2.05	2.22	2,42	2,49	2.76
634 200	1.77	2.76	0.27	0.17	1.03	1.87	2.00	2.07	2,23	2,42	2,55	2.75
100 11	1 72	2 28	0.28	0.19	1.04	1.87	2.01	2.09	2.24	2.44	2.59	2.76
539 21	1.72	6 x / 0	0,20	0,00	1 10	. 79	1 04	2.07	2.25	7.46	2.58	2.85
539 22	1,63	2,20	0,52	· 0.00	0.00	1 01	1 00	2 01	3 76	7.40	2.55	2.71
539 23	1.59	2,26	0.70	0.09	0,75	1 . 01	2.00	1	3 76	3.16	2 5 6	2. 21
539 24	1+55	2,26	0.27	0.09	1.00	1.01	2,00	2,07	* * * *		A 1.5	
539 25	1.70	2.23	0,2)	-0,01	1.11	1,35	2,09	2.07	2.23	2,33	2.12	2.01
539 26	1.52	2,21	0,31	9,13	1.04	1./1	1.92	2.01	2.18	2.41	1,55	2.70
533 27	1.72	2.27	0,26	0.07	1,08	1,87	2,01	2,10	2,26	2.42	2,55	2.71
533 28	1.60	2.25	0.29	0,08	1.09	1,78	1.97	2,06	2,23	2,42	2,54	2,74
539 29	1.66	2,25	0,27	0,17	1.08	1,84	5,00	2,06	2,22	2,41	2.53	2,76
539 30	1.61	2,22	P.26	0,13	1,08	1,80	1,90	2,05	2.19	2,35	2.48	2,67
\$19 11	1.77	2.24	0.78	0.21	0.99	1.85	1.99	2.05	2,21	2.44	2,53	2.79
	1J	¥-*			•							
	رہ سر	ie. D	4	5	5 <u>6</u> -	50	13	6	3 10	11	20	13
	ц.	Ę	an	ew	rt .	•سو	. <b>بو</b>	μ.	μ,	μ.	و السو	, <del>, ,</del> ,
		a	<u>6</u> ,	D P	0 20	J	5	N VI	S	3	84	95
		E.	H.	10	14		10	4	5	-	**	4
		ie.		<b>1</b>	14	×	×	ĸ	ĸ	ы	×ı	ĸ
		fe	0	×	×	8	11	Ħ	11	11	H	H
		Ĩ.	ri:	11	14	Ч.	10	N	N	N	20	N
		RI	E.	0	Ч	ŝ	ò	9	N	-	ပ်ာ	1
		N	Lo 1	ц.	ò	4		-	<b>.</b>	÷	*	*
		N	°,	ů,	3	ø	75	<b>f</b> Ø	10	10	ø	12
		Ň	×	· vo	ŝ	11	11	Ħ	11	Ħ	1	н
		0h	n	н	. 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
		d,	0	0	0	ò	ò	ò	•	• 0	•	ò
		<i>μ</i> .	Ň	•	•	ហ	ŝ	ω	ω	4	4	ហ
		10	-1	ş	5							
		-54/ M	De De									
		и Э	ŗ,									
		•										
		<u>с</u> .	60 P									
		ы	11 11									
		е <b>1</b> .	2									
		ě.	<u>,</u> 22									
			~3									
			ě,									

.

These results mean that differences in mean diameter greater than 0.12 psi (± 2 s) have a probability of at least 95% of not being due to experimental error. For sorting the figure is 0.08 psi, for skewness it is 0.20, and for kurtosis 0.24. These results are within the limits accepted as reasonable in experimental analyses of sediment (Folk, 1955; Rogers, 1965).

It is also instructive to examine the nature of variability at the sample site. Since exact replication of samples is not possible, the next best option is to collect a number of closely spaced samples, and test them for variability. This was done at the east end of line 9 (Map 1), where 25 samples were collected, beginning at a peg of 9.70 m HAD and progressing west. Samples were  $1 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ cm} \times 1\text{-}2 \text{ mm}$  in size, so that a 25 m strip of the estuary bottom was continuously sampled (samples 688-712). Results are shown in Figures 83 and 84.

