



New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research

ISSN: 0028-8330 (Print) 1175-8805 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnzm20

Use of the introduced bivalve, Musculista senhousia, by generalist parasites of native New Zealand bivalves

Aroha Miller , Graeme J. Inglis & Robert Poulin

To cite this article: Aroha Miller , Graeme J. Inglis & Robert Poulin (2008) Use of the introduced bivalve, Musculista senhousia, by generalist parasites of native New Zealand bivalves, New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 42:2, 143-151, DOI: 10.1080/00288330809509944

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00288330809509944



Published online: 19 Feb 2010.

C	
L	
ι.	21
~	

Submit your article to this journal 🕝

Article views: 116



View related articles 🗹



Citing articles: 7 View citing articles 🖸

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=tnzm20

Use of the introduced bivalve, *Musculista senhousia*, by generalist parasites of native New Zealand bivalves

AROHA MILLER Bio-Protection and Ecology Division Lincoln University P.O. Box 84 Lincoln 7647, New Zealand email: millera4@lincoln.ac.nz

GRAEME J. INGLIS
National Centre for Aquatic Biodiversity and Biosecurity
National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Limited
P.O. Box 8602
Christchurch, New Zealand

ROBERT POULIN Department of Zoology University of Otago P.O. Box 56 Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract Introduced species are often thought to do well because of an escape from natural enemies. However, once established, they can acquire a modest assemblage of enemies, including parasites, in their new range. Here we quantified prevalence and effects of infection with copepods (family Myicolidae) and pea crabs (Pinnotheres novaezelandiae), in three mussel species, the non-native Musculista senhousia, and two native mussels, Perna canaliculus and Xenostrobus pulex, at Bucklands Beach, Auckland, New Zealand. Copepod prevalence was highest in X. pulex (17.9%), whereas pea crab prevalence was highest in P. canaliculus (33.6%). Both parasites infected M. senhousia, but at a much lower prevalence. Dry tissue weight was significantly lower in P. canaliculus infected with pea crabs. In addition, we experimentally investigated host species selection by pea crabs. In an experimental apparatus, pea crabs showed a significant attraction to *P. canaliculus*, but not so for *X. pulex* or *M. senhousia*. When the mussels were presented in combination, pea crabs showed a weak attraction for *X. pulex*. Pea crab attraction to *M. senhousia* was not significant. It appears that the introduced *M. senhousia* largely escapes the detrimental effects of infection with either parasite species compared with native mussels occurring in sympatry.

Keywords non-native species; *Perna canaliculus*; *Xenostrobus pulex*; mussels; copepod; pea crab

1INTRODUCTION

The impacts of marine biological invasions are now recognised as a global threat to native ecosystems and human economies (Cohen & Carlton 1998). The success of many introduced species is often explained by the Enemy Release Hypothesis (ERH), which states that non-native species escape their natural enemies, including parasites, upon introduction to a novel environment (Torchin et al. 2001, 2002; Keane & Crawley 2002). A different scenario is that non-native species are "empty habitats" when they arrive in a new area, awaiting colonisation by local parasites (Poulin & Mouillot 2003). Non-native species often acquire a new parasite assemblage from native organisms in the new environment over short periods of time (Bauer 1991; Barton 1997; Poulin & Mouillot 2003; Torchin & Mitchell 2004). The longer the non-native species is present, the more likely it is to accumulate enemies (Torchin & Mitchell 2004).

Because many parasites are specialists, often requiring multiple and sometimes specific intermediate hosts to complete their complex life cycles (Bauer 1991; Ruppert & Barnes 1994; Poulin & Mouillot 2003), specialist parasites present in native species are unlikely to switch host and establish in a non-native species (Torchin et al. 2002; Poulin & Mouillot 2003). In contrast, a native generalist

M07047: Online publication date 29 April 2008 Received 3 October 2007; accepted 19 December 2007

parasite that can make use of any one of several host species is more likely to adopt an introduced species as an alternative host (Bauer 1991; Barton 1997; Poulin & Mouillot 2003), which can result in an introduced species accumulating a modest assemblage of parasites in their new environment (Poulin & Mouillot 2003).

We investigated the use of an introduced host species by native parasites in the New Zealand intertidal zone. Musculista senhousia (Benson in Cantor, 1842), is a mytilid bivalve that has been established in New Zealand for approximately 30 years (Willan 1985; Taylor 1997). It was initially discovered in the Auckland region (Willan 1985; Creese et al. 1997), where it lives in sandy intertidal and shallow sub-tidal habitats (Creese et al. 1997). Musculista senhousia has established non-native populations in Australia, the western United States, and the Mediterranean Sea (Mastrototaro et al. 2003; Mistri 2003a, 2004a,b,c,d; Mistri et al. 2004). This bivalve is native to northeast Asia (Willan 1985; Inoue & Yamamuro 2000). A native New Zealand pea crab, Pinnotheres novaezelandiae (Filhol, 1886) and a copepod belonging to the family Myicolidae, have been found in M. senhousia (Miller 2007). Other bivalves have also been reported as hosts of P. novaezelandiae, including the natives Austrovenus stutchburyi (Wood, 1828), Perna canaliculus (Gmelin, 1791), Mytilus galloprovincialis (Lamarck, 1819), and the introduced Crassostrea gigas (Lamarck 1818) (McLay 1988; Stevens 1990a).

The objectives of this study were three-fold: (1) to quantify rates of infection by pea crabs and copepods as a function of shore height in *M. senhousia* and native mussels from a single locality—Bucklands Beach, Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand; (2) to measure the impacts of pea crabs and copepods on their hosts by comparing bivalve dry tissue weight relative to shell size, and differences in shell dimensions between infected and uninfected individuals, in all three species; and (3) to determine whether native pea crabs show avoidance of, or attraction to, non-native versus native mussels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of mussels took place on 19 and 20 August 2005, from an intertidal area between Bucklands Beach and Musick Point, Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand (36°51'17.38''S 174°53'56.00''E). Four 100-m long transects were placed perpendicular to the water's edge. The position of the first transect

was determined by the presence of *M. senhousia*. Each successive transect was placed 100 m north of the previous one. Each transect was divided into four blocks, 10 m wide \Box 25 m long, thus the upper-most block equated to the top 25 m of the shore (0–25 m), and the lowest block (75–100 m) was closest to the water's edge at low tide. This design provided four blocks per transect, a total of 16 blocks within the sampled area. Each of the three mussel species, *M. senhousia*, *P. canaliculus* and *Xenostrobus pulex* (Lamarck, 1819) present within each block was collected. A maximum of 100 individuals each of *M. senhousia*, *P. canaliculus*, and *X. pulex* were collected haphazardly within each block, and labelled correspondingly.

The mussels were taken to the laboratory where they were kept alive for up to 4 days, each species in a labelled plastic bag corresponding to transect and block of collection, in oxygenated sea water, until dissected; hence it was possible for pea crabs or copepods to switch between individuals of a species collected within a single block. Before dissection, each individual was weighed to the nearest 0.01 g, and length, width and depth of the shell were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using vernier callipers. Dissections were carried out using a binocular microscope, with magnification ranging from 6.3 to 40^{III}. Pea crabs and myicolid copepods associated with mussels were recorded. Pea crab carapace width (CW) was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using vernier callipers. After being examined for parasites, the soft tissues of each individual mussel were placed in drying ovens at 65°C until constant dry weight was reached. Dry tissue weight (0.0001 g) was recorded for each mussel.

Regression analyses were carried out to investigate relationships between wet weight and shell length of mussel species, and between pea crab CW and host shell length (Steel & Torrie 1981). Chi-square tests were used to examine differences in parasite prevalence between native and non-native mussels (Steel & Torrie 1981). ANCOVAs (Statistica 7) were used to correct for shell length and test for any difference in dry weight or shell dimensions between individuals with and without either pea crab or copepod infection (Steel & Torrie 1981).

Pea crab host selection

Mytilus galloprovincialis were collected by hand from Taylors Mistake, Christchurch (43°35′4.52″S, 172°46′46.42″E) on 28 September 2006. They were transported to the laboratory where they were opened and any pea crabs present were removed. *Mytilus* galloprovincialis was selected as the source host for pea crabs as it was not used in the following experiment. Previous research on pea crab host selection indicates that pea crabs show a preference for their original host species (Derby & Atema 1980; Stevens 1990b). Only male pea crabs were used, as previous research found only male pea crabs occurring in M. senhousia (Miller 2007). Also, female pea crabs do not leave their original host, usually growing too large to leave, thus their ability to move outside of a host is unknown (Soong 1997). A total of 27 male pea crabs were obtained from M. galloprovincialis. These were kept in the dark in small containers of well-aerated sea water, which was replaced daily. Pea crabs were not fed to avoid any inadvertent conditioning to a certain mussel species. Perna canaliculus and X. pulex were collected from Taylors Mistake, Christchurch, whereas M. senhousia was collected from Kaipara (36°29'37.43"S, 174°21'40.37"E) as it is not established in the South Island. Each bivalve species was held in separate containers of well-aerated sea water.