Figure 84 is a stack of histograms, where percentiles at 0.1 psi intervals are plotted from sample midpoint to midpoint. For example the top line in Figure 84 shows the percentage value of the 1.8 psi percentile from each analysis. A surprising result is the uniformity of the extreme values in the 25 samples, and the variability of midrange values. From sample to sample the coarse tail in particular is remarkably uniform (about 1-2% of sediment is consistently coarser than 1.8 psi). Compare this to the amount of material coarser than 2.40 psi, which varies from about 40% to about 70%. Slight variations in skewness (displacement of the mode from the mean) produce this pattern, and the effect is exaggerated because these samples are so well sorted - there is a particularly close grouping around central values.

Figure 84 also reveals that there may be two separate sand populations present in the 25 m run, represented by samples 688-700 vs 701-713. This possibility provided an opportunity to test the levels of significance established above. Mean values and standard deviations for each sample group are shown in Figure 84B, where histograms of sample graphic statistics also indicate the possible presence of the two sand populations.

The difference between the two mean diameters is 0.07 psi, and from above, this is clearly not significant. Similarly for sorting, the difference is 0.04 psi, and this is not significant. Notice that the variations in graphic values in Figure 84 are less than the variations in Figure 82. In other words the variation along this 25 m run was less than the variation expected from experimental error, and a



Figure 83. Replication exercise. Sequential variations in mean diameter, graphic standard deviation (sorting), skewness and kurtosis of 31 subsamples of sample 539, run in the sequence 1-31. Data from Figure 33.

Figure 84. Replication exercise. Results of analyses of 25 COnsecutive surface sediment samples from the eastern end of line 9. lm×5cm

688	2.51	0,25	0.19	0.99	2,13	2.27	2,33	2.48	2.69	2.78	3.0
639	2.40	0.26	0.17	0.96	2,02.	2.15	2.22	2.37	2.58	2.61	2.8
690	z.39	0.29	0.04	1.23	1.85	2.13	2.22	2.37	2.56	2.66	2.3
691	2,40	0.24	0.13	1.04	2.02	2.18	2.24	2.38	2.56	2.65	2.8
692	2.37	0.27	0.07	1.13	1.89	2,13	2.20	2.35	2.54	2.63	2.8
693	2.41	0.26	0.15	1.12	1.93	2.20	2.22	2.37	2.56	2.64	2.8
694	2.42	0.25	0.10	1.08	2.01	2.19	2,26	3,40	2.35	2.67	2.a
595	2.39	0.24	C.22	1.12	2,02	2.18	2,24	2.35	3.54	2.63	2.8
696	2.40	0.27	0.24	1.17	1.98	2.16	2.22	2.35	2.55	2,68	2.9
697	2.39	0,29	0,16	1.10	1.95	2.13	2.21	2.26	2.57	2,68	2.9
699	2.42	0.29	0.14	0,90	1.96	2.15	2.23	2.39	2.63	2,73	2.9
699	2.36	0.28	0,18	1,18	1.91	2.12	2.18	2.33	2.53	2.64	2.9
700	2.42	0.31	0.15	1.15	1.93	2.14	2.22	2.39	2,60	2.73	3.0
701	2.32	0.22	0.15	1.05	1.96	2.12	2.17	2.29	2.46	2.54	2,7
702	2,29	0.26	0.16	1.01	1.92	2.05	2.10	2.27	2.45	2.55	7.7
703 .	2.34	0.24	0.09	1.14	1.92	2.12	2.18	7.32	2.49	2,57	2.7
704	2.35	0.25	0_00	1.08	1.91	2,12	2.18	2.35	2.51	2.59	2.7
705 .	2.32	0.24	0,22	1.07	1.94	2.12	2.17	2.28	2.48	2.57	2,7
706	2.37	0.23	0.13	1.09	2.02	2.15	2,22	2.35	2.51	2,60	2.7
707	2.37	0,23	0.06	1.02	2.02	2,15	2.23	2.37	2.54	2.60	2.7
708	2.38	0.21	0,21	1.07	2.06	2.19	2.24	2.35	2.52	2,60	2.7
709	2.33	0.23	0.06	1,08	1.95	2.11	2.19	2.32	2.49	2.56	2.7
710	2,36	0.23	0.11	0_93	1.99	7.14	2.20	2.34	2.53	2.61	2.7
711	2.26	0.22	0.03	1.01	1.88	2.05	2.11	2.25	2.41	2.48	2.6
712	2.40	0,25	0,02	0,95	1.99	2.15	2,23	2.39	2.57	2.66	2.7
10	***	**	20	_	ч	σ	J	r,	<b>~</b> 5	ช.	~7
ំងរ	in in its in the initial sector	st o	27	ίu)	<u>ب</u>	61	φ.	я <b>.</b>	ອ ມີ	р. Ц	ŧ,
1 g	'n	inc	a wr	15	J.	بر	N	G	~1	œ	Ś
e	d	<b>ງ</b> ຂັງ	39ľ	28		5	S	.0	vi	-	U.
n	2	rd	ã	ຜ							
Ling	ae.	d									
be	e	Ŷ	,								
ч	5	<b>1</b> 9									•
		4 1									
		on									