The choice chamber (dimensions: $6 \text{ cm high } \square 37 \text{ cm } \square 25 \text{ cm}$) was divided into four compartments by walls placed around a central chamber, all filled with well-aerated sea water. A small hole at the bottom edge of each side of the central chamber allowed water exchange between the central chamber and all compartments, and allowed the pea crabs to move from the central chamber into any compartment. Each compartment was sealed from adjacent compartments, so no mixing of water could take place directly between compartments, only with the central chamber.

Four different trials were conducted. Trial 1 used M. senhousia only, and 50 g of live M. senhousia, corresponding to approximately 50 mussels, were placed into each of two randomly chosen compartments, with the other two compartments left empty. After 1 h, 10 pea crabs were placed into the central chamber. They were given 1 h to enter a compartment. Pea crabs had three possible choices in this scenario: compartments containing M. senhousia, empty compartments, or remaining in the central chamber. After 1 h, all compartments were assessed and the presence of a pea crab in any particular compartment was considered a choice. Crabs moved by crawling during the experiment; therefore, the choice to remain in the central chamber was considered an active choice. This procedure was repeated five times, with the apparatus cleaned thoroughly with water between each trial, and compartments containing 50 g of mussels chosen at random each time. Owing to the limited number of pea crabs available, they were haphazardly selected each time from the pool of available crabs.

The same procedure was repeated for X. pulex (trial 2), P. canaliculus (trial 3), and a combination of P. canaliculus, X. pulex, and M. senhousia (trial 4). Fifty-gram samples of each mussel species were placed separately, each in a different compartment, leaving one compartment empty. Individual mussel sizes were not measured, but were approximately 10 mm shell length for *M*. senhousia and \overline{X} . pulex, and approximately 70 mm shell length for P. canaliculus. Pea crabs were allowed to choose between the four compartments. Each trial was repeated five times, resulting in a total of 50 choices for each of the four trials. Mussels were not dissected at the end of the experiment. Data were analysed using chi-square tests to examine differences in the number of times pea crabs made each possible choice within each trial. The null hypothesis was that the frequency of pea crabs in each compartment would be the same.

RESULTS

A total of 507 mussels, 173 *M. senhousia*, 116 *P. canaliculus*, and 218 *X. pulex*, were collected from Bucklands Beach. *Musculista senhousia* only occurred in two transects, and always at the lowest tidal height. *Perna canaliculus* was found most frequently in the low to mid-shore area, whereas *X. pulex* only occurred high in the intertidal (Table 1). A strong relationship between wet weight and shell length existed for all three species (*M. senhousia*, d.f. = 171, $r^2 = 0.93$, P < 0.001; *P. canaliculus*, d.f. = 113, $r^2 = 0.98$, P < 0.001; *X. pulex*, d.f. = 216, $r^2 = 0.92$, P < 0.001).

Highest copepod prevalence was observed in X. pulex (17.9%) (Table 2). Prevalence of copepods in *P. canaliculus* and *M. senhousia* was lower (0.9% and 1.7%, respectively) (Table 2). As mussel species were kept in separate tanks in the laboratory, no host species switching could have occurred before dissections. Thus overall copepod prevalence decreased down the shore at this site, although this pattern is likely related to shore position of preferred host species. Of 43 mussels harbouring copepods, only three (7%; two X. pulex and a single *P. canaliculus*) had multiple infections, each of two copepods per host.

There was no significant difference in dry weight between hosts with and without copepods after

Table 1 Prevalence of pea crabs and copepods found associated with *Musculista senhousia, Perna canaliculus*, and
Xenostrobus pulex for each transect and block from Bucklands Beach, Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand. A
dash indicates no mussels were found in that block.

	Transect 1	Transect 2	Transect 3	Transect 4	
Block 1, 0-25 m					
M. senhousia	_	-	-	-	
P. canaliculus	_	-	-	-	
X. pulex	12/100 copepods (12.0%)	-	-	3/27 copepods (11.1%)	
Block 2, 25-50 m					
M. senhousia	_	-	-	-	
P. canaliculus	3/15 pea crabs (20.0%)	-	3/14 pea crabs (21.4%)	-	
X. pulex	_	_		13/91 copepods (14.3%)	
Block 3, 50–75 m					
M. senhousia	_	_	-	-	
P. canaliculus	4/14 pea crabs (28.6%)	12/27 pea crabs (44.4%)	17/47 pea crabs (36.2%)	-	
X. pulex	_	_		1/47 copepod (2.0%)	
Block 4, 75–100 m					
M. senhousia	1/100 pea crabs (1.0%)	1/73 pea crabs (1.4%)	-	-	
	3/100 copepods (3.0%)	-			
P. canaliculus	-	-	0/2	-	
X. pulex	-	-	-	-	

Table 2 Pea crab, *Pinnotheres novaezelandiae*, and copepod, family Myicolidae, prevalence for *Musculista senhousia* (n = 173), *Perna canaliculus* (n = 116), and *Xenostrobus pulex* (n = 218) at Bucklands Beach, New Zealand.

	Pea crab	Copepod		
Musculista senhousia	2/173 (1.2%)	3/173 (1.7%)		
Perna canaliculus	39/116 (33.6%)	1/116 (0.9%)		
Xenostrobus pulex	0/218 (0.0%)	39/218 (17.9%)		

Table 3ANCOVA results of shell parameters and dry weight (all corrected for
shell length) between infected and non-infected hosts (*Musculista senhousia*,
Perna canaliculus, and *Xenostrobus pulex*) with copepods (family Myicolidae)
and pea crabs (*Pinnotheres novaezelandiae*).

Species	d.f.	SS	MS	F	Р
Copepod infection Dry weight					
Musculista senhousia	1, 170	0.0001	0.0001	2.01	0.16
Perna canaliculus	1, 112	0.12	0.12	1.68	0.19
Xenostrobus pulex	1, 215	0.00003	0.00003	0.91	0.34
Shell width					
M. senhousia	1, 170	0.0007	0.0007	0.006	0.94
P. canaliculus	1, 112	1.06	1.06	0.75	0.39
X. pulex	1, 215	0.04	0.04	0.16	0.69
Shell depth					
M. senhousia	1, 170	0.003	0.003	0.03	0.87
P. canaliculus	1, 112	1.91	1.91	1.34	0.25
X. pulex	1, 215	0.05	0.05	0.25	0.62
Pea crab infection	·				
P. canaliculus					
Dry weight	1, 112	0.318	0.318	4.57	0.03
Shell depth	1, 112	4.04	4.04	2.85	0.09
Shell width	1, 112	0.45	1.45	1.04	0.31

correcting for shell length, for any mussel species (ANCOVA, all P > 0.16) (Table 3). There were also no differences in shell width or depth between hosts with and without copepods, independent of shell length (ANCOVA, all P > 0.25). There was no significant difference in copepod prevalence between *M. senhousia* and *P. canaliculus* ($\chi^2 = 1.78$, d.f. = 1, P > 0.05). There was a significant difference in copepod prevalence between *X. pulex* and both of the other mussel species (*X. pulex* and *M. senhousia*: $\chi^2 = 16.35$, d.f. = 1, P < 0.01; *X. pulex* and *P. canaliculus*: $\chi^2 = 11.47$, d.f. = 1, P < 0.01), with *X. pulex* having a significantly greater copepod prevalence in both instances.

In general, pea crabs and copepods did not share hosts. Of those mussels infected with pea crabs, only one *P. canaliculus* also harboured a copepod. All pea crab infections were singletons. No pea crabs were recovered from *X. pulex* (Table 1). A total of 41 pea crabs were recovered from *M. senhousia* (n =2) and *P. canaliculus* (n = 39). Pea crab prevalence differed between these two mussel species, and was significantly higher in the native *P. canaliculus* (χ^2 = 58.15, d.f. = 1, P < 0.001) (Table 2). Pea crabs only occurred in mussels on the lower half of the shore, where hosts are submerged for much of the tidal cycle; therefore, pea crab prevalence increased with decreasing tidal height.

A strong positive relationship was observed between pea crab CW and host shell length (d.f. = 38, $r^2 = 0.58$, P < 0.01), i.e., larger pea crabs were associated with larger mussels, indicating indeterminate pea crab growth. Individual *P. canaliculus* harbouring pea crabs had a significantly lower mean dry weight than expected based on shell length (ANCOVA P = 0.03) (Table 3). There was an insufficient number of *M. senhousia* harbouring pea crabs (n = 2) to conduct a similar analysis. There was no significant difference in shell depth or width between individuals with or without pea crabs (ANCOVA, all P > 0.09) (Table 3).