Graphic statistics and input data


Figure 85. Replication exercise. Sequential variation in granulometric properties of 25 consecutive 1m x 5cm surface sediment samples. Data from Figure 35. A - Sequential changes in cumulative perecentages of O.lpsi intervals, from 1.8psi to 3.3psi. B - Sequential changes in graphic statistics. normal 10 cm  $\times$  10 cm sample from anywhere along the run would have adequately represented it.











## CORES 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. Heathcote Basin - Line 12.

Each of these cores can be separated into three zones: 1. An upper, massive, shelly, sometimes muddy zone, from 2 to 3mm to about 5cm thick.

about 5cm thick. 2. Below this upper layer was up to 15cm of dark grey and black mottled malodorous sediment, usually quite muddy and with a finer fine sand or very fine sand sized sand fraction. The contact between this mottled sediment and the overlying material may be gradational (as in cores 2, 4 5 and 8), or quite sharp (cores 6, 7 and 9). The lower contact in all cases was quite abrupt. 3. A lowermost layered sequence - subsurface unit (b) sediment - of layered and massive sand and muddy sand, described in detail below:

CORE 2; 15cm-22cm, grey weakly laminated muddy very fine sand. 22cm-23cm, also at 25cm and 27cm, strongly laminated muddy very fine sand, seperated by 2cm-thick units of weakly laminated muddy very fine sand. 29cm-31cm, friable clean dark grey fine sand.

CORE 4; 15cm-22cm, weakly laminated muddy very fine sand. 22cm-23cm, strongly laminated very fine sand. 23cm-29cm, friable massive dark grey very fine sand. 29cm-33cm, very muddy strongly laminated very fine sand.

CORE 5; 16cm-20cm, weakly laminated muddy very fine sand. 20cm-22cm, clean friable fine sand, fining downwards. 22cm-25cm, strongly laminated muddy very fine sand. 25cm-25.5cm, massive muddy very fine sand. 25.5cm-27.5cm, less muddy massive very fine sand. 27.5cm-28.5cm, friable clean dark grey fine sand.

CORE 6; 12cm-18cm, weakly mottled and massive finer fine sand. 18cm-21.5cm, laminated very fine sand, grading from moderately laminated at the top to strongly laminated at the base. 21.5cm-26cm, friable clean dark grey fine sand.

CORE 7; 18cm-21cm, strongly laminated very muddy finer fine sand. 21cm-25cm, weakly laminated muddy very fine sand. 25cm-26cm, strongly laminated muddy very fine sand.

CORE 0; 14cm-16cm, muddy massive fine sand. 16cm-17cm, grey strongly laminated muddy very fine sand. 17cm-19cm, friable slightly muddy finer fine sand. 19cm-28cm, massive grey muddy very fine sand.

CORE 9; llcm-27cm, massive and weakly mottled, sparsely shelly finer fine sand, tending to fine downwards.

## CORE 10

5

Intermixed olive grey muddy very fine sand and dark grey and grey black muddy fine sand. Streaky vertical texture. 0cm-6cm Mottled black and grey black muddy finer fine sand, with chalky fragile shell fragments and <u>in situ</u> articulated shells of <u>Cyclomactra</u> Grey black slightly mottled cohesive slightly plastic muddy 6cm-15cm 15cm-23cm finer fine sand. 23cm-30cm Grey black friable finer fine sand. Grey black friable fine sand, coarser than above, with 2mm-3mm thick cohesive mud layers at 33cm, 38cm, 39.5cm and 40cm. 30cm-48cm



CORE 12 сш 723 10 724 20 725 30 40 Ó 10 20 30 40 50 60 2.8 2.4 2.0 2.2 2.6 3.0 3.2 50 0-2 0.3 0.4 Ą CORE 12

Similar to core 11, sandier at the top, otherwise monotonous, malodorous olive grey mud.