Pea crab host selection

In trial 1, no significant difference was evident between the number of times *P. novaezelandiae* selected *M. senhousia* and the empty or central chambers. In trial 2, however, pea crabs chose the empty compartments significantly less often than the compartments housing *X. pulex* or the central chamber. In trial 3, pea crabs entered compartments with *P. canaliculus* significantly more frequently than the empty or central chambers. In trial 4, using a combination of all three mussel species, a significant difference was evident, with *X. pulex* and the central chamber being selected more frequently than expected by chance (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The theory surrounding non-native species introductions and their release from natural enemies is still being developed. Much available evidence suggests that non-native species generally arrive in a new environment free from their natural parasites (Torchin et al. 2001, 2002; Colautti et al. 2004; Prenter et al. 2004: Torchin & Mitchell 2004). Once established in a new environment though, there is little to stop generalist parasites switching hosts and exploiting a non-native species (Bauer 1991). It has already been noted that infection with parasites can have marked effects on hosts and their communities (Mouritsen & Poulin 2002). Thus, if a native generalist parasite can switch host to exploit a non-native species, has detrimental effects on the host, and is prevalent, it may be able to slow the growth and reproduction of infected individuals, thus influencing the population structure and potentially reducing the impact on the community where it has been introduced (Mouritsen & Poulin 2002).

Copepods were the only parasite to be present in all three species studied at the single location of Bucklands Beach. No reports of copepods from X.

Table 4 Percentage of time the pea crab, *Pinnotheres novaezelandiae*, selected each mussel species in each trial; and chi-square tests examining differences in pea crab selection for each trial. Combination refers to when each mussel was presented in a single compartment within the same trial.

Trial no.	Perna canaliculus	Xenostrobus pulex	Musculista senhousia	Empty	Central	χ^2	d.f.	Р
1. M. senhousia	_	_	40%	24%	36%	2.08	1	> 0.001
2. X. pulex	-	38%	-	12%	50%	11.32	1	< 0.001
3. P. canaliculus	56%	_	_	30%	14%	13.48	1	< 0.001
4. Combination	16%	30%	12%	12%	30%	8.60	3	< 0.050

pulex or M. senhousia have been published before and this is the first time this relationship has been reported from these hosts in New Zealand. The copepods found here were not identified to species level, but were assigned to the family Myicolidae, the same family as the copepod Pseudomyicola spinosus, which has been reported from other bivalves in New Zealand (Poulin et al. 2000). Copepods have previously been reported from P. canaliculus (Jones 1976; Jeffs et al. 1999; Hine & Diggles 2002). Although copepods are often assumed to feed on host tissue (Poulin et al. 2000), no reduction in tissue dry weight was evident in infected hosts. No difference in shell depth or width was observed, suggesting infection with copepods does not alter host tissue or shell growth. Because of low copepod prevalence, both P. canaliculus and *M. senhousia* may escape any potentially detrimental effects of copepods, compared with X. pulex. The relatively high prevalence in X. pulex suggests that it is the preferred host of copepods at Bucklands Beach.

Already known to exploit various bivalves in New Zealand (McLay 1988; Palmer 1995), the pea crab P. novaezelandiae was found associated with the native P. canaliculus, with the non-native M. senhousia being infected far less frequently, and X. pulex being uninfected. Pea crabs have been reported from P. canaliculus previously (Jones 1977; Hickman 1978; Baxter 1982; Hine & Jones 1989; Stevens 1990a,b, 1991; Jeffs et al. 1999). A significantly lower dry tissue weight was associated with the presence of P. novaezelandiae in P. canaliculus. The lower dry tissue weight in P. canaliculus suggests that pea crab infection decreases host tissue growth. A major implication of decreased host dry weight is that fecundity might also be reduced for any given host size, leading to a lowered potential for population growth and spread. Both O'Beirn & Walker (1999) and Bologna & Heck Jr. (2000) report decreased gonad condition in bivalve hosts infected with pea crabs.

Some studies refer to pea crabs as symbiotic or having an obligate but not detrimental relationship with their host (Hine & Jones 1989; Stevens 1990a,b), although female pea crabs are widely seen as being parasitic (Baxter 1982; Bierbaum 1986; Haines et al. 1994; O'Beirn & Walker 1999; Bologna & Heck Jr. 2000). Studies on other pea crab-host systems show effects such as reduced filtering, damaged gills and palps, delayed gonad development and a reduction in the host's ability to feed itself (Yoo & Kajihara 1985; Haines et al. 1994; Tablado & Lopez Gappa 1995; Bologna & Heck Jr. 2000). These effects all cause a reduction in energy available for host growth and reproduction. The results here provide additional evidence for a parasitic relationship between the pea crab, *P. novaezelandiae*, and its native host. Given the effects of pea crabs, uninfected individuals or populations with low pea crab prevalence incur a lower cost of parasitism. As females are recognised as parasitic (Baxter 1982; Bierbaum 1986; Haines et al. 1994; O'Beirn & Walker 1999; Bologna & Heck 2000), and only males were found in *M. senhousia* at very low prevalence, the introduced bivalve appears largely unaffected by this native generalist parasite. The lack of female pea crabs in *M. senhousia* also suggests it is not a preferred host of *P. novaezelandiae*.

Pea crab host selection

Pea crabs have been reported to use chemical cues to locate, identify and select hosts (Derby & Atema 1980; Stevens 1990b; Grove & Woodin 1996). The use of cues is of particular importance in species where males must locate females for reproduction (Grove & Woodin 1996). However, mussels were not dissected following the experiment, so it is unknown whether the prior presence of pea crabs in the test mussels could have influenced pea crab selection. Stevens (1990b) reports that P. novaezelandiae prefers returning to the natural host species from which it was originally removed. One of the populations of P. novaezelandiae investigated by Stevens (1990b) originally infected the blue mussel, M. galloprovincialis. In addition to being highly attracted to this species, these crabs also displayed a strong preference for the green-lipped mussel P. canaliculus (Stevens 1990b). In the present study, pea crabs were also obtained from blue mussels, and the same pattern as that reported by Stevens (1990b) occurred when the native mussel, P. canaliculus, was presented on its own.

When presented with all three mussel species simultaneously, native *P. canaliculus* and *X. pulex*, and introduced *M. senhousia*, *P. novaezelandiae* displayed a weak preference for *X. pulex*. This result is in direct contrast to results from Bucklands Beach, where no pea crabs were recovered from *X. pulex*. By contrast, when all mussels were presented individually, *P. canaliculus* was the only species consistently selected at a higher than expected rate, reflecting the pattern at Bucklands Beach, where pea crab prevalence was much higher in *P. canaliculus*. As *M. senhousia* was collected from a different area, it is possible that the pea crabs were not pre-adapted to this species.

Pea crabs showed a weak attraction to X. pulex when this species was presented by itself, and when all species were offered simultaneously. Considering the lack of infection in the field study, the experimental results suggest P. novaezelandiae may select X. pulex if encountered, but it is doubtful whether pea crabs venture high enough on the shore or encounter X. pulex for long enough to infect them in nature, as pea crabs tend to occur low in the intertidal (McLay 1988). As X. pulex is a small mussel, growth constraints would be imposed on any pea crabs using this species. Xenostrobus pulex has a short feeding time owing to its occurrence high in the intertidal, reducing food available for pea crabs. also possibly explaining why no pea crab infection was evident in the field study. To test whether the pattern observed here is an artefact of host species distribution, known hosts of P. novaezelandiae would have to be transferred to higher tidal heights and rates of pea crab infection examined at a later date.

There is often a positive relationship between pea crab size and host size (Pregenzer 1978; McLay 1988; Haines et al. 1994; Soong 1997), as was observed between P. novaezelandiae and its hosts at Bucklands Beach. A large host can be beneficial to a pea crab (i.e., fewer constraints on crab growth). Pinnotheres novaezelandiae is reported to feed on food particles collected by the host (McLay 1988), so a larger host potentially increases available food for the pea crab. Perna canaliculus was the largest host species offered in our study, although individual mussel size was not measured, only biomass. The advantages gained by pea crabs from selecting a large host may explain why P. canaliculus was the only species chosen significantly more often than expected when presented on its own, although to know if host size alone accounted for pea crab choice would require further testing.

Pinnotheres novaezelandiae occurred at a higher natural incidence in P. canaliculus compared with M. senhousia, even though both occur low in the intertidal. Musculista senhousia was not chosen more often than what would have been expected if pea crab selection was random. It is possible that infections of M. senhousia were chance encounters of the pea crabs with the introduced species, rather than an active selection in response to cues from this host. Therefore, the experimental results do not support active use of M. senhousia by P. novaezelandiae.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a Tūāpapa Pūtaiao Māori Fellowship from the New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology (Contract number UOOX0233), Te Ohu Kai Moana grant, and the Brenda Shore Award for Women (Otago University). A University of Otago publishing grant helped during the writing of this paper. We are grateful for the assistance of the following people in identifying the parasites found in our study: copepods, Janet Grieve (NIWA); pea crabs, Colin McLay (University of Canterbury).