70

3.4

0.5

CORE 11

75cm of sticky plastic medium dark grey and olive grey mud. Slight fining upwards trend (from 3.18 to 3.10 psi mean grain size over the interval sampled) and slight trend in colour, from dark grey at the base, to olive grey near the top.



Sand fraction sorting

.



CORE 16

0-8cm Sandy mud and muddy very fine sand mottled sediment.

8cm-30cm Olive grey and medium dark grey plastic massive monotonous malodorous mud. Sandy layers at 30cm and 32cm, sharp lower contact.

30cm-40cm+ Mottled muddy finer fine sand and very fine sand.



, i.,

0-25cm Medium dark grey and olive grey plastic malodorous mud. Weakly lamin-ated at the top, grading down into sandy mud and weakly laminated muddy sand.

25cm-45cm Dark grey weakly laminated very fine sand and fine sand. Mottled in part.











2.5cm-24cm Grey and black bioturbate sand and muddy sand; layer of coarse shell debris at 9cm; grading down through a bored contact zone to structureless, textureless, monotonous, malodorous, plastic olive-grey mud.

Predominantly friable grey sand. Becomes muddier towards the base, with muddy zones at 24cm-29cm (20.29%), 39cm-49cm (27.82%) and particularly at 83cm-84cm (no sample) where plastic grey mud overlies a thick (1.5cm) brown organic hash layer.



All massive grey friable sand. Slightly darker at 5cm-15cm, otherwise quite monotonous throughout.

## 209



Sand fraction sorting 02





• • • •



Com-15cm Mobiled dark grey and black muddy very fine sand and finer fine sand.

15cm-19cm Lassive clean grey friable finer fine sand. 19cm-23cm Lassive clean slightly coarser fine sand.

23cm-25cm Leakly laminated slightly muddy very fine sand.

25cm-25cm Massive clean grey finer fine sand as above.

29cm-30cm Regularly laminated muddy very fine sand.

30cm-36cm Lascive sand as above.

36cm-40cm Clean grey friable fine sand with 2%-5% millimetre-size very fine sandy mud blebs.

40cm-Lassive monotorous clean sand as above.

CURE 33

0cm-2cm

Friable grey fine sand. 2cm-llcm Lassive black and weakly mottled black and grey-black slightly muddy fine sand.

llcm-23cm Grey mud and muddy very fine sand mottled with dark grey and black fine sand.

23cm-34cm Leakly laminated muddy very fine sand and very fine sand, grading upwards into massive medium grey muddy very fine sand. 34cm-37cm Clean to slightly muddy coarser fine sand, with sparse

millimetre-size mud blebs.

Weakly laminated slightly muddy finer fine sand and very 37 cmfine sand.

A and 34B Both cores consist predominantly of dark grey massive, clean, friable coarser fine sand. In both there is a darker grey and grey-black mottled zone from 2cm to about 15cm. In 34A an abrupt, irregular contact at locm may be an erosional contact between two generations of mottled sediment. At l6cm in 34A there is abundant contorted slabs, 2cm to 3cm thick, of olive grey plastic mud, in a matrix of clean friable sand. Below this, to depths of 25cm-30cm, the sand contains blebs of millimetre-size grey plastic mud. At 25cm in 34B there is a 2mm-3mm thick light grey muddy very fine sandy layer. CORES 34A and 34B





69cm-76cm Nassive dark grey friable finer fine sand. 76cm-85.5cm Olive grey sandy mud gradingup into laminated slightly muddy very fine sand.

85.5cm-140cm Massive medium-dark grey, clean friable fine sand.



.















0-5cm Grey friable massive coarser fine sand.

5cm-35cm Hedium-dark grey and black sparsely mottled friable coarser fine sand, grading from 20cm downwards into black massive coarser fine sand, and fining downwards to an abrupt lower contact.

35cm-48cm Medium grey and dark grey muddy finer fine sand. Abundant disarticulated shells, and weak sparse laminations at the top. Abrupt lower contact. 48cm-56cm Light olive grey massive muddy very fine sand.

56cm-105cm Massive clean medium grey friable fine sand, with laminated very fine sand and very fine sandy mud at 59cm-61cm, 62cm-65cm, 88cm-91cm.





ي التي