REFERENCES

- Barton DP 1997. Introduced animals and their parasites: the cane toad, *Bufo marinus*, in Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 22: 316–324.
- Bauer ON 1991. Spread of parasites and diseases of aquatic organisms by acclimatization: a short review. Journal of Fish Biology 39: 679–686.
- Baxter AS 1982. Salinity tolerance and osmoregulation of the New Zealand pea crab, *Pinnotheres novaezelandiae* Filhol, 1886 (Brachyura: Pinnotheridae). Mauri Ora 10: 77–83.
- Bierbaum RM 1986. The physiological consequences of harboring a symbiont: the effect of pea crabs (*Pinnotheres maculatus*) on mussels (*Mytilus* edulis). Abstract only. Dissertation abstract international part. B-Science & Engineering 46: 243.
- Bologna P, Heck K Jr. 2000. Relationship between pea crab (*Pinnotheres maculatus*) parasitism and gonad mass of the bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*). Gulf and Caribbean Research 12: 43–46.
- Cohen AN, Carlton JT 1998 Accelerating invasion rate in a highly invaded estuary. *Science* 279: 555–558.
- Colautti RI, Ricciardi A, Grigorovich IA, Macisaac HJ 2004. Is invasion success explained by the enemy release hypothesis? Ecology Letters 7: 721–733.
- Creese R, Hooker S, DeLuca S, Wharton Y 1997. Ecology and environmental impact of *Musculista senhousia* (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Mytilidae) in Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31: 225–236.
- Derby CD, Atema J 1980. Induced host odor attraction in the pea crab *Pinnotheres maculatus*. Biological Bulletin 158: 26–33.
- Grove MW, Woodin SA 1996. Conspecific recognition and host choice in a pea crab, *Pinnixa chaetopterana*. (Brachyura: Pinnotheridae). Biological Bulletin 190: 359–366.

- Haines C, Edmunds M, Pewsey A 1994. The pea crab, *Pinnnotheres pisum* (Linnaeus, 1767), and its association with the common mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Solent (UK). Journal of Shellfish Research 13: 5–10.
- Hickman RW 1978. Incidence of a pea crab and a trematode in cultivated and natural green-lipped mussels. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 12: 211–215.
- Hine M, Diggles B 2002. The distribution of *Perkinsus* olseni in New Zealand bivalve molluscs. Surveillance 29: 8-11.
- Hine PM, Jones JB 1989. Parasites and diseases of commercially important molluses in New Zealand. Advances in Tropical Aquaculture 9: 199–206.
- Inoue T, Yamamuro M 2000. Respiration and ingestion rates of the filter-feeding bivalve *Musculista senhousia*: implications for water-quality control. Journal of Marine Systems 26: 183–192.
- Jeffs AG, Holland RC, Hooker SH, Hayden BJ 1999. Overview and bibliography of research on the greenshell mussel, *Perna canaliculus*, from New Zealand waters. Journal of Shellfish Research 18: 347–360.
- Jones JB 1976. Lichomolgus uncus n. sp. (Copepoda: Cyclopoida). An associate of the mussel, Perna canaliculus, Gmelin. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 6: 301–305.
- Jones JB 1977. Post-planktonic stages of *Pinnotheres* novaezelandiae Filhol, 1896 (Brachyura: Pinnotheridae). New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 11: 145–158.
- Keane RM, Crawley MJ 2002. Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17: 164–170.
- Mastrototaro F, Mataresse A, D'Onghia G 2003. Occurrence of *Musculista senhousia* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) in the Taranto seas (eastern-central Mediterranean Sea). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83: 1279–1280.
- McLay CL 1988. Brachyura and crab-like anomura of New Zealand, University of Auckland, Leigh Laboratory Bulletin No. 22. 463 p.
- Miller A 2007. Enemy escapee or trojan horse? Investigation and comparison of the parasite burdens between invasive and native marine crabs and bivalves in New Zealand. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. 245 p.
- Mistri M 2003b. The non-indigenous mussel *Musculista* senhousia in an Adriatic lagoon: effects on benthic community over a ten year period. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 83: 1277–1278.

- Mistri M 2004a. Prey preference of *Carcinus aestuarii*: possible implications with the control of an invasive mytilid and Manila clam culture in a northern Adriatic lagoon. Aquaculture 230: 261–272.
- Mistri M 2004b. Effects of hypoxia on predator-prey interactions between juvenile *Carcinus aestuarii* and *Musculista senhousia*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 275: 211–217.
- Mistri M 2004c. Predatory behaviour and preference of a successful invader, the mud crab *Dyspanopeus sayi* (Panopeidae), on its bivalve prey. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 312: 385–398.
- Mistri M 2004d. Effect of *Musculista senhousia* mats on clam mortality and growth: much ado about nothing? Aquaculture 241: 207–218.
- Mistri M, Rossi R, Fano EA 2004. The spread of an alien bivalve (*Muscluista senhousia*) in the Saca do Goro Lagoon (Adriatic Sea, Italy). Journal of Molluscan Studies 70: 257–261.
- Mouritsen KM, Poulin R 2002. Parasitism, community structure and biodiversity in intertidal ecosystems. Parasitology 124: \$101–\$117.
- O'Beim FX, Walker RL 1999. Pea crab, *Pinnotheres* ostreum (Say, 1817), in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791); prevalence and apparent adverse effects on oyster gonadal development. The Veliger 42: 17–20.
- Palmer P 1995. Occurrence of a New Zealand pea crab, *Pinnotheres noveazelandiae*, in five species of surf clam. Marine and Freshwater Research 46: 1071–1075.
- Poulin R, Mouillot D 2003. Host introductions and the geography of parasite taxonomic diversity. Journal of Biogeography 30: 837–845.
- Poulin R, Steeper M, Miller AA 2000. Non-random patterns of host use by the different parasite species exploiting a cockle population. Parasitology 121: 289–295.
- Pregenzer CJ 1978. Pinnotheres hickmani (Guiler) in wild and cultured Mytilus edulis in Port Phillip Bay, Victoria. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 29: 127–139.
- Prenter J, MacNeil C, Dick JT, Dunn AM 2004. Roles of parasites in animal invasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 19: 385–390.
- Ruppert EE, Barnes RD 1994. Invertebrate zoology. 6th ed. Florida, United States, Saunders College Publishing. 1056 p.
- Soong K 1997. Some life history observations on the pea crab, *Pinnotheres tsingtaoensis*, symbiotic with the bivalve mollusk, *Sanguinolaria acuta*. Crustaceana 70: 855–866.

- Steel RGD, Torrie JH 1981. Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach. 2nd ed. London, McGraw-Hill, Kogakusha Limited. 633 p.
- Stevens PM 1990a. A genetic analysis of the pea crabs (Decapoda: Pinnotheridae) of New Zealand. I. Patterns of spatial and host-associated genetic structuring in *Pinnotheres novaezelandiae* Filhol. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 141: 195–212.
- Stevens PM 1990b. Specificity of host recognition of individuals from different host races of symbiotic pea crabs (Decapoda: Pinnotheridae). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 143: 193–207.
- Stevens PM 1991. A genetic analysis of the pea crabs (Decapoda: Pinnotheridae) of New Zealand. II. Patterns and intensity of spatial population structure in *Pinnotheres novaezelandiae*. Marine Biology 108: 403–410.
- TabladoA, Lopez Gappa J 1995. Host-parasite relationships between the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L., and the pea crab, *Tumidotheres maculatus* (Say), in the Southwestern Atlantic. Journal of Shellfish Research 14: 417–423.

- Taylor M 1997. Marine bioinvaders in New Zealand. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, New Zealand. 6 p.
- Torchin ME, Mitchell CE 2004. Parasites, pathogens, and invasions by plants and animals. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2: 183–190.
- Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM 2001. Release from parasites as natural enemies: increased performance of a globally introduced marine crab. Biological Invasions 3: 333–345.
- Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM 2002. Parasites and marine invasions. Parasitology 124: S137–S151.
- Willan RC 1985. Successful establishment of the Asian mussel *Musculista senhousia* (Benson in Cantor, 1842) in New Zealand. Records of the Auckland Institute Museum 22: 85–96.
- Yoo MS, Kajihara T 1985. The effect of the pea crab (*Pinnotheres pholadis*) on the reproductive capacity of the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis* galloprovincialis). Bulletin of the Korean Fisheries Society 18: 581–585.